Page 1

THE OFFICE OF THE LEE COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

CASE NO.: DCI2023-00030

IN RE: CYPRESS WOODS RVPD

PROCEEDINGS: PUBLIC HEARING

BEFORE: Amanda Rivera

Hearing Examiner

DATE: July 31, 2025

TIME: 1:00 p.m. to 1:34 p.m.

LOCATION: Lee County Hearing Examiner's

Hearing Room, Second Floor

1500 Monroe Street Fort Myers, FL 33901

REPORTER: Deborah M. Bruns

Florida Professional Reporter

FORT MYERS COURT REPORTING 2271 McGregor Boulevard, Suite 220 Fort Myers, Florida 33901 (239) 334-1411

1	APPEARANCES:	Page 2
2	For the Applicant:	Alexis V. Crespo, AICP, LEED AP RVi Planning
3		1501 Broadway Street Fort Myers, FL 33901
4		Email: acrespo@rviplanning
5	For the Staff:	Adam Mendez, Senior Planner Department of Community Development
6		Zoning Division P.O. Box 398
7		Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398 Email: AMendez@leegov.com
8		
9	ALSO PRESENT:	
10	William Schwab, Cypre Jeremy Sterk, Earth T	ess Woods RV Resort HOA Tech Environmental
11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

1	INDEX	Page 3
2		PAGE:
3	APPLICANT WITNESSES:	
4	Alexis Crespo	5 19
5	Jeremy Sterk	21
6	STAFF WITNESSES:	
7	Adam Mendez	24
8		
9	Certificate of Reporter	30
10		
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13	Applicant's Exhibit Description Identifie	ed
14	A 48-hour letter	6
15	1 PowerPoint	6
16	Staff Exhibit	
17	1 Staff Report	6
18	2 Affidavit of publication 3 PowerPoint	6 25
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 4

PROCEEDINGS

HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Good afternoon, my name is Amanda Rivera. I'm the Hearing Examiner presiding over today's case. The date is July 31st, 2025, and this is case DCI2023-00030. It's a request to the Cypress Woods RVPD.

Because this is a quasi-judicial hearing, all evidence and testimony must be taken under oath; so if you intend to speak, if you could please raise your hands. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you will provide is the truth?

(Participants respond affirmatively.)

HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you.

So the way that we'll proceed today is first we're going to hear from the Applicant. They'll present their request in the case and call any witnesses that they may have in support of that request. Following that we'll hear from the County Staff, who will go over their review and recommendation in the case. They are recommending approval with conditions and some new deviations.

After that I'll open up the public comment period. So if there's anyone from the public that would like to speak, I would call you forward at that time, and that is your opportunity to place any comments that you may

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 5

- have into the record. If you intend to speak at the
- 2 | Board hearing -- excuse me, let me modify that. That
- 3 | is not correct. This is final?
- 4 MR. MENDEZ: It's final with you.

myself to make a final decision.

- HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay, this will be final
 with me so I withdraw that. Oftentimes in PD
 amendments it can go either way, but this meets the
 qualifications under the Land Development Code for
 - So if you would like to speak, today would be your opportunity to do that. I don't see any cards here so I'm not sure if anyone plans to put any comments on the record.
- MR. MENDEZ: (Shakes head.)
- HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay. So then we can dispense with the rest of the instructions, and we'll begin whenever the Applicant's ready.
 - MS. CRESPO: Thank you. Good afternoon, Alexis
 Crespo with RVi Planning & Landscape Architecture
 representing the Applicant.
 - I have a binder with our PowerPoint slides, as well as our 48-hour letter, and the master concept plan is attached to the Staff Report -- or attached to the 48-hour letter in 11-by-17 and full-size format.
- 25 (Applicant Exhibits A and 1 submitted.)

	DCI2023-00030 Cypress Woods RVPD 07/31/202
1	Page 6 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you. And that
2	duplicates what I received prior to hearing, as well,
3	for the 48-hour?
4	MS. CRESPO: Yes.
5	HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay. So the 48-hour
6	was already identified as Applicant Exhibit A, but the
7	presentation would be Applicant Exhibit 1.
8	And before we proceed, does Staff have the
9	affidavit of publication?
LO	MR. MENDEZ: I do.
L1	HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you. I
L2	apologize
L3	MR. MENDEZ: No.
L4	HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: I should have asked
L5	for that first.
L6	(Staff Exhibit 2 submitted.)
L7	HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you. So that will
L8	also be Staff Exhibit 2 since Staff Exhibit 1 is the
L9	Staff Report. Once we get the housekeeping items out
20	of the way, we can begin your presentation.
21	Okay, thank you.
22	MS. CRESPO: Thank you.
23	Joining me here today is Bill Schwab representing

- - the Cypress Woods RV Resort HOA. Also, Jeremy Sterk with Earth Tech Environmental will be here to testify

25

Page 7

to the FLUCCS map. And you'll note in the Staff Report that we did receive waivers for the traffic study and a lot of other information typically within a PD amendment application so we have a limited list of speakers here today.

I believe you're familiar with the subject property, having heard the previous PD amendment back in 2020:

The subject property is outlined in red. It's 153 acres in size.

It is very close to the I-75/Luckett Road interchange; so it's located immediately north of Luckett Road.

To the west of the site, we have an industrial park developed with a mix of light and heavier industrial uses.

To the south of the property are large-lot agriculturally-zoned properties.

To the east is the Duke Farms operation, which is a very intensive ag operation with wholesale commercial nursery operations accessed from Staley Road.

And then to our north we have very compatible consistent RV resort uses and the Cypress Trails project -- again to the north -- and then remaining ag land to our north and west.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 8

So we're here before you today for a series of amendments, kind of a housekeeping-type amendment. This is an older PD, and Staff did an excellent job outlining all the history and different resolutions and amendments over the years. So we are here amending the latest resolution, which is Z-20-0271 pertaining to this MHPD/RVPD, and we are seeking to modify development standards, adding some deviations, updating the master concept plan and conditions of approval.

A little bit more specifically, the thrust of the amendment was to add the relatively new use of casita to the schedule of uses for Phases I through IV. When you heard the amendment back in 2020 related to Phase V, they added the casita use at that time. Lee County was updating the Land Development Code to address what is defined as a casita, which is really an It can have kitchen and bathroom accessory structure. and some sleeping facilities in it, but it's very clearly written in the Code that it is accessory to the This is not an additional unit that can be RV pad. rented or used otherwise, and there's a requirement for a covenant restricting that usage of it to be accessory only.

And so really the crux of the amendment was to allow Phases I through IV to have the casita use as an

Page 9

option the way Phase V has, and also tie along with it the associated development standards, and some additional flexibility was granted to Phase V for those casitas related to setbacks.

Some other items that came up through the amendment process was the desire to increase the open storage area that supports the project. This is where people can store their RVs or, potentially, if they have a boat. And it's an area we'll show you on the master concept plan, looking to increase that by 1.3 acres, and then we had to do some cleanup with existing deviations, as well as add three new deviations.

I walked you through the zoning on the previous aerial, but this is the future land use map. The majority of the property is in Urban Community. The southern portion, you can see in the lighter green, is within Wetlands. We're not changing any density, intensity, or the principal use of this site, so we maintain full compliance with the intent of Urban Community Future Land Use.

Urban Community extends to our north within the Cypress Trails and ag-zoned properties there. We have Industrial Future Land Use to our immediate west, and then to our east it transitions into the Rural Community Preserve. And then there's also Urban

Community and Central Urban to the south.

I've kind of walked you through the zoning already so I'll zip through that. I mentioned the property is 153 acres. It's been zoned MHPD/RVPD since 1974. It's permitted for 611 units, of which the maximum can be -- 599 can be RV units.

The project is substantially built out. The lots have been sold. There's a mix of mobile home or park models with RV lots. Phase V, Laguna Caribe, is under construction. There's several lots that have been sold within that, essentially the last portion of the project that's being built out.

And, again, Staff did a great job of inventorying all the different zoning actions, including six administrative amendments, just to work out minor changes to the standards.

And this is just a view of the new clubhouse and the Laguna Caribe Phase V area and just some views of the different dwelling types within the community.

You have a full-size version of the MCP. This is -- really this is for all phases. This includes the entire 153 acres. Phase V is in this northeast corner.

The access on the master concept plan is from Luckett Road. We have the Wetland Preserve area along that frontage. The rest of the site is developed with

Page 11

internal roadways, the RV and mobile home lots, and there's a sizeable clubhouse amenity area there centrally located as you come in through the entrance.

The -- I'll get into more detail on some forthcoming slides, but the proposed -- the existing and proposed expansion of the storage is in the southeast corner.

There is another master concept plan tied to it for the -- the Phase V Laguna Caribe, which we're referring to the optional MCP since the old MCP also depicts this land area, but this -- this was, again, approved and then modified subsequently most recently through ADD2022-00142.

So the modifications:

First, to allow casitas in Phase I through IV. Currently it's only permitted in Phase V.

We are also seeking to apply the Phase V development standards for casitas to Phases I through IV, as well. That would allow for the 0-foot side yard for the RV pads only so that those could be staggered on the lots, and then a 5-foot side yard setback for -- side and rear yard for accessory structures, ensuring that there's 10 feet between those for fire safety purposes. And then allowing those accessory structures to also be 0 foot from lake maintenance easements or

Page 12

landscape buffer easements. And those, again, were already approved for Phase V.

The -- this is an excerpt of the Phase V kind of lot fit, showing how that would work with the staggered setbacks on the adjacent lots to ensure separation between the pads, the casitas, and how it would fit within the context of the lots, and that's just an aerial of how it's working in the real world with existing RV-zoned sites.

The modification to the open storage, we're seeking to expand that by 1.3 acres. There are more residents now that it's being built out and more demand for that area. The expansion would occur entirely within the eastern FP&L easement, again, in this portion of the site. We did recalculate our open space and preserve because there was -- some of that area was allocated for open space and preserve requirements; however, the original approval had excess, and so we were able to demonstrate that even with this area of open storage, we still will meet the Land Development Code requirements for both open space and preserve.

So that's the area there, and I just did a side-by-side. You can see just -- it's a smaller box, and then -- if my picture weren't blocking it -- it extends all the way, basically, to the buffer frontage

Page 13

along Luckett.

And this is included as an attachment to the Staff Report, is the recalculated open space and indigenous demonstrating compliance with the Land Development Code.

Modifying Deviation 7. This is in conjunction with the setbacks I just reviewed for the casitas. Basically for RVPDs, there's an additional Code section in 34-939 where it explicitly sets forth the setbacks, which is unique to RVPDs. And so to achieve those development standards, we also needed to have a deviation from 34-939(b)(7)c. And so, again, this is to allow for the 5-foot side and rear accessory setbacks, and also a 20-foot street setback per the development standards.

We are also seeking to modify Deviation 16. This is related to parking at the primary clubhouse facility. There is a condition tying back to the earlier zoning requiring some lots to be reserved for overflow parking. We submitted photographs of peak season views of the parking lot where it's really being underutilized. It's substantial and accommodates the development. There's a lot of folks that walk; there's a lot of golf cart usage; so we asked to not have to have those overflow parking lot areas considering the

Page 14

existing parking was sufficient. And through those photos, and I believe site visits during peak season, they were in agreement that we could eliminate that condition. That's just a view of the parking lot and the abundance of available space.

So getting into some -- well, this is still a modification to an existing deviation. This is related to setbacks from upland indigenous preserve. There is a provision in 10-415(b)(1)(C) that states the preserve setback needs to be increased to 30 feet where you're abutting indigenous plant community subject to fire. In this case the plant community is pine flatwoods.

We have achieved approval of this deviation administratively in the past. We've had the full support of the Tice Fire Station for the lots listed there: 68 through 70, 75 and 76, and 121 through 137. We are looking to expand the deviation to allow for that reduced setback for Lots 3 through 9 in Phase I and Lots 87 through 99 in Phase III. And it's still the same plant community and the same condition that we worked out with the Fire Department that would be applied, that the structures must be constructed of flame-retardant materials. And that's really needed to be able to fit the casitas on these lots, should those lot owners want to exercise that right.

Page 15

So then Deviations 27 and 28 are new deviations.
They are seeking the same principal item, which is to
not plant the exterior of the wall on the west side of
the project where we abut the industrial park. So that
requires a deviation from $10-421(a)(8)$, which states
that all required plantings have to be on the exterior
of the wall. And then 28, similarly, is just it's
the same concept but housed in 34-1743(b)(3) that
prescribes a buffer for optional residential project
walls, which this would be. We do have the
40-foot-wide buffer along that property line. In order
to move the wall inside the site and plant the outside,
we would have to disrupt existing native vegetation in
that area, and so the we're not requesting any
reduction to actual plant materials. It would still be
housed in that 40-foot buffer. It would simply be on
the internal side of the wall as opposed to the
exterior.

Our adjacent use in this case is an industrial park with some fairly intensive uses, and we are the lower intensity of the uses. So Staff was in agreement that this is appropriate and will help maintain existing vegetation along that property line, as well as not be resulting in that reduction to plantings.

And so that would be, again, along that western

Page 16

property line as denoted on the master concept plan.

Adam always does a very thorough job, and he -- he addressed the issue of the Caloosahatchee Shores

Community Plan, which this property is subject to

Goal 21 of the Lee Plan. It's in Caloosahatchee

Shores.

And so in order to be very thorough, the concept was contemplated of does this deviation trigger review per the variance criteria as shown in Objective 21.1.

And I've reviewed -- very familiar with this community plan, reviewed the objective in its whole; and I would submit that when you read the objective, Policy 21.1.1 as well as the policy in question, 21.1.2, the variance criteria, in my professional opinion, is triggered when you are seeking a deviation from the community-specific landscaping, signage, architectural standards. So that would be Chapter 33, Caloosahatchee Shores-specific landscape enhancement, signage, architecture, that are within the Land Development Code.

In the case of our deviations, we are deviating from Chapters 10 and 34. We are not seeking any relief for deviation from anything specific to the Caloosahatchee Shores enhanced standards. So we would submit, based on this and based on the case studies that Adam provided where very clearly those did seek

Page 17

Chapter 34 deviations, that the variance criteria would not apply and that we are meeting the PD deviation standard, and we're protecting public health, safety, and welfare, and overall the deviation would be an enhancement to the PD.

And then, lastly, Deviation 29 again is a buffer deviation, not a Chapter 33 buffer deviation, and this is to reduce the width of the buffer along the eastern property line associated with the RV boat storage. 40 feet is required. We're requesting 30-foot-wide. We will meet all the required plantings. To a large extent they're existing within that area, and so it's really just a width reduction.

We are, again, adjacent to very intensive commercial ag-type uses. Those uses are all within an FP&L easement. And so we have Staff recommendation of approval, and also would submit that this is not subject to the variance criteria in a Chapter 34 deviation. And so that would be to allow this area to be 30 feet wide instead of 40.

And then, again, Adam did a -- found a good catch, that a deviation for a single point of ingress/egress is still needed for this project. It used to be Deviation 6, and so it was reintroduced as Deviation 30 to allow for the single point of access from Luckett.

Page 18

- 1 We do have an emergency-only connecting to Cypress
- 2 | Trails to the north, but it still would warrant this
- 3 deviation, and we don't want to lose that through these
- 4 amendment processes.
- 5 | HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: And that was part of
- 6 | what the MCP was amended to show? I noticed it was on
- 7 | the first three pages, but the last page it wasn't. Is
- 8 | that just because Phase V doesn't include that roadway?
- 9 It's still referenced on the last one. It shows the
- 10 | emergency access, and it references the 2019 ADD, but
- 11 | it's not keyed to Deviation 30. And I'm just wondering
- 12 | if that was an intentional choice, or if it was just
- 13 omitted.
- 14 MS. CRESPO: Oh, I agree that if we can leave the
- 15 | record open, we should add deviation triangle 30 to the
- 16 | Phase V optional --
- 17 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay.
- 18 MS. CRESPO: -- MCP, yes.
- 19 | HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you.
- 20 MS. CRESPO: I apologize for that.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Not at all, thank you.
- 22 MS. CRESPO: Thanks.
- 23 With that I'll invite Jeremy Sterk to show you the
- 24 | FLUCCS map we submitted.
- 25 | HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you.

Good afternoon.

MR. STERK: Good afternoon, my name is Jeremy Sterk. I'm with Earth Tech Environmental.

Pretty simple, this is -- this FLUCCS map dates back really to the original permitting on the project in 1994 and 1995. It's predominantly -- the remainder of the native habitat is already placed under a conservation easement with the South Florida Water Management District. It's a mix of uplands and wetlands: pine flatwoods in the west, a cypress wetland there kind of in the center of that preserve, and then the easement goes all the way up along the west side of the FPL easement. That is also all under conservation.

And then within the FPL easement itself, it's a mix. Generally it's the center of the FPL easement, the road, is uplands; and then a lot of the FPL easement itself is wet. And so as they move forward, if they do want to permit the storage facility, we'll handle that through the District. It will likely be off-site mitigation.

HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: For the additional -- the expansion of the open --

MR. STERK: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: -- storage area? But

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 20

- 1 | that's already been permitted within the easement area?
- 2 MR. STERK: Yes -- well, the existing is, yeah.
- 3 | So we would do a mod to add that area, yeah.
 - HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay, thank you.
 - And then just -- I guess this -- I'm not sure who to ask the question; but if the existing sites are going to be benefiting from these reduced setbacks in essentially increased lot coverage, if you want to think of it that way, is that going to eat into any of the overall open space? I know that there was excess to begin with, but were those calculations done for the individual lots within Phases I through IV?
 - MR. STERK: The overall -- you're talking about the overall requirement?
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Yes, uh-huh.
- 16 MR. STERK: That shouldn't have included anything on the lots.
- 18 | HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay, so it wasn't.
- 19 MR. STERK: Yeah, yeah.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Because when driving -21 I did the site visit yesterday, and it looks
- 22 predominantly built out -- just as the aerial was
- 23 showing, as well -- but you do have those patches of
- 24 | the green space still within? So the individual lots
- 25 | seem to be fairly pervious, but there was -- or

Page 21

- 1 | impervious, rather, but there was still some --
- 2 MR. STERK: Yeah.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: -- green area on each
 - MR. STERK: Yeah, there's -- around the perimeter, you know, along the north property line of even Phase V, and then into the existing development or the older development, those are all partial indigenous preserves. I mean, back then we were allowed to kind of scatter them around the property, you know. This one was fairly unusual in that they did put most of it in one spot, but back in the day we used to scatter them all over the property, and then there just kind of ended up being compliance issues and stuff so -- but there should be none on lots themselves.
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay, thank you.
- 17 MR. STERK: Thank you.
 - MS. CRESPO: Thank you, and I can confirm their open space count does not include land area within the lots.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Okay, thank you.
 - MS. CRESPO: So then on Lee Plan consistency, the proposed amendments are consistent with the Urban Community Underlying Future Land Use category, as well as Wetlands.

Page 22

We're not impacting our wetland preserve area along the Luckett Road frontage or -- and continue to meet the open space and preserve requirements.

We're not proposing any increased density or intensity or change in the character or usage of the property substantially through this amendment. This will ensure continuation of compact growth standards, as identified in Objective 2.1, by allowing for this existing community to be modernized and accommodate market demand for different accessory structures.

We've addressed residential compatibility of land uses in Policy 5.1.5. We're not changing any buffers related to our frontage on Luckett Road where we interface with large lots to the south, and similarly to the north where we abut Cypress Trails and ag-zoned lands. We will maintain those 40-foot-wide perimeter buffers in those locations.

And then our community will be enhanced through the proposed wall, as well as 40-foot perimeter buffers abutting the industrial.

The application has no impact on our consistency with Goal 21, and the goals, objectives, and policies associated with the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan.

We are in agreement with Staff that the proposed

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 23

amendments meet your general rezoning decision-making criteria:

The amendments are all consistent with the Lee Plan as just outlined.

Our deviations do meet the test of protecting public safety and welfare, as well as enhancing the intent of the PD, providing for additional flexibility.

The RV resort uses will remain compatible with existing uses in the area. There is no change to access or transportation volume of the project.

Will not adversely impact environmentally critical or sensitive areas, and we'll get the appropriate State permits for any development of that open storage area.

And the property is well served by the urban services and infrastructure of the County, and well within the Future Urban area.

The application also complies with the PD decision-making criteria:

It's an appropriate mix of uses. It introduces the casita use, which was adopted into the Land Development Code several years ago for these types of projects.

And there's substantial conditions that we are in full agreement with Staff on to protect the public interest.

Page 24

1	And I've noted compliance with the deviation				
2	criteria for the newly introduced deviations.				
3	So with that, again, we have your Staff				
4	recommendation of approval. We're in agreement with				
5	the Staff Report and all conditions.				
6	This application is consistent with your Lee Plan				
7	and Land Development Code.				
8	The project will retain compatibility with the				
9	surrounding land use pattern and will just really allow				
10	for consistent standards across the entirety of the				
11	project.				
12	I'm happy to answer any questions.				
13	HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: I don't have any, thank				
14	you.				
15	MS. CRESPO: Thank you.				
16	HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Whenever you're ready,				
17	Adam, thank you.				
18	MR. MENDEZ: Thank you.				
19	Good afternoon. For the record, my name is Adam				
20	Mendez. I'm a principal planner with the Community				
21	Development Zoning section.				
22	I do have a copy of Staff's PowerPoint				
23	presentation. I'll provide the Applicant a copy. I				
24	forgot to do that earlier. And that will be my only				
25	exhibit I'm submitting into the record, besides the				

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 25

- notice of publication.
- 2 (Staff Exhibit 3 submitted.)
- 3 | HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you. So this will 4 | be Staff Exhibit 3.
 - MR. MENDEZ: There's two copies there.
- 6 HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you.
 - MR. MENDEZ: Okay. Well, as expected, Ms. Crespo provided a very complete presentation. All of the salient points have certainly been broached.

I will run through some of the slides I had to show some additional angles on the differences that are happening with this amendment, which you -- which you're hearing today, and you can make your decision on this based on the fact it's not increasing any density or intensity that were originally envisioned or adopted into the planned development.

One of the areas I'll point out here is this is an enclave in the city of Fort Myers. There's an RV dealership just to the south here near the entrance of Luckett Road.

This combination zoning, we put a little bit of research into how they got there, and you'll see there's an attachment in the Staff Report as to the -- the desire at that time predating the MPD district or perhaps the ability to put RVs in an MHPD district at

Page 26

that time. So it's a zoning district you probably won't ever see again, but it's a combination RVPD/MHPD.

This is the 48-hour letter master concept plan.

I'd like to say that Staff had time to review the revisions that were made and suggested in both the Staff Report and the conditions document. All of those have been met. We do understand the record will be left open in order to include the deviation triangle on the Phase V optional master concept plan.

You can see that the open space map now depicts the additional outdoor storage area, as well as the maintenance area, which I'll have a little discussion on as I get through these photos. But I wanted to just kind of hone in on the open storage area and just kind of go through the sequence of the MHPD/RVPD zoning to show you kind of the different iterations of open storage that were accepted and then changed.

So with the foundation zoning, the RVPD/MHPD foundation zoning in 1994, you can see there is a -- a longer RV storage area. It doesn't quite have the width that it ultimately has today, but it did extend further south in the initial proposal. In the subsequent proposals, both the '03, the '11, and the 2020, you can see it shrunk down, but it got a little wider, right against the 40-foot required buffer. You

Page 27

can see that the MCP changed. They looped this road into this cul-de-sac and created a larger fox squirrel preserve area, wetland preserve area. It just loops that road in here, and this got a little bigger. But you can see that the open storage area kind of -- was either reduced or they doubled up on the other side.

So what we're proposing here, if you're focusing on the RV and boat storage areas, it's just an extension down south, approximately 40 feet from the southern perimeter. There's no deviation request for the required buffer width on the south side. There's no deviation with respect to the planting material all of this will have to meet at time of development order approval.

The additional piece of this was to demonstrate the maintenance area on the master concept plan. This is a 2015 aerial of how this particular area of the site was used historically, and really what I could see in our records was this was set up as a temporary construction trailer site in the late '90s and early 2000s, as they were graduating through their phases, and it kind of just stayed. It was temporary in nature, and after its -- maybe its intended life, it was an open area to place equipment for maintaining the HOA, and so they kind of used it accordingly. It was

never approved on paper.

So they went through a process in 2023 or 2024 -correct me if I'm wrong -- to try to address this wall
here. So this wall actually fell down during Ian, and
the HOA approached Staff to try to determine how could
they -- how could they build back what it was. And
during that process we discovered, well, there's a Code
section -- there's a couple Code sections in the LDC
that say if you're putting an optional wall up, which
it was, that the buffer plantings that are required are
supposed to be on the exterior.

And so we found a -- we found a way to build back what was existing -- because this wall was existing, but the same treatment was sought for the industrial area, and there was some ambitions to extend the open storage area, which led to casitas which led to this. So we're kind of tailoring this approval to memorialize what they are, unify site development regulations across all five phases, once again, for ease of administration.

So you'll see on the open space diagrams, this is now carved out. We're still meeting the open space requirement. That is 40 percent of the entire site, with half of that as indigenous preserve area.

With that, I can just go on to say that Staff does

Page 29

find that the request meets the standard for approval for an amendment to the planned development, and I'm happy to address any questions of the Hearing Examiner or the Applicant.

HEARING EXAMINER RIVERA: Thank you. No, I did not -- it was very thorough. I appreciate that.

There's a lot of case history on this site, as you said, and detailing through it the way that you did was incredibly helpful to understand kind of the chronology of how we ended up here. And Applicant, as well, I have all the materials that I need.

Was there anyone from the public that wanted to speak today? Okay. I always give another chance in case anyone changes their mind.

Okay, then I did not have any questions. I asked them as I went through. It wasn't that long ago that we saw this the first time around, and clearly things have evolved over time. And so I'm well informed of everything that I need, and I don't have any additional questions.

So thank you, and thank you, everyone, for coming today. Again, my decision will be final in this case. So I'll get to it as quickly as I can, and you'll get that whenever it gets released. Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded at 1:34 p.m.)

	Page 30
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	
5	STATE OF FLORIDA)
6	COUNTY OF LEE)
7	
8	I, Deborah M. Bruns, Florida Professional
9	Reporter, do hereby certify that I was
LO	authorized to and did report the foregoing
L1	proceedings, and that the transcript, pages 1
L2	through 29, is a true and correct record of my
L3	stenographic notes.
L4	
L5	Dated this 4th day of August, 2025.
L6	
L7	101 am 0
L8	Deborah M. Bruns, FPR
L9	Depotan M. Bruns, FFR
20	
21	(This transcript was electronically signed.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

	_ 21	5.1.5	24 13:14 22:10	affirm
0	16:5 22:22	22:12	accommodate	4:10
0	_ 21.1	599	22:9	affirmatively
11:25	16:9	10:6	accommodates	4:12
0-foot	21.1.1		13:22	afternoon
11:19	16:12	6	achieve	4:2 5:18 19:1,2
11.10	21.1.2	6	13:11	24:19
1	⁻ 16:13	17:24	achieved	ag
	- 27	611	14:13	7:20,24
1	15:1	10:5	acres	ag-type
5:25 6:7,18	28	68	7:10 9:11 10:4,22	17:15
1.3	15:1,7	14:16	12:11	ag-zoned
9:10 12:11	29	14.10	actions	9:22 22:15
10	17:6	7	10:14	agree
11:23 16:21			actual	18:14
10-415(b)(1)(c)	3	7	15:15	agreement
14:9	<u> </u>	- 13:7	Adam	14:3 15:21 22:25
10-421(a)(8)	14:19 25:2 4	70	16:2,25 17:21	23:24 24:4
15:5	14:18 25:2,4	14:16	24:17,19	agriculturally-
11-by-17	30	75	add	zoned
5:24	14:10 17:20,24 18:11,15	14:16	8:11 9:12 18:10,15	7:18
121	·	76	20:3	Alexis
14:16	30-foot-wide 17:10	14:16	ADD2022-00142	5:18
137			11:13	allocated
14:16	31st 4:4	8	added	12:17
153		87	8:14	allowed
7:9 10:4,22	33 16:17 17:7	14:19	adding	21:9
16			8:8	allowing
13:16	34	9	additional	11:24 22:8
1974	16:21 17:1,18		8:20 9:3 13:9	Amanda
10:4	34-1743(b)(3)	9 14:18	19:22 23:7 25:11	4:3
1994	15:8		address	amended
19:6	34-939	99	8:16	18:6
1995	13:9	14:19	addressed	amending
19:6	34-939(b)(7)c		16:3 22:11	8:5
	13:13	A	adjacent	amendment
2	_ 4	ability	12:5 15:19 17:14	7:4,7 8:2,11,13,24
2	- 4	_ 25:25	administrative	9:6 18:4 22:6
6:16,18	40	abundance	10:15	25:12
2.1	17:9,20	14:5	administratively	amendments
22:8	40-foot	abut	14:14	5:7 8:2,5 10:15
20-foot	15:16 22:19	15:4 22:15	adopted	21:23 23:1,3
13:15	40-foot-wide	abutting	23:20 25:15	amenity
2019	15:11 22:16	14:11 22:20	adversely	11:2
18:10	48-hour	access	23:11	angles
	5:22,24 6:3,5	10:23 17:25 18:10	aerial	25:11
2020 7:8 8:13		23:10	9:14 12:8 20:22	apologize
	5	accessed	affidavit	6:12 18:20
2025 4:4	5-foot	7:21	6:9	applicant
4.4	11:21 13:14	accessory	0.0	4:15 5:20,25 6:6,7
	11.21 13.14	8:17,19,22 11:22,	1	,,-



24:23 binder compatible 5:3 19:24 center 5:21 7:22 23:8 19:11,16 **Applicant's** count 5:17 hit Central complete 21:19 8:10 25:21 10:1 25:8 application County 7:4 22:21 23:17 blocking centrally compliance 4:18 8:15 23:15 24:6 12:24 11:3 9:19 13:4 21:14 covenant 24:1 applied **Board** change 8:22 14:22 5:2 22:5 23:9 complies coverage 23:17 apply boat changing 20:8 11:17 17:2 9:9 17:9 9:17 22:12 concept Crespo 5:22 8:9 9:10 5:18.19 6:4.22 approval box Chapter 10:23 11:8 15:8 4:20 8:9 12:18 12:23 16:17 17:1,7,18 18:14,18,20,22 16:1,7 14:13 17:17 24:4 21:18,22 24:15 broached **Chapters** condition 25:7 approved 25:9 16:21 13:18 14:4,20 11:12 12:2 criteria buffer character conditions 16:9,14 17:1,18 architectural 12:1,25 15:9,11,16 22:5 4:20 8:9 23:23 23:2.18 24:2 16:16 17:6,7,8 choice 24:5 critical architecture buffers 18:12 confirm 23:11 5:19 16:18 22:12,17,19 city 21:18 crux area built 25:18 conjunction 8:24 9:7,9 10:18,24 10:7,12 12:12 cleanup 13:7 11:2,11 12:13,16, 20:22 cvpress 9:11 19,22 15:14 17:12, connecting 4:6 6:24 7:23 9:22 close 19 19:25 20:1,3 18:1 18:1 19:10 22:15 C 7:11 21:3,19 22:1 23:9, conservation clubhouse calculations 13,16 D 19:8.14 10:17 11:2 13:17 20:11 areas consistency date call Code 13:25 23:12 25:17 21:22 22:21 4.4 5:8 8:15,19 12:21 4:16,24 attached consistent 13:5,9 16:19 23:21 dates Caloosahatchee 5:23 7:23 21:23 23:3 24:7 19:4 16:3,5,17,23 22:23 attachment 24:6,10 combination day cards 13:2 25:23 constructed 25:21 21:12 5:11 14:22 comment DCI2023-00030 Caribe В construction 4:22 4:5 10:9,18 11:9 10:10 back comments dealership cart contemplated 7:7 8:13 13:18 4:25 5:12 25:19 13:24 16:8 19:5 21:9,12 commercial decision case based context 7:20 17:15 5:9 25:13 4:4,5,16,19 14:12 12:7 16:24 25:14 community 15:19 16:20,24 decision-making continuation basically 9:15,20,21,25 23:1.18 casita 22:7 12:25 13:8 10:1,19 14:11,12, 8:11,14,16,25 defined 20 16:4,10 21:24 continue bathroom 23:20 8:16 22:9,18,23 24:20 22:2 8:17 casitas demand communitycopies begin 9:4 11:15.18 12:6 12:12 22:10 5:17 6:20 20:11 specific 25:5 13:8 14:24 demonstrate 16:15 copy benefiting catch 12:19 compact 24:22,23 20:7 17:21 demonstrating 22:7 corner Bill category 13:4 compatibility 10:22 11:7 6:23 21:24 denoted 22:11 24:8 correct 16:1



density	Earth	Examiner	15:20 20:25 21:11	full-size
9:17 22:4 25:14	6:25 19:3	4:2,3,13 5:5,15	familiar	5:24 10:20
Department	easement	6:1,5,11,14,17	7:6 16:10	future
14:21	12:14 17:16 19:8,	18:5,17,19,21,25	Farms	9:14,20,23 21:24
depicts	12,13,15,16,18	19:22,25 20:4,15,	7:19	23:16
11:11	20:1	18,20 21:3,16,21 24:13,16 25:3,6	feet	
desire	easements		11:23 14:10 17:10,	G
9:6 25:24	11:25 12:1	excellent	20	general
detail	east	8:3	final	23:1
11:4	7:19 9:24	excerpt	5:3,4,5,9	Generally
developed	eastern	12:3	fire	19:16
7:15 10:25	12:14 17:8	excess	11:23 14:11,15,21	Goal
development	eat	12:18 20:10	fit	16:5 22:22
5:8 8:8,15 9:2	20:9	excuse	12:4,6 14:24	
11:18 12:20 13:4,	eliminate	5:2	flame-retardant	goals 22:22
11,15,23 16:19	14:3	exercise	14:23	
21:7,8 23:13,21	emergency	14:25	flatwoods	golf
24:7,21 25:16	18:10	exhibit	14:12 19:10	13:24
deviating	emergency-only	6:6,7,16,18 24:25		good
16:20	18:1	25:2,4	flexibility 9:3 23:7	4:2 5:18 17:21
deviation	enclave	Exhibits		19:1,2 24:19
13:6,7,12,16 14:7,	25:18	5:25	Florida	granted
13,17 15:5 16:8,		existing	19:8	9:3
15,22 17:2,4,6,7,	ended 21:14	9:11 11:5 12:9	FLUCCS	great
19,22,24 18:3,11,		14:1,7 15:13,23	7:1 18:24 19:4	10:13
15 24:1	enhanced	17:12 20:2,6 21:7	folks	green
deviations	16:23 22:18	22:9 23:9	13:23	9:16 20:24 21:3
4:21 8:8 9:12 15:1	enhancement	expand	foot	growth
16:20 17:1 23:5	16:18 17:5	12:11 14:17	11:25	22:7
24:2	enhancing	expansion	forgot	guess
differences	23:6	11:6 12:13 19:23	24:24	20:5
25:11	ensure	expected	format	
dispense	12:5 22:7	25:7	5:24	Н
5:16	ensuring	explicitly	Fort	la alaitat
disrupt	11:22	13:10	25:18	habitat 19:7
15:13	entire	extends	forthcoming	
district	10:22	9:21 12:25	11:5	handle
19:9,20 25:24,25	entirety		forward	19:20
,	24:10	extent	4:24 19:18	hands
driving 20:20	entrance	17:12	found	4:10
	11:3 25:19	exterior	17:21	happening
Duke	Environmental	15:3,6,18	FP&L	25:12
7:19	6:25 19:3		12:14 17:16	happy
duplicates	environmentally	F		24:12
6:2	23:11	facilities	FPL	head
dwelling		8:18	19:13,15,16,17	5:14
10:19	envisioned	facility	frontage	health
	25:15	13:18 19:19	10:25 12:25 22:2,	17:3
	L accoutially	1 .55 .66	13	1
E	essentially	fact		hear
	10:11 20:8	fact 25:14	full	hear 4:15 18
E earlier 13:19 24:24	_	fact 25:14 fairly		hear 4:15,18 heard



increased issues 7:78:13 lastly 9:15 14:10 20:8 22:4 21:14 17:6 make hearing 4:2,3,7,13 5:2,5,15 increasing item latest 5:9 25:13 6:1,2,5,11,14,17 25:14 15:2 8:6 Management 18:5,17,19,21,25 indigenous items leave 19:9 19:22,25 20:4,15, 13:3 14:8,11 21:8 6:19 9:5 18:14 map 18,20 21:3,16,21 individual IV Lee 7:1 9:14 18:24 24:13,16 25:3,6,13 8:14 16:5 21:22 20:12,24 8:12,25 11:15,19 19:4 heavier 23:3 24:6 20:12 industrial market 7:15 7:14,16 9:23 15:4, letter 22:10 history J 19 22:20 5:22,24 master 8:4 information light 5:22 8:9 9:10 **Jeremy** HOA 7:3 7:15 10:23 11:8 16:1 6:24 18:23 19:2 6:24 infrastructure lighter materials job home 23:15 9:16 14:23 15:15 8:3 10:13 16:2 10:8 11:1 ingress/egress limited maximum Joining housed 17:22 7:4 10:5 6:23 15:8,16 inside list **MCP** July housekeeping 15:12 7:4 10:20 11:10 18:6, 4:4 6:19 18 instructions listed housekeeping-5:16 14:15 meet Κ type 12:20 22:3 23:1,5 intend located 8:2 keyed 4:9 5:1 7:12 11:3 meet all 18:11 17:11 intensity locations ı kind 9:18 15:21 22:5 22:17 meeting 8:2 10:2 12:3 25:15 17:2 I-75/LUCKETT lose 19:11 21:9,13 7:11 intensive 18:3 meets kitchen 7:20 15:20 17:14 5:7 identified lot 8:17 6:6 22:8 intent 7:3 12:4 13:21,23, Mendez 9:19 23:7 24,25 14:4,25 5:4,14 6:10,13 Ш L 19:17 20:8 21:4 24:18.20 25:5.7 14:19 intentional 18:12 Laguna mentioned lots immediately 10:9,18 11:9 10:7,9,10 11:1,21 10:3 7:12 interchange lake 12:5.7 13:19 **MHPD** 7:12 impact 14:15,18,19,24 11:25 25:25 22:21 23:11 interest 20:12,17,24 21:15, 23:25 land MHPD/RVPD impacting 20 22:14 5:8 7:25 8:15 9:14, 8:7 10:4 22:1 interface lower 20.23 11:11 12:20 22:14 minor impervious 15:21 13:4 16:19 21:19, 10:15 21:1 internal 24 22:11 23:20 Luckett 11:1 15:17 mitigation include 24:7.9 7:13 10:24 13:1 19:21 18:8 21:19 introduced 17:25 22:2,13 lands 24:2 mix included 25:20 22:16 7:15 10:8 19:9.16 13:2 20:16 introduces landscape 23:19 23:19 includes М 5:19 12:1 16:18 mobile 10:21 inventorying landscaping maintain 10:8 11:1 10:13 including 16:16 9:19 15:22 22:16 mod 10:14 invite large maintenance 20:3 18:23 increase 17:11 22:14 11:25 models 9:6,10 issue large-lot majority 10:9 16:3 7:17



modernized	occur	pages	photographs	presentation
22:9	12:13	18:7	13:20	6:7,20 24:23 25:8
modification	off-site	park	photos	preserve
12:10 14:7	19:21	7:15 10:8 15:4,20	14:2	9:25 10:24 12:16,
modifications	Oftentimes	parking	picture	17,21 14:8,9 19:1
11:14	5:6	13:17,20,21,25	12:24	22:1,3
modified	older	14:1,4	pine	preserves
11:12	8:3 21:8	part	14:12 19:10	21:9
modify	omitted	18:5	place	presiding
5:2 8:7 13:16	18:13	partial	4:25	4:3
modifying	open	21:8	plan	Pretty
13:7	4:22 9:6 12:10,15,	participants	5:22 8:9 9:10	19:4
move	17,20,21 13:3	4:12	10:23 11:8 16:1,4,	previous
15:12 19:18	18:15 19:23 20:10	past	5,11 21:22 22:24	7:7 9:13
MPD	21:19 22:3 23:13	14:14	23:4 24:6	primary
25:24	operation	patches	planned	13:17
Myers	7:19,20	20:23	25:16	principal
25:18	operations	pattern	planner	9:18 15:2 24:20
	7:21	24:9	24:20	prior
N	opinion	PD	Planning	6:2
	16:14	5:6 7:3,7 8:3 17:2,	5:19	proceed
native	opportunity	5 23:7,17	plans	4:14 6:8
15:13 19:7	4:25 5:11	peak	5:12	process
needed	opposed	13:20 14:2	plant	9:6
13:12 14:23 17:23	15:17	people	14:11,12,20 15:3,	processes
newly	option	9:8	12,15	18:4
24:2	9:1	perimeter	plantings	professional
north	optional	21:5 22:16,19	15:6,24 17:11	16:14
7:12,22,24,25 9:21	11:10 15:9 18:16		point	
18:2 21:6 22:15	order	period 4:22	17:22,25 25:17	project 7:24 9:7 10:7,12
northeast	15:11 16:7		points	15:4,9 17:23 19:
10:22		permit	25:9	23:10 24:8,11
note	original 12:18 19:5	19:19		
7:1		permits	policies 22:22	projects 23:22
noted	originally	23:13		
24:1	25:15	permitted	policy	properties
notice	outlined	10:5 11:16 20:1	16:12,13 22:12	7:18 9:22
25:1	7:9 23:4	permitting	portion	property
noticed	outlining	19:5	9:16 10:11 12:15	7:7,9,17 9:15 10:
18:6	8:4	pertaining	potentially	15:11,23 16:1,4
nursery	overflow	8:6	9:8	17:9 21:6,10,13 22:6 23:14
7:21	13:20,25	pervious	Powerpoint	
1.41	owners	20:25	5:21 24:22	proposed
0	14:25	Phase	predating	11:5,6 21:23
		8:14 9:1,3 10:9,18,	25:24	22:19,25
oath	P	22 11:9,15,16,17	predominantly	proposing
4:8		12:2,3 14:18,19	19:6 20:22	22:4
objective	pad	18:8,16 21:7	prescribes	protect
16:9,11,12 22:8	8:20	phases	15:9	23:24
10.9,11,12 22.0				
objectives	pads 11:20 12:6	8:12,25 10:21	present	protecting

requirements 11:1 services provide 11:12 12:17,21 22:3 23:15 4:11 24:23 recommendation run provided 4:19 17:16 24:4 requires 25:10 setback 16:25 25:8 15:5 11:21 13:15 14:10, recommending Rural 18 providing 4:20 requiring 9:24 13:19 setbacks 23:7 record RV 9:4 12:5 13:8,10, provision 5:1.13 18:15 research 6:24 7:23 8:20 14 14:8 20:7 25:22 14:9 24:19,25 10:6,9 11:1,20 17:9 23:8 25:18 sets public red reserved 13:10 4:22,23 17:3 23:6, 7:9 13:19 **RV-ZONED** 12:9 shakes reduce residential 5:14 publication 17:8 15:9 22:11 RVI 6:9 25:1 5:19 **Shores** reduced residents 16:3.6.23 22:23 purposes 14:18 20:7 12:12 **RVPD** 11:24 **Shores-specific** 4:6 resolution reduction 16:17 **RVPDS** put 15:15,24 17:13 8:6 5:12 21:11 25:21, 13:9,11 show referenced resolutions 9:9 18:6.23 25:11 18:9 8:4 **RVS** 9:8 25:25 showing references resort Q 12:4 20:23 18:10 6:24 7:23 23:8 S shown referring respond qualifications 16:9 11:10 4:12 5:8 safety shows reintroduced rest quasi-judicial 11:23 17:3 23:6 18:9 17:24 5:16 10:25 4:7 salient side related restricting question 25:9 11:19,21,22 13:14 8:13 9:4 13:17 8:22 16:13 20:6 scatter 15:3,17 19:13 14:7 22:13 resultina questions 21:10,12 side-by-side relief 15:24 24:12 schedule 12:23 16:21 retain 8:12 signage R remain 24:8 **Schwab** 16:16,18 23:8 review 6:23 raise similarly remainder 4:19 16:8 season 4:9 15:7 22:14 19:6 reviewed 13:21 14:2 read simple remaining 13:8 16:10,11 section 16:12 19:4 7:24 rezoning 13:9 24:21 ready simply rented 23:1 seek 5:17 24:16 15:16 8:21 Rivera 16:25 real single Report 4:2,3,13 5:5,15 seeking 12:8 17:22,25 5:23 6:19 7:1 13:3 6:1,5,11,14,17 8:7 11:17 12:11 rear 18:5,17,19,21,25 24:5 25:23 13:16 15:2 16:15, 11:22 13:14 19:22,25 20:4,15, 7:14 9:18 10:25 representing 21 recalculate 12:15 14:2 15:12 18,20 21:3,16,21 5:20 6:23 sensitive 12:15 24:13,16 25:3,6 20:21 request 23:12 recalculated road sites 4:5,16,17 separation 13:3 7:11,13,21 10:24 12:9 20:6 requesting 12:5 receive 19:17 22:2,13 size 15:14 17:10 series 7:2 25:20 7:10 required 8:1 received roadway sizeable 15:6 17:10,11 served 6:2 18:8 11:2 requirement 23:14 recently roadways sleeping 8:21 20:14



waivers

13:23

7:2

walk

W

Station typically 8:18 4:10 14:15 7:3 slides Т 5:21 11:5 25:10 Sterk U 6:24 18:23 19:2,3, smaller talking 24 20:2,13,16,19 12:23 20:13 uh-huh 21:2,5,17 sold 20:15 Tech storage 10:8.10 6:25 19:3 Underlying 9:7 11:6 12:10,20 south 21:24 test 17:9 19:19,25 7:17 10:1 19:8 underutilized 23:5 23:13 22:14 25:19 13:22 testify store southeast 6:25 unique 9:8 11:7 13:11 testimony street southern 4:8,10 unit 13:15 9:16 8:20 thrust structure space 8:10 units 8:17 12:15,17,21 13:3 Tice 10:5.6 structures 14:5 20:10,24 14:15 unusual 11:22,24 14:22 21:19 22:3 21:11 tie 22:10 speak 9:1 updating studies 4:9,24 5:1,10 8:8.15 tied 16:24 speakers upland 11:8 study 7:5 14:8 time 7:2 specific uplands 4:24 8:14 25:24 stuff 16:22 19:9.17 todav 21:14 specifically 4:14 5:10 6:23 7:5 urban subject 8:10 8:1 25:13 9:15,19,21,25 10:1 7:6,9 14:11 16:4 spot 21:23 23:14,16 today's 17:18 21:12 4:4 usage submit staff 8:22 13:24 22:5 16:12,24 17:17 traffic 4:18 5:23 6:8,16, 7:2 submitted 18.19 7:1 8:3 ν **Trails** 5:25 6:16 13:20 10:13 13:2 15:21 7:23 9:22 18:2 18:24 25:2 17:16 22:25 23:24 variance 22:15 24:3.5 25:2.4.23 submitting 16:9.13 17:1.18 transitions 24:25 Staff's vegetation 9:24 24:22 subsequently 15:13,23 transportation 11:12 staggered version 23:10 11:20 12:4 substantial 10:20 triangle 13:22 23:23 Staley view 18:15 7:21 10:17 14:4 substantially trigger 10:7 22:6 standard views 16:8 sufficient 17:3 10:18 13:21 triggered 14.1 visit standards 16:14 8:8 9:2 10:16 support 20:21 truth 4:17 14:15 11:18 13:12,15 visits 4:11 16:16,23 22:7 supports 14:2 24:10 tying 9:7 volume 13:18 State surrounding 23:10 23:12 types 24:9 10:19 23:21 states swear





DOIZOZO-00000 Oypiess	WOOGS INVI D	07/31/2023IIIdex. World2011iiig
aulal		
world		
12:8		
written		
8:19		
Υ		
yard		
11:19,21,22		
years		
8:5 23:21		
yesterday		
20:21		
Z		
Z-20-0271		
8:6		
zip		
10:3		
zoned		
10:4		
zoning		
9:13 10:2,14 13:19		
24:21 25:21		

