PLANNING DIVISION



to: Board of County, Commissioners

from: Paul Comnof, AICP, Director, Division of Planning

subject: Lee County's 2000/2001 Regular Comprehensive Plan Amendment Cycle

date: December 21, 2001

Attached are the agenda and a portion of the staff reports for the public hearing to be held beginning at 9:30 A.M. on Thursday, January 10th, 2001. This is an adoption hearing for the 2000/2001 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Cycle. The Board of County Commissioners transmitted the 2000/2001 Lee Plan amendments to the State for review on September 12, 2001. The Department of Community Affairs (DCA) issued it's Objections, Recommendations and Comments (ORC) Report on November 21, 2001. The DCA did not pose any objections, recommendations, or comments on 22 of the 24 transmitted amendments. The two amendments that were objected to were PAM 98-06 and CPA2000-02.

The DCA offered objections to PAM 98-06, which is a privately-initiated request to amend the Future Land Use Map series for a portion of a specified parcel of land located in Section 20, Township 46 South, Range 25 East to change the classification shown on Map 1, the Future Land Use Map, from "Rural" to "Outlying Suburban," and also, to amend Lee Plan Policy 1.1.6 and Table 1(a), Note 6. This amendment has been placed on the Administrative Agenda. Staff is still working with the applicant in their efforts to respond to the objections of DCA, although staff has not received any new information as of this writing that would change the original staff recommendation. The staff report and applicant's response to the objections of the DCA will be provided to the BoCC under a separate cover next week.

The DCA also offered an objection to CPA2000-00002, which is a privately-initiated amendment to amend Goal 15, Gasparilla Island, to limit commercial and industrial uses within those portions of the Boca Bay Community that contain the Port District zoning designation. Staff is currently working with representatives from DCA and the applicant to resolve this issue, and anticipates that the outstanding issues will be resolved to the satisfaction of the DCA. The staff report and response to DCA's objections will be provided to the BoCC under a separate cover next week.

Three other amendments have been revised to reflect recent updates that have occurred since the transmittal hearing. One of these, CPA2000-00019, is the amendment addressing the Estero Community Planning Effort. Representatives of the Estero Community Planning Panel submitted several proposed modifications to the transmittal language on December 21, 2001. Staff is still reviewing these modifications and will provide recommendations on them as part of the adoption staff report. The staff report for this amendment will be provided to the BoCC under a separate cover next week.

Another amendment that will require modification between the transmittal hearing and the adoption hearing is CPA2000-00027, which proposes to update the Capital Improvements Program (CIP) in

the Lee Plan. Planning staff will be receiving the latest CIP from Budget Services staff, and will incorporate this document into the Lee Plan. The staff report for this amendment will be provided to the BoCC under a separate cover next week.

The final amendment that might require modification from the transmittal stage is CPA2000-00015, which proposes to modify setbacks for golf course maintenance facilities from public roadways and adjacent residential properties in the Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource areas. Staff is still finalizing its recommendation on this amendment. The staff report and final recommendation will be provided to the BoCC under a separate cover next week.

Other than these 5 amendments that will require modifications as noted above, the remaining documents were simply updated to reflect that there were no objections, recommendations, or comments by the DCA. As stated previously, the staff reports and other background materials for these 5 amendments will be provided to the Board next week, and should be added to the materials received with this correspondence.

If you have any questions regarding the adoption hearing, do not hesitate to call me at 479-8309.

cc: Donald Stilwell, County Administrator
Mary Gibbs, Director, Department of Community Development
Minutes
Lee Cares
Tim Jones, Assistant County Attorney
Janet Watermeier, Director, Economic Development
Dave Loveland, DOT
Diana Parker, County Hearing Examiner

CPA2000-07 BoCC SPONSORED AMENDMENT TO THE

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

BoCC Public Hearing Document for the January 10th Adoption Hearing

> Lee County Planning Division 1500 Monroe Street P.O. Box 398 Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398 (941) 479-8585

> > November 21, 2001

LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING STAFF REPORT FOR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CPA2000-07

1	Text Amendment Map Amendment		
1	This Document Contains the Following Reviews:		
/	Staff Review		
/	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation		
/	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal		
/	Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations, and Comments (ORC) Report		
ıİ.	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption		

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: March 19, 2001

PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Map Series by adding a map delineating an area in Sections 13 and 24, Township 44 South, Range 24 East and Sections 17, 18, 19, and 20 Township 44 South, Range 25 East as an urban infill area. In addition, amend Objective 1.7, Special Treatment Areas, of the Future Land Use Element by adding a new policy describing urban infill areas of the county.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

 RECOMMENDATION: Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit the proposed amendment as provided under Part C, the Staff Recommendation portion of this report.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The state of Florida has money available, for both planning and implementation, for Urban Infill
 and Redevelopment Grants.
- The City of Fort Myers Planning staff have identified an area along Martin Luther King Boulevard that has already qualified for a planning grant.
- The area identified contains both incorporated and unincorporated properties.
- The proposed plan amendment, identifying the area for the planning study, is required in order to qualify for and receive the grant funding.
- The Board of County Commissioners, when they co-signed the grant application, committed to a
 plan amendment that would identify the subject property as an Urban Infill area.

C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This amendment was initiated by the Board of County Commissioners on September 19, 2000. The City of Fort Myers Planning staff have identified an area containing both incorporated and unincorporated properties along Martin Luther King Boulevard that has already qualified for a planning grant. At this time the grant application has been submitted and the City has been approved for the planning grant funding.

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS

A. STAFF DISCUSSION

The state of Florida has money available for Urban Infill and Redevelopment Grants. The grant program comes in two phases: planning grants and grants to implement those plans. The grant applications are competitive, utilizing a point system for applications that meet certain criteria. The City has identified an area containing both incorporated and unincorporated properties. The City staff will conduct the planning study with input and oversight from an Urban Infill Redevelopment Stakeholders Committee. As part of the participation in the planning study, the County is designating one or more representatives for this committee. The details of the structure of this committee have yet to be established. There is no financial obligation to the County associated with co-signing the grant application.

In addition, the co-signing of the application obligates the County to process this proposed amendment to the Lee Plan establishing the area of the planning study as an Urban Infill area. This amendment is required in order to receive the grant funding and is a condition of the current planning grant.

The proposed amendment will add a map to the Future Land Use Map Series delineating the urban infill area. Staff is also proposing the addition of a new policy to Objective 1.7, Special Treatment Areas. This policy will describe the proposed urban infill area.

B. CONCLUSIONS

The grant application has been submitted and the City of Fort Myers has received approval for the planning grant funding. The area contains both incorporated and unincorporated properties. In order to meet the

requirements for grant funding, staff is identifying the area for the planning study through the proposed plan amendment.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit the proposed plan amendment. The map indicating the urban infill area can be found as Attachment A. The proposed language is as follows:

POLICY 1.7.9: The Urban Infill and Redevelopment overlay (MAP 15) designates areas that have been targeted for economic development, job creation, housing, transportation, crime prevention, neighborhood revitalization and preservation, and land use incentives to encourage urban infill and redevelopment within the urban core, in accordance with F.S. 163.2517. These areas have the opportunity to receive additional funding to implement the planning program through the Urban Infill and Redevelopment Assistance Grant Program through the Florida Department of Community Affairs.

PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: March 26, 2001

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

Staff presented the amendment before the LPA on March 26, 2001. One member of the LPA asked who would be responsible for delineating the area. Staff explained that both the City of Fort Myers and the County's planning departments had worked together on the boundary line. Another member of the LPA questioned if it was the general area being discussed rather than a specific project. Staff responded that specific projects would be formulated as the planning study is completed. Staff provided several other facts in response to questions from the LPA such as the city will be applying for implementation funds in the future, a description of the outer boundary lines of the subject area, and the fact that the language of F.S. 163.2517 will define the area as an urban infill and redevelopment area.

B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

- 1. RECOMMENDATION: The LPA recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this amendment.
- BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT: The LPA accepted the findings of fact as advanced by the staff.

E. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS	AYE
SUSAN BROOKMAN	AYE
BARRY ERNST	AYE
RONALD INGE	AYE
GORDON REIGELMAN	AYE
VIRGINIA SPLITT	AYE
GREG STUART	AYE

PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING: August 29, 2001

A. BOARD REVIEW: The Board of County Commissioners provided no discussion concerning the proposed plan amendment. This item was approved on the consent agenda.

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

- 1. BOARD ACTION: The Board of County Commissioners voted to transmit the proposed plan amendment.
- 2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT: The Board accepted the findings of fact advanced by staff and the LPA.

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION	AYE
ANDREW COY	AYE
BOB JANES	AYE
RAY JUDAH	AYE
DOUG ST. CERNY	AYE

PART V - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS (ORC) REPORT

DATE OF ORC REPORT: November 21, 2001

A. DCA OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS

The DCA had no objections, recommendations, or comments concerning this amendment.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Adopt the amendment as transmitted.

PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: January 10, 2002

A.	BOARD REVIEW:
В.	BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:
	1. BOARD ACTION:
	2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:
C.	VOTE:
	JOHN ALBION
	ANDREW COY
	BOB JANES
	RAY JUDAH
	DOUG ST. CERNY

CPA 2000-0007 Proposed Map 15: Urban Infill Boundary Map



