



**CPA 2000-08
BoCC SPONSORED
AMENDMENT
TO THE**

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

BoCC adoption Document

*Lee County Planning Division
1500 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398
(941) 479-8585*

January 10, 2002

**LEE COUNTY
DIVISION OF PLANNING
STAFF REPORT FOR
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT
CPA2000-08**

☐

Text Amendment

☒

Map Amendment

✓	This document contains the following reviews:
✓	Staff Review
✓	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation
✓	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal
✓	Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations, and Comments (ORC) Report
✓	Board Of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: February 19, 2001

PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The designations used on the Lee County FLUM do not accurately reflect the future land use goals of the Town's residents.

- The categories used in the Fort Myers Beach Future Land Use Map are intended for different purposes than the Lee County Future Land Use categories. The Town's categories are targeted specifically for conditions on Estero Island, whereas the County categories were created for use in the entire County and have to address a broader range of conditions. As such, there are no exact matches between the two. Some Fort Myers Beach Categories such as Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial have only approximate matches with Lee County FLUM categories.
- The two sets of categories do not completely match each other spatially. There is a need to adjust the boundaries of the Lee County FLUM categories to more closely match the Fort Myers Beach categories.

C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In 1990, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) required Lee County to modify its' future land use map designations within the cities of Fort Myers and Cape Coral to more closely correspond with their adopted future land use maps. The County FLUM already corresponded to the City of Sanibel FLUM. The Town of Fort Myers Beach subsequently incorporated and on January 1, 1999 a new Future Land Use Map for the Town of Fort Myers Beach became effective. Staff compared the Lee County FLUM with the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM and found significant variations between them. In order to remain consistent with the requirements of the DCA and to make the Lee County FLUM a more accurate representation of its' municipalities' FLUMs, the Board of County Commissioners initiated this amendment on September 19, 2000.

In 1984 Lee County adopted its' first Future Land Use Map. On this map, all of Estero Island was designated Urban Community.

In 1989, citizens of Estero Island expressed concern for the levels of development on the island and formed the Fort Myers Beach Land Use Committee to address their concerns. The committee believed that too much commercial development and overly dense residential development threatened the distinctive quality of life for the island residents. In September of 1990, The Beach Plan, a future land use study for San Carlos and Estero Islands, was submitted to staff as a proposed Lee Plan amendment.

The eventual outcomes of this plan were the adoption of Goal 18 in the Lee Plan and changes to the Lee Plan FLUM. Goal 18 addressed quality of life concerns as well as natural resources, future land uses, transportation, recreation and beautification among other concerns. Natural resource policies addressed beach renourishment, water quality, fishing restrictions, and dredging. Transportation policies addressed pedestrian access, public transit, traffic circulation, and parking facilities. Recreation and beautification policies addressed trash and debris removal, beach access, natural preservation and conservation, landscaping, historic preservation, and impervious surfaces. Community facility policies addressed wastewater treatment and potable water delivery.

This new goal addressed the FLUM designations on Estero Island and justified the categories chosen. The intent of the future land use objective was to limit both commercial development and residential densities. Areas designated Suburban would not receive any new commercial development and would be limited to 6 dwelling units per acre. The intent of the Urban

Community designation was to allow commercial development to occur but to prevent it from intruding upon existing residential areas. Toward this end all areas zoned for commercial use were designated Urban Community while residential neighborhoods were designated Suburban. Subsequent to the adoption of this objective, a large part of the Matanzas Pass Preserve was redesignated Conservation Lands as part of Lee Plan Amendment PAM/T 96-08.

The Town FLUM uses a different set of future land use categories than Map 1 of the Lee County FLUM. The Lee County FLUM series currently shows five categories within the municipal limits of the Town of Fort Myers Beach (Table 1). These are: Urban Community, Suburban, Public Facilities, Wetlands, and Conservation Lands. The Outlying Suburban and Central Urban categories are included on the table for later reference. A significant difference between Urban Community and Suburban is that the former allows greater commercial development. The main difference between Suburban and Outlying Suburban is the permitted residential density. The Conservation Lands category is subdivided into the Uplands and Wetlands sub-categories. The Central Urban and Urban Community categories are similar in nature, the former is intended to reflect the "urban core" areas of the County and allows greater residential density.

Table 1. Lee County FLUM Categories on Estero Island		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Central Urban*	10 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Urban Community	6 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Suburban	6 units per acre	No bonus density
Public Facility	0	
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 Acres	No bonus density
Conservation Lands	0	
Outlying Suburban*	3 units per acre	No bonus density

* *Proposed for inclusion on Estero Island.*

The eight FLUM categories adopted by the Town of Fort Myers Beach are:

Low Density

Applied to existing subdivisions with an established low-density character (primarily single-family homes). The only commercial uses allowed are home occupations.

Mixed Residential

Applied to older subdivisions with mixed housing types on smaller lots, and to newer high-rise buildings. Commercial activities are limited to lower impact uses such as offices and motels.

Boulevard

A mixed-use district along portions of Estero Boulevard, including less-intense commercial areas and mixed housing types.

Pedestrian Commercial

A primarily commercial district applied to the intense activity centers of Times Square (including Old San Carlos and nearby portions of Estero Boulevard) and the area around the Villa Santini Plaza.

Marina

Water access services, primarily for pleasure boating, including related accessory uses provided they don't displace marina services. Cruise ships and similar uses that draw large amounts of vehicular traffic are not permitted in this category

Recreation

Applied to public parks, public swimming pools, elementary schools, undevelopable portions of the Bay Beach golf course, and Gulf beaches (those portions seaward of the 1978 coastal construction control line).

Wetlands

A conservation district applied to all remaining wetlands.

Tidal Water

Applied to all saltwater canals and all waters surrounding Estero Island that lie within the municipal boundary (out 1,000 feet from the shoreline).

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS

A. STAFF DISCUSSION

The first step in this analysis was to compare the different classification systems for compatibility. The stated intent of each category was considered, as were the types of permitted development and housing density. Also considered was each categories' ability to reflect the intent of The Beach Plan. The next step was to compare the geographic similarity of the two classification systems and find locations on the Fort Myers Beach FLUM that used different boundary lines than the Lee County FLUM. It should be noted that on the Town FLUM, the canals and waterways are designated Tidal Water whereas on the County FLUM the canals are given the same designation as the land on either side. If there are different categories on either side, the boundary is drawn down the middle of the canal. This is the same practice used on the rest of the County FLUM. The Town's FLUM is attached to this document for comparison (Attachment 2).

Table 2. Fort Myers Beach FLUM categories		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Low Density	4 units per acre	
Mixed Residential	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Boulevard	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Pedestrian Commercial	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Marina	0	
Recreation	1 unit per 20 acres	No new residential
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 acres	
Tidal Water	0 units per acre	

Residential density was a problem because the two classification systems use somewhat different limits. The Town allows 4 units per acre in low density areas, 6 in other categories, and 10 units per acre in special platted overlays (table 2). This does not exactly match the Lee County system, which allows 3 units per acre in Outlying Suburban, 6 units per acre in Suburban, and 6 units per acre in Urban Community that can be increased to 10 units with bonus density (table 1). This bonus density, however, is not allowed on islands as specified in LDC section 34-1511. However, staff believes that the Outlying Suburban designation better reflects the intent of the Low Density category used by the Town FLUM. Likewise, the County Suburban designation best suits the Towns' Mixed Residential category.

The Town has designated four different areas as Boulevard. The Boulevard category is intended to have commercial activity so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. But, the commercial intensity is supposed to be low, so the Central Urban category is too intense. Urban Community is intended to have residential and commercial activity and falls between Central Urban and Suburban. Therefore, the best match for Boulevard in the County classification system is Urban Community.

The Towns' Pedestrian Commercial category is best matched by Central Urban on the County FLUM. Although the permitted densities and uses vary between the two categories, the Central Urban designation best reflects the Town's desire to keep the most intense development within the two commercial nodes at each end of the island. The northern area of the island surrounding Times Square is intended to be the Towns' "urban core." The Central Urban FLUM category is intended to reflect urban core areas.

There are two areas that the Town has designated Marina. Due to the commercial nature of these areas, the Urban Community category is the best match on the County FLUM. The marinas are not residential so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. The commercial intensity that the Town desires for these areas, however, is not enough to rate the Central Urban category.

The Recreation category used by the Town is more difficult to match for two reasons. First, it covers a wide range of uses. Second, there is no criterion in the Beach Plan that a property be publicly owned to receive the Recreation designation. The public beaches on the gulf side of the island are shown as Recreation on the Towns' FLUM but as Public Facility on the County FLUM. The Town uses the coastal control line created by the Department of Environmental Protection as the landward boundary for this category. Therefore, the Public Facilities designation on the gulf-side beaches is proposed to also follow this line. In addition, the Town FLUM more accurately reflects the outcome of past beach erosion, accretion, and renourishment. Staff recommends that the County FLUM do the same. The Town also designated the upland portions of Matanzas Pass Preserve as Recreation. However, on the County FLUM, these were designated Upland Conservation Lands by Lee Plan amendment PAM/T 96-08. Staff proposes that these areas remain in that category. Bay Beach Golf Course is also designated Recreation on the Town FLUM. However, since it is not a publicly owned parcel, it does not meet the criteria for the County designation of Public Facility. Therefore, staff proposes the golf course parcel remain in the Suburban category on the County FLUM. Likewise, parcel 24-46-23-W3-0050B.0100, at 815 Estero Boulevard just northeast of Lynn Hall Memorial Park is privately owned but listed as Recreation by the Town FLUM. It is vacant as of this report. Staff also proposes to keep this parcel in the Suburban category.

The island also contains several areas listed as wetlands on the Towns' FLUM. The wetlands in the Matanzas Pass Preserve are Designated Wetland Conservation Lands on the County FLUM and staff believes they should stay that way. Except for areas mentioned below, the Towns' FLUM depicts wetlands more accurately than the County FLUM. Staff proposes that the areas designated Wetland on the Town FLUM be designated as Wetlands on the County FLUM.

There are several locations where conditions are unusual and further explanation is helpful. Staff has prepared a series of maps to illustrate the difference between the existing and proposed FLUM designations. Maps 1a and 1b show all of Estero Island with specific areas outlined and the extent of the larger-scale maps shown. Map 1a shows the current FLUM designations and map 1b shows the proposed designations. Maps 2a and 3a are more detailed maps showing the current FLUM designations of the areas proposed for amendment. Maps 2b and 3b show the proposed FLUM designations of those same areas.

Area 1

This area is in a bayside lagoon between Widgeon Terrace and Bay Village Drive, parcel 33-46-24-W2-00001.0000. The area also cuts across parcel lines around the end of Indian Bayou Drive. The Lee County FLUM shows this as Suburban (see map 3a). The Fort Myers Beach Map designates it Wetland (see Attachment 2). The Towns' designation is more accurate. There are other wetlands east of Widgeon Terrace that are listed as suburban on the County FLUM. However,

there are land use cases pending in that area. That and some inaccuracy in both the Town and County FLUMs makes further FLUM amendments inadvisable for this area.

Area 2

The second location is the Mound House at 451 Connecticut Street, parcel 29-46-24-W2-00146.0010. This is now owned by The Town of Fort Myers Beach and is designated Recreation on their FLUM (see Attachment 2). It is shown as Suburban on the Lee County FLUM (see map 2a). The primary incentive for purchasing the property was to preserve the site and use the house as a museum as well as for hosting special functions. The Conservation Lands category, as expressed in Lee Plan Policy 1.4.6. is meant to be applied to properties that the public intends to preserve for historic and cultural preservation. Therefore, Upland Conservation Lands would more closely match the Town's intended future use for the land.

Area 3

This area is between Tropical Shores Way and the canal to the east. There is a small area shown as wetlands on the Lee County FLUM that is mostly surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). On the Fort Myers Beach FLUM, all of the land area is designated Mixed Residential (see Attachment 2). Also, this land area is already built up with residential uses. The wetlands may have been dredged and filled subsequent to their classification. Changing this Wetland area to Suburban would better reflect reality as well as the Towns' intent.

Area 4

The fourth area lies generally between Mango Street and the canal to the east, overlapping Mango Street and cutting across parcel lines at the southern edge. It is shown in the Lee County FLUM as Wetland and is surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). The Fort Myers Beach FLUM limits the Wetland designation to four parcels between Mango Street and the canal and stops the Wetland designation at the southern parcel lines (see Attachment 2). Aerial photographs support the Towns' designation. It would better reflect the Towns' intent and the existing conditions to alter the Lee County Wetland lines to match the boundaries of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM.

B. CONCLUSIONS

There is an approximately matching category on the Lee County FLUM for every category on the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM. Suburban and Outlying Suburban are the best possible matches for the Towns' Mixed Residential and Low Density categories. The Urban Community and Central Urban categories help reflect the higher intensity land use areas designated Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial. The Public Facility category reflects the Towns' intent for the beaches and other public properties. The Town FLUM more accurately maps the wetland category and the County FLUM should be revised to match the spatial limits of this designation. Although the permitted uses and densities vary between the two systems, it is still possible to reflect the general intent and pattern of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM using the County FLUM categories.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

**PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY
REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION**

DATE OF PUBLIC LPA HEARING: February 26, 2001

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

One LPA member expressed concern that the County map should be congruent with the Fort Myers Beach map. Staff responded that the towns' planning consultant, Mr. Spikowski, reviewed the amendment and concurs with the changes.

**B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT
SUMMARY**

1. RECOMMENDATION:

The LPA recommend that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this document to amend the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

The LPA accepted the findings of fact as advanced by staff.

C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS	<u>Aye</u>
SUSAN BROOKMAN	<u>Aye</u>
BARRY ERNST	<u>Aye</u>
RONALD INGE	<u>Aye</u>
GORDON REIGELMAN	<u>Aye</u>
VIRGINIA SPLITT	<u>Aye</u>
GREG STUART	<u>Aye</u>

**PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING: August 29, 2001

A. BOARD REVIEW: The Board of County Commissioners provided no discussion concerning the proposed plan amendment.

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

- 1. BOARD ACTION:** The Board of County Commissioners voted to transmit the proposed plan amendment. This item was approved on the consent agenda.
- 2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:** The Board accepted the findings of fact advanced by staff and the LPA.

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION	<u>AYE</u>
ANDREW COY	<u>AYE</u>
BOB JANES	<u>AYE</u>
RAY JUDAH	<u>AYE</u>
DOUG ST. CERNY	<u>AYE</u>

**PART V - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OBJECTIONS,
RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS (ORC) REPORT**

DATE OF ORC REPORT: November 21, 2001

A. DCA OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS:

The DCA had no objections, recommendations, or comments concerning this amendment.

B. STAFF RESPONSE

Adopt the amendment as transmitted.

**PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: January 10, 2002

A. BOARD REVIEW: The Board of County Commissioners provided no discussion concerning the proposed plan amendment.

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. **BOARD ACTION:** The Board of County Commissioners voted to adopt the proposed plan amendment. This item was approved on the consent agenda.

2. **BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:** The Board accepted the findings of fact advanced by staff and the LPA.

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION

Aye

ANDREW COY

Absent

RAY JUDAH

Aye


BOB JANES

Aye


DOUG ST. CERNY

Aye

Current Lee County FLUM Designations



Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 1B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 2A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 2B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning



**CPA 2000-08
BoCC SPONSORED
AMENDMENT
TO THE**

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

**BoCC Public Hearing Document
for the
January 10th Adoption Hearing**

**Lee County Planning Division
1500 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398
(941) 479-8585**

November 21, 2001

**LEE COUNTY
DIVISION OF PLANNING
STAFF REPORT FOR
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT
CPA2000-08**

☐

Text Amendment

☒

Map Amendment

	This document contains the following reviews:
✓	Staff Review
✓	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation
✓	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal
✓	Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations, and Comments (ORC) Report
	Board Of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: February 19, 2001

PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The designations used on the Lee County FLUM do not accurately reflect the future land use goals of the Town's residents.

- The categories used in the Fort Myers Beach Future Land Use Map are intended for different purposes than the Lee County Future Land Use categories. The Town's categories are targeted specifically for conditions on Estero Island, whereas the County categories were created for use in the entire County and have to address a broader range of conditions. As such, there are no exact matches between the two. Some Fort Myers Beach Categories such as Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial have only approximate matches with Lee County FLUM categories.
- The two sets of categories do not completely match each other spatially. There is a need to adjust the boundaries of the Lee County FLUM categories to more closely match the Fort Myers Beach categories.

C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In 1990, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) required Lee County to modify its' future land use map designations within the cities of Fort Myers and Cape Coral to more closely correspond with their adopted future land use maps. The County FLUM already corresponded to the City of Sanibel FLUM. The Town of Fort Myers Beach subsequently incorporated and on January 1, 1999 a new Future Land Use Map for the Town of Fort Myers Beach became effective. Staff compared the Lee County FLUM with the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM and found significant variations between them. In order to remain consistent with the requirements of the DCA and to make the Lee County FLUM a more accurate representation of its' municipalities' FLUMs, the Board of County Commissioners initiated this amendment on September 19, 2000.

In 1984 Lee County adopted its' first Future Land Use Map. On this map, all of Estero Island was designated Urban Community.

In 1989, citizens of Estero Island expressed concern for the levels of development on the island and formed the Fort Myers Beach Land Use Committee to address their concerns. The committee believed that too much commercial development and overly dense residential development threatened the distinctive quality of life for the island residents. In September of 1990, The Beach Plan, a future land use study for San Carlos and Estero Islands, was submitted to staff as a proposed Lee Plan amendment.

The eventual outcomes of this plan were the adoption of Goal 18 in the Lee Plan and changes to the Lee Plan FLUM. Goal 18 addressed quality of life concerns as well as natural resources, future land uses, transportation, recreation and beautification among other concerns. Natural resource policies addressed beach renourishment, water quality, fishing restrictions, and dredging. Transportation policies addressed pedestrian access, public transit, traffic circulation, and parking facilities. Recreation and beautification policies addressed trash and debris removal, beach access, natural preservation and conservation, landscaping, historic preservation, and impervious surfaces. Community facility policies addressed wastewater treatment and potable water delivery.

This new goal addressed the FLUM designations on Estero Island and justified the categories chosen. The intent of the future land use objective was to limit both commercial development and residential densities. Areas designated Suburban would not receive any new commercial development and would be limited to 6 dwelling units per acre. The intent of the Urban

Community designation was to allow commercial development to occur but to prevent it from intruding upon existing residential areas. Toward this end all areas zoned for commercial use were designated Urban Community while residential neighborhoods were designated Suburban. Subsequent to the adoption of this objective, a large part of the Matanzas Pass Preserve was redesignated Conservation Lands as part of Lee Plan Amendment PAM/T 96-08.

The Town FLUM uses a different set of future land use categories than Map 1 of the Lee County FLUM. The Lee County FLUM series currently shows five categories within the municipal limits of the Town of Fort Myers Beach (Table 1). These are: Urban Community, Suburban, Public Facilities, Wetlands, and Conservation Lands. The Outlying Suburban and Central Urban categories are included on the table for later reference. A significant difference between Urban Community and Suburban is that the former allows greater commercial development. The main difference between Suburban and Outlying Suburban is the permitted residential density. The Conservation Lands category is subdivided into the Uplands and Wetlands sub-categories. The Central Urban and Urban Community categories are similar in nature, the former is intended to reflect the "urban core" areas of the County and allows greater residential density.

Table 1. Lee County FLUM Categories on Estero Island		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Central Urban*	10 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Urban Community	6 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Suburban	6 units per acre	No bonus density
Public Facility	0	
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 Acres	No bonus density
Conservation Lands	0	
Outlying Suburban*	3 units per acre	No bonus density

* *Proposed for inclusion on Estero Island.*

The eight FLUM categories adopted by the Town of Fort Myers Beach are:

Low Density

Applied to existing subdivisions with an established low-density character (primarily single-family homes). The only commercial uses allowed are home occupations.

Mixed Residential

Applied to older subdivisions with mixed housing types on smaller lots, and to newer high-rise buildings. Commercial activities are limited to lower impact uses such as offices and motels.

Boulevard

A mixed-use district along portions of Estero Boulevard, including less-intense commercial areas and mixed housing types.

Pedestrian Commercial

A primarily commercial district applied to the intense activity centers of Times Square (including Old San Carlos and nearby portions of Estero Boulevard) and the area around the Villa Santini Plaza.

Marina

Water access services, primarily for pleasure boating, including related accessory uses provided they don't displace marina services. Cruise ships and similar uses that draw large amounts of vehicular traffic are not permitted in this category

Recreation

Applied to public parks, public swimming pools, elementary schools, undevelopable portions of the Bay Beach golf course, and Gulf beaches (those portions seaward of the 1978 coastal construction control line).

Wetlands

A conservation district applied to all remaining wetlands.

Tidal Water

Applied to all saltwater canals and all waters surrounding Estero Island that lie within the municipal boundary (out 1,000 feet from the shoreline).

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS

A. STAFF DISCUSSION

The first step in this analysis was to compare the different classification systems for compatibility. The stated intent of each category was considered, as were the types of permitted development and housing density. Also considered was each categories' ability to reflect the intent of The Beach Plan. The next step was to compare the geographic similarity of the two classification systems and find locations on the Fort Myers Beach FLUM that used different boundary lines than the Lee County FLUM. It should be noted that on the Town FLUM, the canals and waterways are designated Tidal Water whereas on the County FLUM the canals are given the same designation as the land on either side. If there are different categories on either side, the boundary is drawn down the middle of the canal. This is the same practice used on the rest of the County FLUM. The Town's FLUM is attached to this document for comparison (Attachment 2).

Table 2. Fort Myers Beach FLUM categories		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Low Density	4 units per acre	
Mixed Residential	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Boulevard	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Pedestrian Commercial	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Marina	0	
Recreation	1 unit per 20 acres	No new residential
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 acres	
Tidal Water	0 units per acre	

Residential density was a problem because the two classification systems use somewhat different limits. The Town allows 4 units per acre in low density areas, 6 in other categories, and 10 units per acre in special platted overlays (table 2). This does not exactly match the Lee County system, which allows 3 units per acre in Outlying Suburban, 6 units per acre in Suburban, and 6 units per acre in Urban Community that can be increased to 10 units with bonus density (table 1). This bonus density, however, is not allowed on islands as specified in LDC section 34-1511. However, staff believes that the Outlying Suburban designation better reflects the intent of the Low Density category used by the Town FLUM. Likewise, the County Suburban designation best suits the Towns' Mixed Residential category.

The Town has designated four different areas as Boulevard. The Boulevard category is intended to have commercial activity so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. But, the commercial intensity is supposed to be low, so the Central Urban category is too intense. Urban Community is intended to have residential and commercial activity and falls between Central Urban and Suburban. Therefore, the best match for Boulevard in the County classification system is Urban Community.

The Towns' Pedestrian Commercial category is best matched by Central Urban on the County FLUM. Although the permitted densities and uses vary between the two categories, the Central Urban designation best reflects the Town's desire to keep the most intense development within the two commercial nodes at each end of the island. The northern area of the island surrounding Times Square is intended to be the Towns' "urban core." The Central Urban FLUM category is intended to reflect urban core areas.

There are two areas that the Town has designated Marina. Due to the commercial nature of these areas, the Urban Community category is the best match on the County FLUM. The marinas are not residential so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. The commercial intensity that the Town desires for these areas, however, is not enough to rate the Central Urban category.

The Recreation category used by the Town is more difficult to match for two reasons. First, it covers a wide range of uses. Second, there is no criterion in the Beach Plan that a property be publicly owned to receive the Recreation designation. The public beaches on the gulf side of the island are shown as Recreation on the Towns' FLUM but as Public Facility on the County FLUM. The Town uses the coastal control line created by the Department of Environmental Protection as the landward boundary for this category. Therefore, the Public Facilities designation on the gulf-side beaches is proposed to also follow this line. In addition, the Town FLUM more accurately reflects the outcome of past beach erosion, accretion, and renourishment. Staff recommends that the County FLUM do the same. The Town also designated the upland portions of Matanzas Pass Preserve as Recreation. However, on the County FLUM, these were designated Upland Conservation Lands by Lee Plan amendment PAM/T 96-08. Staff proposes that these areas remain in that category. Bay Beach Golf Course is also designated Recreation on the Town FLUM. However, since it is not a publicly owned parcel, it does not meet the criteria for the County designation of Public Facility. Therefore, staff proposes the golf course parcel remain in the Suburban category on the County FLUM. Likewise, parcel 24-46-23-W3-0050B.0100, at 815 Estero Boulevard just northeast of Lynn Hall Memorial Park is privately owned but listed as Recreation by the Town FLUM. It is vacant as of this report. Staff also proposes to keep this parcel in the Suburban category.

The island also contains several areas listed as wetlands on the Towns' FLUM. The wetlands in the Matanzas Pass Preserve are Designated Wetland Conservation Lands on the County FLUM and staff believes they should stay that way. Except for areas mentioned below, the Towns' FLUM depicts wetlands more accurately than the County FLUM. Staff proposes that the areas designated Wetland on the Town FLUM be designated as Wetlands on the County FLUM.

There are several locations where conditions are unusual and further explanation is helpful. Staff has prepared a series of maps to illustrate the difference between the existing and proposed FLUM designations. Maps 1a and 1b show all of Estero Island with specific areas outlined and the extent of the larger-scale maps shown. Map 1a shows the current FLUM designations and map 1b shows the proposed designations. Maps 2a and 3a are more detailed maps showing the current FLUM designations of the areas proposed for amendment. Maps 2b and 3b show the proposed FLUM designations of those same areas.

Area 1

This area is in a bayside lagoon between Widgeon Terrace and Bay Village Drive, parcel 33-46-24-W2-00001.0000. The area also cuts across parcel lines around the end of Indian Bayou Drive. The Lee County FLUM shows this as Suburban (see map 3a). The Fort Myers Beach Map designates it Wetland (see Attachment 2). The Towns' designation is more accurate. There are other wetlands east of Widgeon Terrace that are listed as suburban on the County FLUM. However,

there are land use cases pending in that area. That and some inaccuracy in both the Town and County FLUMs makes further FLUM amendments inadvisable for this area.

Area 2

The second location is the Mound House at 451 Connecticut Street, parcel 29-46-24-W2-00146.0010. This is now owned by The Town of Fort Myers Beach and is designated Recreation on their FLUM (see Attachment 2). It is shown as Suburban on the Lee County FLUM (see map 2a). The primary incentive for purchasing the property was to preserve the site and use the house as a museum as well as for hosting special functions. The Conservation Lands category, as expressed in Lee Plan Policy 1.4.6. is meant to be applied to properties that the public intends to preserve for historic and cultural preservation. Therefore, Upland Conservation Lands would more closely match the Town's intended future use for the land.

Area 3

This area is between Tropical Shores Way and the canal to the east. There is a small area shown as wetlands on the Lee County FLUM that is mostly surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). On the Fort Myers Beach FLUM, all of the land area is designated Mixed Residential (see Attachment 2). Also, this land area is already built up with residential uses. The wetlands may have been dredged and filled subsequent to their classification. Changing this Wetland area to Suburban would better reflect reality as well as the Towns' intent.

Area 4

The fourth area lies generally between Mango Street and the canal to the east, overlapping Mango Street and cutting across parcel lines at the southern edge. It is shown in the Lee County FLUM as Wetland and is surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). The Fort Myers Beach FLUM limits the Wetland designation to four parcels between Mango Street and the canal and stops the Wetland designation at the southern parcel lines (see Attachment 2). Aerial photographs support the Towns' designation. It would better reflect the Towns' intent and the existing conditions to alter the Lee County Wetland lines to match the boundaries of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM.

B. CONCLUSIONS

There is an approximately matching category on the Lee County FLUM for every category on the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM. Suburban and Outlying Suburban are the best possible matches for the Towns' Mixed Residential and Low Density categories. The Urban Community and Central Urban categories help reflect the higher intensity land use areas designated Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial. The Public Facility category reflects the Towns' intent for the beaches and other public properties. The Town FLUM more accurately maps the wetland category and the County FLUM should be revised to match the spatial limits of this designation. Although the permitted uses and densities vary between the two systems, it is still possible to reflect the general intent and pattern of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM using the County FLUM categories.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

**PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY
REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION**

DATE OF PUBLIC LPA HEARING: February 26, 2001

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

One LPA member expressed concern that the County map should be congruent with the Fort Myers Beach map. Staff responded that the towns' planning consultant, Mr. Spikowski, reviewed the amendment and concurs with the changes.

B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

The LPA recommend that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this document to amend the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

The LPA accepted the findings of fact as advanced by staff.

C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS	<u>Aye</u>
SUSAN BROOKMAN	<u>Aye</u>
BARRY ERNST	<u>Aye</u>
RONALD INGE	<u>Aye</u>
GORDON REIGELMAN	<u>Aye</u>
VIRGINIA SPLITT	<u>Aye</u>
GREG STUART	<u>Aye</u>

**PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING: August 29, 2001

A. BOARD REVIEW: The Board of County Commissioners provided no discussion concerning the proposed plan amendment. This item was approved on the consent agenda.

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION: The Board of County Commissioners voted to transmit the proposed plan amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT: The Board accepted the findings of fact advanced by staff and the LPA.

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION	<u>AYE</u>
ANDREW COY	<u>AYE</u>
BOB JANES	<u>AYE</u>
RAY JUDAH	<u>AYE</u>
DOUG ST. CERNY	<u>AYE</u>

**PART V - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OBJECTIONS,
RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS (ORC) REPORT**

DATE OF ORC REPORT: November 21, 2001

A. DCA OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS:

The DCA had no objections, recommendations, or comments concerning this amendment.

B. STAFF RESPONSE

Adopt the amendment as transmitted.

**PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: January 10, 2002

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION


ANDREW COY

RAY JUDAH

BOB JANES

DOUG ST. CERNY

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 1A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001


Map 1B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Current Lee County FLUM Designations



Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 2B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning



ATTACHMENT 2

**CPA 2000-08
BoCC SPONSORED
AMENDMENT
TO THE**

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

DCA Transmittal Document

**Lee County Planning Division
1500 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398
(941) 479-8585**

August 29, 2001

**LEE COUNTY
DIVISION OF PLANNING
STAFF REPORT FOR
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT
CPA2000-08**

☐

Text Amendment

☒

Map Amendment

	This document contains the following reviews:
✓	Staff Review
✓	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation
✓	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal
	Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations, and Comments (ORC) Report
	Board Of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: February 19, 2001

PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The designations used on the Lee County FLUM do not accurately reflect the future land use goals of the Town's residents.

- The categories used in the Fort Myers Beach Future Land Use Map are intended for different purposes than the Lee County Future Land Use categories. The Town's categories are targeted specifically for conditions on Estero Island, whereas the County categories were created for use in the entire County and have to address a broader range of conditions. As such, there are no exact matches between the two. Some Fort Myers Beach Categories such as Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial have only approximate matches with Lee County FLUM categories.
- The two sets of categories do not completely match each other spatially. There is a need to adjust the boundaries of the Lee County FLUM categories to more closely match the Fort Myers Beach categories.

C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In 1990, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) required Lee County to modify its' future land use map designations within the cities of Fort Myers and Cape Coral to more closely correspond with their adopted future land use maps. The County FLUM already corresponded to the City of Sanibel FLUM. The Town of Fort Myers Beach subsequently incorporated and on January 1, 1999 a new Future Land Use Map for the Town of Fort Myers Beach became effective. Staff compared the Lee County FLUM with the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM and found significant variations between them. In order to remain consistent with the requirements of the DCA and to make the Lee County FLUM a more accurate representation of its' municipalities' FLUMs, the Board of County Commissioners initiated this amendment on September 19, 2000.

In 1984 Lee County adopted its' first Future Land Use Map. On this map, all of Estero Island was designated Urban Community.

In 1989, citizens of Estero Island expressed concern for the levels of development on the island and formed the Fort Myers Beach Land Use Committee to address their concerns. The committee believed that too much commercial development and overly dense residential development threatened the distinctive quality of life for the island residents. In September of 1990, The Beach Plan, a future land use study for San Carlos and Estero Islands, was submitted to staff as a proposed Lee Plan amendment.

The eventual outcomes of this plan were the adoption of Goal 18 in the Lee Plan and changes to the Lee Plan FLUM. Goal 18 addressed quality of life concerns as well as natural resources, future land uses, transportation, recreation and beautification among other concerns. Natural resource policies addressed beach renourishment, water quality, fishing restrictions, and dredging. Transportation policies addressed pedestrian access, public transit, traffic circulation, and parking facilities. Recreation and beautification policies addressed trash and debris removal, beach access, natural preservation and conservation, landscaping, historic preservation, and impervious surfaces. Community facility policies addressed wastewater treatment and potable water delivery.

This new goal addressed the FLUM designations on Estero Island and justified the categories chosen. The intent of the future land use objective was to limit both commercial development and residential densities. Areas designated Suburban would not receive any new commercial development and would be limited to 6 dwelling units per acre. The intent of the Urban

Community designation was to allow commercial development to occur but to prevent it from intruding upon existing residential areas. Toward this end all areas zoned for commercial use were designated Urban Community while residential neighborhoods were designated Suburban. Subsequent to the adoption of this objective, a large part of the Matanzas Pass Preserve was redesignated Conservation Lands as part of Lee Plan Amendment PAM/T 96-08.

The Town FLUM uses a different set of future land use categories than Map 1 of the Lee County FLUM. The Lee County FLUM series currently shows five categories within the municipal limits of the Town of Fort Myers Beach (Table 1). These are: Urban Community, Suburban, Public Facilities, Wetlands, and Conservation Lands. The Outlying Suburban and Central Urban categories are included on the table for later reference. A significant difference between Urban Community and Suburban is that the former allows greater commercial development. The main difference between Suburban and Outlying Suburban is the permitted residential density. The Conservation Lands category is subdivided into the Uplands and Wetlands sub-categories. The Central Urban and Urban Community categories are similar in nature, the former is intended to reflect the "urban core" areas of the County and allows greater residential density.

Table 1. Lee County FLUM Categories on Estero Island		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Central Urban*	10 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Urban Community	6 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Suburban	6 units per acre	No bonus density
Public Facility	0	
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 Acres	No bonus density
Conservation Lands	0	
Outlying Suburban*	3 units per acre	No bonus density

* *Proposed for inclusion on Estero Island.*

The eight FLUM categories adopted by the Town of Fort Myers Beach are:

Low Density

Applied to existing subdivisions with an established low-density character (primarily single-family homes). The only commercial uses allowed are home occupations.

Mixed Residential

Applied to older subdivisions with mixed housing types on smaller lots, and to newer high-rise buildings. Commercial activities are limited to lower impact uses such as offices and motels.

Boulevard

A mixed-use district along portions of Estero Boulevard, including less-intense commercial areas and mixed housing types.

Pedestrian Commercial

A primarily commercial district applied to the intense activity centers of Times Square (including Old San Carlos and nearby portions of Estero Boulevard) and the area around the Villa Santini Plaza.

Marina

Water access services, primarily for pleasure boating, including related accessory uses provided they don't displace marina services. Cruise ships and similar uses that draw large amounts of vehicular traffic are not permitted in this category

Recreation

Applied to public parks, public swimming pools, elementary schools, undevelopable portions of the Bay Beach golf course, and Gulf beaches (those portions seaward of the 1978 coastal construction control line).

Wetlands

A conservation district applied to all remaining wetlands.

Tidal Water

Applied to all saltwater canals and all waters surrounding Estero Island that lie within the municipal boundary (out 1,000 feet from the shoreline).

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS

A. STAFF DISCUSSION

The first step in this analysis was to compare the different classification systems for compatibility. The stated intent of each category was considered, as were the types of permitted development and housing density. Also considered was each categories' ability to reflect the intent of The Beach Plan. The next step was to compare the geographic similarity of the two classification systems and find locations on the Fort Myers Beach FLUM that used different boundary lines than the Lee County FLUM. It should be noted that on the Town FLUM, the canals and waterways are designated Tidal Water whereas on the County FLUM the canals are given the same designation as the land on either side. If there are different categories on either side, the boundary is drawn down the middle of the canal. This is the same practice used on the rest of the County FLUM. The Town's FLUM is attached to this document for comparison (Attachment 2).

Table 2. Fort Myers Beach FLUM categories

FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Low Density	4 units per acre	

Mixed Residential	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Boulevard	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Pedestrian Commercial	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Marina	0	
Recreation	1 unit per 20 acres	No new residential
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 acres	
Tidal Water	0 units per acre	

Residential density was a problem because the two classification systems use somewhat different limits. The Town allows 4 units per acre in low density areas, 6 in other categories, and 10 units per acre in special platted overlays (table 2). This does not exactly match the Lee County system, which allows 3 units per acre in Outlying Suburban, 6 units per acre in Suburban, and 6 units per acre in Urban Community that can be increased to 10 units with bonus density (table 1). This bonus density, however, is not allowed on islands as specified in LDC section 34-1511. However, staff believes that the Outlying Suburban designation better reflects the intent of the Low Density category used by the Town FLUM. Likewise, the County Suburban designation best suits the Towns' Mixed Residential category.

The Town has designated four different areas as Boulevard. The Boulevard category is intended to have commercial activity so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. But, the commercial intensity is supposed to be low, so the Central Urban category is too intense. Urban Community is intended to have residential and commercial activity and falls between Central Urban and Suburban. Therefore, the best match for Boulevard in the County classification system is Urban Community.

The Towns' Pedestrian Commercial category is best matched by Central Urban on the County FLUM. Although the permitted densities and uses vary between the two categories, the Central Urban designation best reflects the Town's desire to keep the most intense development within the two commercial nodes at each end of the island. The northern area of the island surrounding Times Square is intended to be the Towns' "urban core." The Central Urban FLUM category is intended to reflect urban core areas.

There are two areas that the Town has designated Marina. Due to the commercial nature of these areas, the Urban Community category is the best match on the County FLUM. The marinas are not residential so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. The commercial intensity that the Town desires for these areas, however, is not enough to rate the Central Urban category.

The Recreation category used by the Town is more difficult to match for two reasons. First, it covers a wide range of uses. Second, there is no criterion in the Beach Plan that a property be publicly owned to receive the Recreation designation. The public beaches on the gulf side of the island are shown as Recreation on the Towns' FLUM but as Public Facility on the County FLUM. The Town uses the coastal control line created by the Department of Environmental Protection as the landward boundary for this category. Therefore, the Public Facilities designation on the gulf-side beaches is proposed to also follow this line. In addition, the Town FLUM more accurately reflects the outcome of past beach erosion, accretion, and renourishment. Staff recommends that the County FLUM do the same. The Town also designated the upland portions of Matanzas Pass Preserve as Recreation. However, on the County FLUM, these were designated Upland Conservation Lands by Lee Plan amendment PAM/T 96-08. Staff proposes that these areas remain in that category. Bay Beach Golf Course is also designated Recreation on the Town FLUM. However, since it is not a publicly owned parcel, it does not meet the criteria for the County designation of Public Facility. Therefore, staff proposes the golf course parcel remain in the Suburban category on the County FLUM. Likewise, parcel 24-46-23-W3-0050B.0100, at 815 Estero Boulevard just northeast of Lynn Hall Memorial Park is privately owned but listed as Recreation by the Town FLUM. It is vacant as of this report. Staff also proposes to keep this parcel in the Suburban category.

The island also contains several areas listed as wetlands on the Towns' FLUM. The wetlands in the Matanzas Pass Preserve are Designated Wetland Conservation Lands on the County FLUM and staff believes they should stay that way. Except for areas mentioned below, the Towns' FLUM depicts wetlands more accurately than the County FLUM. Staff proposes that the areas designated Wetland on the Town FLUM be designated as Wetlands on the County FLUM.

There are several locations where conditions are unusual and further explanation is helpful. Staff has prepared a series of maps to illustrate the difference between the existing and proposed FLUM designations. Maps 1a and 1b show all of Estero Island with specific areas outlined and the extent of the larger-scale maps shown. Map 1a shows the current FLUM designations and map 1b shows the proposed designations. Maps 2a and 3a are more detailed maps showing the current FLUM designations of the areas proposed for amendment. Maps 2b and 3b show the proposed FLUM designations of those same areas.

Area 1

This area is in a bayside lagoon between Widgeon Terrace and Bay Village Drive, parcel 33-46-24-W2-00001.0000. The area also cuts across parcel lines around the end of Indian Bayou Drive. The Lee County FLUM shows this as Suburban (see map 3a). The Fort Myers Beach Map designates it Wetland (see Attachment 2). The Towns' designation is more accurate. There are other wetlands east of Widgeon Terrace that are listed as suburban on the County FLUM. However, there are land use cases pending in that area. That and some inaccuracy in both the Town and County FLUMs makes further FLUM amendments inadvisable for this area.

Area 2

The second location is the Mound House at 451 Connecticut Street, parcel 29-46-24-W2-00146.0010. This is now owned by The Town of Fort Myers Beach and is designated Recreation

on their FLUM (see Attachment 2). It is shown as Suburban on the Lee County FLUM (see map 2a). The primary incentive for purchasing the property was to preserve the site and use the house as a museum as well as for hosting special functions. The Conservation Lands category, as expressed in Lee Plan Policy 1.4.6. is meant to be applied to properties that the public intends to preserve for historic and cultural preservation. Therefore, Upland Conservation Lands would more closely match the Town's intended future use for the land.

Area 3

This area is between Tropical Shores Way and the canal to the east. There is a small area shown as wetlands on the Lee County FLUM that is mostly surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). On the Fort Myers Beach FLUM, all of the land area is designated Mixed Residential (see Attachment 2). Also, this land area is already built up with residential uses. The wetlands may have been dredged and filled subsequent to their classification. Changing this Wetland area to Suburban would better reflect reality as well as the Towns' intent.

Area 4

The fourth area lies generally between Mango Street and the canal to the east, overlapping Mango Street and cutting across parcel lines at the southern edge. It is shown in the Lee County FLUM as Wetland and is surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). The Fort Myers Beach FLUM limits the Wetland designation to four parcels between Mango Street and the canal and stops the Wetland designation at the southern parcel lines (see Attachment 2). Aerial photographs support the Towns' designation. It would better reflect the Towns' intent and the existing conditions to alter the Lee County Wetland lines to match the boundaries of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM.

B. CONCLUSIONS

There is an approximately matching category on the Lee County FLUM for every category on the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM. Suburban and Outlying Suburban are the best possible matches for the Towns' Mixed Residential and Low Density categories. The Urban Community and Central Urban categories help reflect the higher intensity land use areas designated Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial. The Public Facility category reflects the Towns' intent for the beaches and other public properties. The Town FLUM more accurately maps the wetland category and the County FLUM should be revised to match the spatial limits of this designation. Although the permitted uses and densities vary between the two systems, it is still possible to reflect the general intent and pattern of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM using the County FLUM categories.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION

DATE OF PUBLIC LPA HEARING: February 26, 2001

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

One LPA member expressed concern that the County map should be congruent with the Fort Myers Beach map. Staff responded that the towns' planning consultant, Mr. Spikowski, reviewed the amendment and concurs with the changes.

B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

The LPA recommend that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this document to amend the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

The LPA accepted the findings of fact as advanced by staff.

C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS	<u>Aye</u>
SUSAN BROOKMAN	<u>Aye</u>
BARRY ERNST	<u>Aye</u>
RONALD INGE	<u>Aye</u>
GORDON REIGELMAN	<u>Aye</u>
VIRGINIA SPLITT	<u>Aye</u>
GREG STUART	<u>Aye</u>

**PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING: August 29, 2001

A. BOARD REVIEW: The Board of County Commissioners provided no discussion concerning the proposed plan amendment. This item was approved on the consent agenda.

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. **BOARD ACTION:** The Board of County Commissioners voted to transmit the proposed plan amendment.
2. **BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:** The Board accepted the findings of fact advanced by staff and the LPA.

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION	<u>AYE</u>
ANDREW COY	<u>AYE</u>
BOB JANES	<u>AYE</u>
RAY JUDAH	<u>AYE</u>
DOUG ST. CERNY	<u>AYE</u>

**PART V - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OBJECTIONS,
RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS (ORC) REPORT**

DATE OF ORC REPORT: _____

- A. DCA OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS:**

- B. STAFF RESPONSE**

**PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: _____

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION


ANDREW COY

RAY JUDAH

BOB JANES

DOUG ST. CERNY

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001


Map 1A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Current Lee County FLUM Designations



Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 2B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning



**CPA 2000-08
BoCC SPONSORED
AMENDMENT
TO THE**

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

**BoCC Public Hearing Document
for the
August 29, 2001 Public Hearing**

**Lee County Planning Division
1500 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398
(941) 479-8585**

August 1, 2001

**LEE COUNTY
DIVISION OF PLANNING
STAFF REPORT FOR
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT
CPA2000-08**

☐

Text Amendment

☒

Map Amendment

	This document contains the following reviews:
✓	Staff Review
	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation
	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal
	Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations, and Comments (ORC) Report
	Board Of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: February 19, 2001

PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The designations used on the Lee County FLUM do not accurately reflect the future land use goals of the Town's residents.

- The categories used in the Fort Myers Beach Future Land Use Map are intended for different purposes than the Lee County Future Land Use categories. The Town's categories are targeted specifically for conditions on Estero Island, whereas the County categories were created for use in the entire County and have to address a broader range of conditions. As such, there are no exact matches between the two. Some Fort Myers Beach Categories such as Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial have only approximate matches with Lee County FLUM categories.
- The two sets of categories do not completely match each other spatially. There is a need to adjust the boundaries of the Lee County FLUM categories to more closely match the Fort Myers Beach categories.

C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In 1990, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) required Lee County to modify its' future land use map designations within the cities of Fort Myers and Cape Coral to more closely correspond with their adopted future land use maps. The County FLUM already corresponded to the City of Sanibel FLUM. The Town of Fort Myers Beach subsequently incorporated and on January 1, 1999 a new Future Land Use Map for the Town of Fort Myers Beach became effective. Staff compared the Lee County FLUM with the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM and found significant variations between them. In order to remain consistent with the requirements of the DCA and to make the Lee County FLUM a more accurate representation of its' municipalities' FLUMs, the Board of County Commissioners initiated this amendment on September 19, 2000.

In 1984 Lee County adopted its' first Future Land Use Map. On this map, all of Estero Island was designated Urban Community.

In 1989, citizens of Estero Island expressed concern for the levels of development on the island and formed the Fort Myers Beach Land Use Committee to address their concerns. The committee believed that too much commercial development and overly dense residential development threatened the distinctive quality of life for the island residents. In September of 1990, The Beach Plan, a future land use study for San Carlos and Estero Islands, was submitted to staff as a proposed Lee Plan amendment.

The eventual outcomes of this plan were the adoption of Goal 18 in the Lee Plan and changes to the Lee Plan FLUM. Goal 18 addressed quality of life concerns as well as natural resources, future land uses, transportation, recreation and beautification among other concerns. Natural resource policies addressed beach renourishment, water quality, fishing restrictions, and dredging. Transportation policies addressed pedestrian access, public transit, traffic circulation, and parking facilities. Recreation and beautification policies addressed trash and debris removal, beach access, natural preservation and conservation, landscaping, historic preservation, and impervious surfaces. Community facility policies addressed wastewater treatment and potable water delivery.

This new goal addressed the FLUM designations on Estero Island and justified the categories chosen. The intent of the future land use objective was to limit both commercial development and residential densities. Areas designated Suburban would not receive any new commercial development and would be limited to 6 dwelling units per acre. The intent of the Urban

Community designation was to allow commercial development to occur but to prevent it from intruding upon existing residential areas. Toward this end all areas zoned for commercial use were designated Urban Community while residential neighborhoods were designated Suburban. Subsequent to the adoption of this objective, a large part of the Matanzas Pass Preserve was redesignated Conservation Lands as part of Lee Plan Amendment PAM/T 96-08.

The Town FLUM uses a different set of future land use categories than Map 1 of the Lee County FLUM. The Lee County FLUM series currently shows five categories within the municipal limits of the Town of Fort Myers Beach (Table 1). These are: Urban Community, Suburban, Public Facilities, Wetlands, and Conservation Lands. The Outlying Suburban and Central Urban categories are included on the table for later reference. A significant difference between Urban Community and Suburban is that the former allows greater commercial development. The main difference between Suburban and Outlying Suburban is the permitted residential density. The Conservation Lands category is subdivided into the Uplands and Wetlands sub-categories. The Central Urban and Urban Community categories are similar in nature, the former is intended to reflect the "urban core" areas of the County and allows greater residential density.

Table 1. Lee County FLUM Categories on Estero Island		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Central Urban*	10 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Urban Community	6 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Suburban	6 units per acre	No bonus density
Public Facility	0	
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 Acres	No bonus density
Conservation Lands	0	
Outlying Suburban*	3 units per acre	No bonus density

* *Proposed for inclusion on Estero Island.*

The eight FLUM categories adopted by the Town of Fort Myers Beach are:

Low Density

Applied to existing subdivisions with an established low-density character (primarily single-family homes). The only commercial uses allowed are home occupations.

Mixed Residential

Applied to older subdivisions with mixed housing types on smaller lots, and to newer high-rise buildings. Commercial activities are limited to lower impact uses such as offices and motels.

Boulevard

A mixed-use district along portions of Estero Boulevard, including less-intense commercial areas and mixed housing types.

Pedestrian Commercial

A primarily commercial district applied to the intense activity centers of Times Square (including Old San Carlos and nearby portions of Estero Boulevard) and the area around the Villa Santini Plaza.

Marina

Water access services, primarily for pleasure boating, including related accessory uses provided they don't displace marina services. Cruise ships and similar uses that draw large amounts of vehicular traffic are not permitted in this category

Recreation

Applied to public parks, public swimming pools, elementary schools, undevelopable portions of the Bay Beach golf course, and Gulf beaches (those portions seaward of the 1978 coastal construction control line).

Wetlands

A conservation district applied to all remaining wetlands.

Tidal Water

Applied to all saltwater canals and all waters surrounding Estero Island that lie within the municipal boundary (out 1,000 feet from the shoreline).

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS**A. STAFF DISCUSSION**

The first step in this analysis was to compare the different classification systems for compatibility. The stated intent of each category was considered, as were the types of permitted development and housing density. Also considered was each categories' ability to reflect the intent of The Beach Plan. The next step was to compare the geographic similarity of the two classification systems and find locations on the Fort Myers Beach FLUM that used different boundary lines than the Lee County FLUM. It should be noted that on the Town FLUM, the canals and waterways are designated Tidal Water whereas on the County FLUM the canals are given the same designation as the land on either side. If there are different categories on either side, the boundary is drawn down the middle of the canal. This is the same practice used on the rest of the County FLUM. The Town's FLUM is attached to this document for comparison (Attachment 2).

Table 2. Fort Myers Beach FLUM categories		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Low Density	4 units per acre	

Mixed Residential	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Boulevard	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Pedestrian Commercial	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Marina	0	
Recreation	1 unit per 20 acres	No new residential
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 acres	
Tidal Water	0 units per acre	

Residential density was a problem because the two classification systems use somewhat different limits. The Town allows 4 units per acre in low density areas, 6 in other categories, and 10 units per acre in special platted overlays (table 2). This does not exactly match the Lee County system, which allows 3 units per acre in Outlying Suburban, 6 units per acre in Suburban, and 6 units per acre in Urban Community that can be increased to 10 units with bonus density (table 1). This bonus density, however, is not allowed on islands as specified in LDC section 34-1511. However, staff believes that the Outlying Suburban designation better reflects the intent of the Low Density category used by the Town FLUM. Likewise, the County Suburban designation best suits the Towns' Mixed Residential category.

The Town has designated four different areas as Boulevard. The Boulevard category is intended to have commercial activity so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. But, the commercial intensity is supposed to be low, so the Central Urban category is too intense. Urban Community is intended to have residential and commercial activity and falls between Central Urban and Suburban. Therefore, the best match for Boulevard in the County classification system is Urban Community.

The Towns' Pedestrian Commercial category is best matched by Central Urban on the County FLUM. Although the permitted densities and uses vary between the two categories, the Central Urban designation best reflects the Town's desire to keep the most intense development within the two commercial nodes at each end of the island. The northern area of the island surrounding Times Square is intended to be the Towns' "urban core." The Central Urban FLUM category is intended to reflect urban core areas.

There are two areas that the Town has designated Marina. Due to the commercial nature of these areas, the Urban Community category is the best match on the County FLUM. The marinas are not residential so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. The commercial intensity that the Town desires for these areas, however, is not enough to rate the Central Urban category.

The Recreation category used by the Town is more difficult to match for two reasons. First, it covers a wide range of uses. Second, there is no criterion in the Beach Plan that a property be publicly owned to receive the Recreation designation. The public beaches on the gulf side of the island are shown as Recreation on the Towns' FLUM but as Public Facility on the County FLUM. The Town uses the coastal control line created by the Department of Environmental Protection as the landward boundary for this category. Therefore, the Public Facilities designation on the gulf-side beaches is proposed to also follow this line. In addition, the Town FLUM more accurately reflects the outcome of past beach erosion, accretion, and renourishment. Staff recommends that the County FLUM do the same. The Town also designated the upland portions of Matanzas Pass Preserve as Recreation. However, on the County FLUM, these were designated Upland Conservation Lands by Lee Plan amendment PAM/T 96-08. Staff proposes that these areas remain in that category. Bay Beach Golf Course is also designated Recreation on the Town FLUM. However, since it is not a publicly owned parcel, it does not meet the criteria for the County designation of Public Facility. Therefore, staff proposes the golf course parcel remain in the Suburban category on the County FLUM. Likewise, parcel 24-46-23-W3-0050B.0100, at 815 Estero Boulevard just northeast of Lynn Hall Memorial Park is privately owned but listed as Recreation by the Town FLUM. It is vacant as of this report. Staff also proposes to keep this parcel in the Suburban category.

The island also contains several areas listed as wetlands on the Towns' FLUM. The wetlands in the Matanzas Pass Preserve are Designated Wetland Conservation Lands on the County FLUM and staff believes they should stay that way. Except for areas mentioned below, the Towns' FLUM depicts wetlands more accurately than the County FLUM. Staff proposes that the areas designated Wetland on the Town FLUM be designated as Wetlands on the County FLUM.

There are several locations where conditions are unusual and further explanation is helpful. Staff has prepared a series of maps to illustrate the difference between the existing and proposed FLUM designations. Maps 1a and 1b show all of Estero Island with specific areas outlined and the extent of the larger-scale maps shown. Map 1a shows the current FLUM designations and map 1b shows the proposed designations. Maps 2a and 3a are more detailed maps showing the current FLUM designations of the areas proposed for amendment. Maps 2b and 3b show the proposed FLUM designations of those same areas.

Area 1

This area is in a bayside lagoon between Widgeon Terrace and Bay Village Drive, parcel 33-46-24-W2-00001.0000. The area also cuts across parcel lines around the end of Indian Bayou Drive. The Lee County FLUM shows this as Suburban (see map 3a). The Fort Myers Beach Map designates it Wetland (see Attachment 2). The Towns' designation is more accurate. There are other wetlands east of Widgeon Terrace that are listed as suburban on the County FLUM. However, there are land use cases pending in that area. That and some inaccuracy in both the Town and County FLUMs makes further FLUM amendments inadvisable for this area.

Area 2

The second location is the Mound House at 451 Connecticut Street, parcel 29-46-24-W2-00146.0010. This is now owned by The Town of Fort Myers Beach and is designated Recreation

on their FLUM (see Attachment 2). It is shown as Suburban on the Lee County FLUM (see map 2a). The primary incentive for purchasing the property was to preserve the site and use the house as a museum as well as for hosting special functions. The Conservation Lands category, as expressed in Lee Plan Policy 1.4.6. is meant to be applied to properties that the public intends to preserve for historic and cultural preservation. Therefore, Upland Conservation Lands would more closely match the Town's intended future use for the land.

Area 3

This area is between Tropical Shores Way and the canal to the east. There is a small area shown as wetlands on the Lee County FLUM that is mostly surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). On the Fort Myers Beach FLUM, all of the land area is designated Mixed Residential (see Attachment 2). Also, this land area is already built up with residential uses. The wetlands may have been dredged and filled subsequent to their classification. Changing this Wetland area to Suburban would better reflect reality as well as the Towns' intent.

Area 4

The fourth area lies generally between Mango Street and the canal to the east, overlapping Mango Street and cutting across parcel lines at the southern edge. It is shown in the Lee County FLUM as Wetland and is surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). The Fort Myers Beach FLUM limits the Wetland designation to four parcels between Mango Street and the canal and stops the Wetland designation at the southern parcel lines (see Attachment 2). Aerial photographs support the Towns' designation. It would better reflect the Towns' intent and the existing conditions to alter the Lee County Wetland lines to match the boundaries of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM.

B. CONCLUSIONS

There is an approximately matching category on the Lee County FLUM for every category on the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM. Suburban and Outlying Suburban are the best possible matches for the Towns' Mixed Residential and Low Density categories. The Urban Community and Central Urban categories help reflect the higher intensity land use areas designated Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial. The Public Facility category reflects the Towns' intent for the beaches and other public properties. The Town FLUM more accurately maps the wetland category and the County FLUM should be revised to match the spatial limits of this designation. Although the permitted uses and densities vary between the two systems, it is still possible to reflect the general intent and pattern of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM using the County FLUM categories.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

**PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY
REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION**

DATE OF PUBLIC LPA HEARING: February 26, 2001

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

One LPA member expressed concern that the County map should be congruent with the Fort Myers Beach map. Staff responded that the towns' planning consultant, Mr. Spikowski, reviewed the amendment and concurs with the changes.

B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

The LPA recommend that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this document to amend the Future Land Use Map series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

The LPA accepted the findings of fact as advanced by staff.

C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS	<u>Aye</u>
SUSAN BROOKMAN	<u>Aye</u>
BARRY ERNST	<u>Aye</u>
RONALD INGE	<u>Aye</u>
GORDON REIGELMAN	<u>Aye</u>
VIRGINIA SPLITT	<u>Aye</u>
GREG STUART	<u>Aye</u>

**PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING: August 29, 2001

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION	_____
ANDREW COY	_____
RAY JUDAH	_____
BOB JANES	_____
DOUG ST. CERNY	_____

DATE OF ORC REPORT: _____

- August 1, 2001
PAGE 10 OF 11

**PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: _____

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION


ANDREW COY

RAY JUDAH

BOB JANES

DOUG ST. CERNY

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001


Map 1A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Current Lee County FLUM Designations



Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Created: February 19, 2001

Map 3B

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning



ATTACHMENT 2

**CPA 2000-08
BoCC SPONSORED
AMENDMENT
TO THE**

LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

THE LEE PLAN

**LPA Public Hearing Document
for
February 26, 2001**

**Lee County Planning Division
1500 Monroe Street
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398
(941) 479-8585**

February 19, 2001

**LEE COUNTY
DIVISION OF PLANNING
STAFF REPORT FOR
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT
CPA2000-08**

☐

Text Amendment

☒

Map Amendment

	This document contains the following reviews:
✓	Staff Review
	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation
	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal
	Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations, and Comments (ORC) Report
	Board Of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: February 19, 2001

PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

1. APPLICANT:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) series, Map 1, to more closely reflect the Town of Fort Myers Beach adopted Future Land Use Map.

B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY

1. RECOMMENDATION:

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The designations used on the Lee County FLUM do not accurately reflect the future land use goals of the Town's residents.

- The categories used in the Fort Myers Beach Future Land Use Map are intended for different purposes than the Lee County Future Land Use categories. The Town's categories are targeted specifically for conditions on Estero Island, whereas the County categories were created for use in the entire County and have to address a broader range of conditions. As such, there are no exact matches between the two. Some Fort Myers Beach Categories such as Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial have only approximate matches with Lee County FLUM categories.
- The two sets of categories do not completely match each other spatially. There is a need to adjust the boundaries of the Lee County FLUM categories to more closely match the Fort Myers Beach categories.

C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In 1990, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) required Lee County to modify its' future land use map designations within the cities of Fort Myers and Cape Coral to more closely correspond with their adopted future land use maps. The County FLUM already corresponded to the City of Sanibel FLUM. The Town of Fort Myers Beach subsequently incorporated and on January 1, 1999 a new Future Land Use Map for the Town of Fort Myers Beach became effective. Staff compared the Lee County FLUM with the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM and found significant variations between them. In order to remain consistent with the requirements of the DCA and to make the Lee County FLUM a more accurate representation of its' municipalities' FLUMs, the Board of County Commissioners initiated this amendment on September 19, 2000.

In 1984 Lee County adopted its' first Future Land Use Map. On this map, all of Estero Island was designated Urban Community.

In 1989, citizens of Estero Island expressed concern for the levels of development on the island and formed the Fort Myers Beach Land Use Committee to address their concerns. The committee believed that too much commercial development and overly dense residential development threatened the distinctive quality of life for the island residents. In September of 1990, The Beach Plan, a future land use study for San Carlos and Estero Islands, was submitted to staff as a proposed Lee Plan amendment.

The eventual outcomes of this plan were the adoption of Goal 18 in the Lee Plan and changes to the Lee Plan FLUM. Goal 18 addressed quality of life concerns as well as natural resources, future land uses, transportation, recreation and beautification among other concerns. Natural resource policies addressed beach renourishment, water quality, fishing restrictions, and dredging. Transportation policies addressed pedestrian access, public transit, traffic circulation, and parking facilities. Recreation and beautification policies addressed trash and debris removal, beach access, natural preservation and conservation, landscaping, historic preservation, and impervious surfaces. Community facility policies addressed wastewater treatment and potable water delivery.

This new goal addressed the FLUM designations on Estero Island and justified the categories chosen. The intent of the future land use objective was to limit both commercial development and residential densities. Areas designated Suburban would not receive any new commercial

development and would be limited to 6 dwelling units per acre. The intent of the Urban Community designation was to allow commercial development to occur but to prevent it from intruding upon existing residential areas. Toward this end all areas zoned for commercial use were designated Urban Community while residential neighborhoods were designated Suburban. Subsequent to the adoption of this objective, a large part of the Matanzas Pass Preserve was redesignated Conservation Lands as part of Lee Plan Amendment PAM/T 96-08.

The Town FLUM uses a different set of future land use categories than Map 1 of the Lee County FLUM. The Lee County FLUM series currently shows five categories within the municipal limits of the Town of Fort Myers Beach (Table 1). These are: Urban Community, Suburban, Public Facilities, Wetlands, and Conservation Lands. The Outlying Suburban and Central Urban categories are included on the table for later reference. A significant difference between Urban Community and Suburban is that the former allows greater commercial development. The main difference between Suburban and Outlying Suburban is the permitted residential density. The Conservation Lands category is subdivided into the Uplands and Wetlands sub-categories. The Central Urban and Urban Community categories are similar in nature, the former is intended to reflect the "urban core" areas of the County and allows greater residential density.

Table 1. Lee County FLUM Categories on Estero Island		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Central Urban*	10 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Urban Community	6 units per acre	No bonus density on the islands
Suburban	6 units per acre	No bonus density
Public Facility	0	
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 Acres	No bonus density
Conservation Lands	0	
Outlying Suburban*	3 units per acre	No bonus density

* *Proposed for inclusion on Estero Island.*

The eight FLUM categories adopted by the Town of Fort Myers Beach are:

Low Density

Applied to existing subdivisions with an established low-density character (primarily single-family homes). The only commercial uses allowed are home occupations.

Mixed Residential

Applied to older subdivisions with mixed housing types on smaller lots, and to newer high-

rise buildings. Commercial activities are limited to lower impact uses such as offices and motels.

Boulevard

A mixed-use district along portions of Estero Boulevard, including less-intense commercial areas and mixed housing types.

Pedestrian Commercial

A primarily commercial district applied to the intense activity centers of Times Square (including Old San Carlos and nearby portions of Estero Boulevard) and the area around the Villa Santini Plaza.

Marina

Water access services, primarily for pleasure boating, including related accessory uses provided they don't displace marina services. Cruise ships and similar uses that draw large amounts of vehicular traffic are not permitted in this category

Recreation

Applied to public parks, public swimming pools, elementary schools, undevelopable portions of the Bay Beach golf course, and Gulf beaches (those portions seaward of the 1978 coastal construction control line).

Wetlands

A conservation district applied to all remaining wetlands.

Tidal Water

Applied to all saltwater canals and all waters surrounding Estero Island that lie within the municipal boundary (out 1,000 feet from the shoreline).

PART II - STAFF ANALYSIS

A. STAFF DISCUSSION

The first step in this analysis was to compare the different classification systems for compatibility. The stated intent of each category was considered, as were the types of permitted development and housing density. Also considered was each categories' ability to reflect the intent of The Beach Plan. The next step was to compare the geographic similarity of the two classification systems and find locations on the Fort Myers Beach FLUM that used different boundary lines than the Lee County FLUM. It should be noted that on the Town FLUM, the canals and waterways are designated Tidal Water whereas on the County FLUM the canals are given the same designation as the land on either side. If there are different categories on either side, the boundary is drawn down the middle of the canal. This is the same practice used on the rest of the County FLUM. The Town's FLUM is attached to this document for comparison (Attachment 2).

Table 2. Fort Myers Beach FLUM categories		
FLUM Category	Maximum Density	Notes
Low Density	4 units per acre	
Mixed Residential	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Boulevard	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Pedestrian Commercial	6 units per acre	10 units per acre in platted overlays
Marina	0	
Recreation	1 unit per 20 acres	No new residential
Wetlands	1 unit per 20 acres	
Tidal Water	0 units per acre	

Residential density was a problem because the two classification systems use somewhat different limits. The Town allows 4 units per acre in low density areas, 6 in other categories, and 10 units per acre in special platted overlays (table 2). This does not exactly match the Lee County system, which allows 3 units per acre in Outlying Suburban, 6 units per acre in Suburban, and 6 units per acre in Urban Community that can be increased to 10 units with bonus density (table 1). This bonus density, however, is not allowed on islands as specified in LDC section 34-1511. However, staff believes that the Outlying Suburban designation better reflects the intent of the Low Density category used by the Town FLUM. Likewise, the County Suburban designation best suits the Towns' Mixed Residential category.

The Town has designated four different areas as Boulevard. The Boulevard category is intended to have commercial activity so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. But, the commercial intensity is supposed to be low, so the Central Urban category is too intense. Urban Community is intended to have residential and commercial activity and falls between Central Urban and Suburban. Therefore, the best match for Boulevard in the County classification system is Urban Community.

The Towns' Pedestrian Commercial category is best matched by Central Urban on the County FLUM. Although the permitted densities and uses vary between the two categories, the Central Urban designation best reflects the Town's desire to keep the most intense development within the two commercial nodes at each end of the island. The northern area of the island surrounding Times Square is intended to be the Towns' "urban core." The Central Urban FLUM category is intended to reflect urban core areas.

There are two areas that the Town has designated Marina. Due to the commercial nature of these areas, the Urban Community category is the best match on the County FLUM. The marinas are not residential so Suburban and Outlying Suburban are not appropriate. The commercial intensity that the Town desires for these areas, however, is not enough to rate the Central Urban category.

The Recreation category used by the Town is more difficult to match for two reasons. First, it covers a wide range of uses. Second, there is no criterion in the Beach Plan that a property be publicly owned to receive the Recreation designation. The public beaches on the gulf side of the island are shown as Recreation on the Towns' FLUM but as Public Facility on the County FLUM. The Town uses the coastal control line created by the Department of Environmental Protection as the landward boundary for this category. Therefore, the Public Facilities designation on the gulf-side beaches is proposed to also follow this line. In addition, the Town FLUM more accurately reflects the outcome of past beach erosion, accretion, and renourishment. Staff recommends that the County FLUM do the same. The Town also designated the upland portions of Matanzas Pass Preserve as Recreation. However, on the County FLUM, these were designated Upland Conservation Lands by Lee Plan amendment PAM/T 96-08. Staff proposes that these areas remain in that category. Bay Beach Golf Course is also designated Recreation on the Town FLUM. However, since it is not a publicly owned parcel, it does not meet the criteria for the County designation of Public Facility. Therefore, staff proposes the golf course parcel remain in the Suburban category on the County FLUM. Likewise, parcel 24-46-23-W3-0050B.0100, at 815 Estero Boulevard just northeast of Lynn Hall Memorial Park is privately owned but listed as Recreation by the Town FLUM. It is vacant as of this report. Staff also proposes to keep this parcel in the Suburban category.

The island also contains several areas listed as wetlands on the Towns' FLUM. The wetlands in the Matanzas Pass Preserve are Designated Wetland Conservation Lands on the County FLUM and staff believes they should stay that way. Except for areas mentioned below, the Towns' FLUM depicts wetlands more accurately than the County FLUM. Staff proposes that the areas designated Wetland on the Town FLUM be designated as Wetlands on the County FLUM.

There are several locations where conditions are unusual and further explanation is helpful. Staff has prepared a series of maps to illustrate the difference between the existing and proposed FLUM designations. Maps 1a and 1b show all of Estero Island with specific areas outlined and the extent of the larger-scale maps shown. Map 1a shows the current FLUM designations and map 1b shows the proposed designations. Maps 2a and 3a are more detailed maps showing the current FLUM designations of the areas proposed for amendment. Maps 2b and 3b show the proposed FLUM designations of those same areas.

Area 1

This area is in a bayside lagoon between Widgeon Terrace and Bay Village Drive, parcel 33-46-24-W2-00001.0000. The area also cuts across parcel lines around the end of Indian Bayou Drive. The Lee County FLUM shows this as Suburban (see map 3a). The Fort Myers Beach Map designates it Wetland (see Attachment 2). The Towns' designation is more accurate. There are other wetlands east of Widgeon Terrace that are listed as suburban on the County FLUM. However,

there are land use cases pending in that area. That and some inaccuracy in both the Town and County FLUMs makes further FLUM amendments inadvisable for this area.

Area 2

The second location is the Mound House at 451 Connecticut Street, parcel 29-46-24-W2-00146.0010. This is now owned by The Town of Fort Myers Beach and is designated Recreation on their FLUM (see Attachment 2). It is shown as Suburban on the Lee County FLUM (see map 2a). The primary incentive for purchasing the property was to preserve the site and use the house as a museum as well as for hosting special functions. The Conservation Lands category, as expressed in Lee Plan Policy 1.4.6. is meant to be applied to properties that the public intends to preserve for historic and cultural preservation. Therefore, Upland Conservation Lands would more closely match the Town's intended future use for the land.

Area 3

This area is between Tropical Shores Way and the canal to the east. There is a small area shown as wetlands on the Lee County FLUM that is mostly surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). On the Fort Myers Beach FLUM, all of the land area is designated Mixed Residential (see Attachment 2). Also, this land area is already built up with residential uses. The wetlands may have been dredged and filled subsequent to their classification. Changing this Wetland area to Suburban would better reflect reality as well as the Towns' intent.

Area 4

The fourth area lies generally between Mango Street and the canal to the east, overlapping Mango Street and cutting across parcel lines at the southern edge. It is shown in the Lee County FLUM as Wetland and is surrounded by Suburban (see map 2a). The Fort Myers Beach FLUM limits the Wetland designation to four parcels between Mango Street and the canal and stops the Wetland designation at the southern parcel lines (see Attachment 2). Aerial photographs support the Towns' designation. It would better reflect the Towns' intent and the existing conditions to alter the Lee County Wetland lines to match the boundaries of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM.

B. CONCLUSIONS

There is an approximately matching category on the Lee County FLUM for every category on the Town of Fort Myers Beach FLUM. Suburban and Outlying Suburban are the best possible matches for the Towns' Mixed Residential and Low Density categories. The Urban Community and Central Urban categories help reflect the higher intensity land use areas designated Boulevard and Pedestrian Commercial. The Public Facility category reflects the Towns' intent for the beaches and other public properties. The Town FLUM more accurately maps the wetland category and the County FLUM should be revised to match the spatial limits of this designation. Although the permitted uses and densities vary between the two systems, it is still possible to reflect the general intent and pattern of the Fort Myers Beach FLUM using the County FLUM categories.

C. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

**PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY
REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION**

DATE OF PUBLIC LPA HEARING: February 26, 2001

A. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

**B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT
SUMMARY**

1. RECOMMENDATION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS

SUSAN BROOKMAN

BARRY ERNST

RONALD INGE

GORDON REIGELMAN

VIRGINIA SPLIT

GREG STUART

**PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING: _____

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION

ANDREW COY

RAY JUDAH

BOB JANES

DOUG ST. CERNY

**PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING: _____

A. BOARD REVIEW:

B. BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. BOARD ACTION:

2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

C. VOTE:

JOHN ALBION


ANDREW COY

RAY JUDAH

BOB JANES

DOUG ST. CERNY

Current Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001


Map 1A

Created by: Lee County Division of Planning


Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations



Current Lee County FLUM Designations



Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations




Created: February 19, 2001


Map 2B


Created by: Lee County Division of Planning

Current Lee County FLUM Designations



Proposed Lee County FLUM Designations





ATTACHMENT 2

**SPIKOWSKI
PLANNING
ASSOCIATES**

1617 Hendry Street, Suite 307
Fort Myers, Florida 33901-2947

telephone: (941) 334-8866
fax: (941) 334-8878

e-mail: bill@spikowski.com
web site: <http://www.spikowski.com>

Post-It® Fax Note	7671	Date	1/17/01	# of pages	2
To	Peter Blackwell		From	Bill Spikowski	
Co./Dept.			Co.		
Phone #			Phone #	334-8866	
Fax #	479-8319		Fax #		

January 27, 2000

Mr. Paul O'Connor
Lee County Planning Director
P.O. Box 398
Fort Myers, Florida 33902-0398

RE: Future Land Use Map

Dear Paul:

At some point it would be appropriate to amend the Lee Plan's future land use map so that its representation of Estero Island mirrors the comprehensive plan of the town of Fort Myers Beach. This has already been done for Fort Myers, Cape Coral, and Sanibel.

Enclosed is a copy of the future land use map for the town. This map was created by the property appraiser's office and is available to you electronically. I would suggest the following substitutions when translating this map into your plan:

Fort Myers Beach

Low Density
Mixed Residential
Boulevard
Pedestrian Commercial
Marina

Lee Plan

Outlying Suburban
Suburban
Urban Community
Central Urban
Urban Community

Mr. Paul O'Connor

January 27, 2000

Page 2 of 2

Recreation	Public Facilities (for parks, school, Mound House) Suburban (for Bay Beach golf course) (ignore for sandy beach and Little Estero Is.)
Wetlands	Wetlands
Tidal Water	(ignore)
Platted Overlay	(ignore)

For consistency with your plan, the Matanzas Pass Preserve should also be shown as a conservation area. The delineation of its uplands vs. wetlands shown on the Fort Myers Beach map was taken from documents supplied by Mr. Roger Clark.

There is no rush on this amendment, but I would suggest it be initiated in the next cycle as a board-initiated amendment.

Call if you have any questions or would like to discuss this further.

Sincerely,



Bill Spikowski
Planning Consultant, Town of Fort Myers Beach

cc: Marsha Segal-George, Town Manager