Page 1

## THE OFFICE OF THE LEE COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

CASE NO.: DCI2023-00050

IN RE: DIPLOMAT HOUSE F/K/A DIPLOMAT RPD AMENDMENT

PROCEEDINGS:

PUBLIC HEARING

BEFORE:

Donna Marie Collins Chief Hearing Examiner

DATE:

June 26, 2024

TIME:

9:04 a.m. to 11:02 a.m.

LOCATION:

Office of the Hearing Examiner 1500 Monroe Street, Second Floor

Fort Myers, FL 33901

REPORTER:

Deborah M. Bruns

Florida Professional Reporter

FORT MYERS COURT REPORTING 2271 McGregor Boulevard, Suite 220 Fort Myers, Florida 33901 (239) 334-1411



		Page 2	
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	For the Applicant:	Stacy Ellis Hewitt, AICP Atwell Consulting	
3		10511 Six Mile Cypress Parkway Suite 104	
4		Fort Myers, FL 33966 Email: shewitt@atwell-group.com	
5	For the Staff:	Dirk Danley, Jr., Principal Planner	
6 7		Department of Community Development Zoning Division P.O. Box 398	
8		Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398 Email: DDanleyJr@leegov.com	
9		Elizabeth Workman, Principal Planner	
10		Department of Community Development Zoning Division P.O. Box 398	
11		Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398 Email: EWorkman@leegov.com	
12		Imail: Inormandicedov.com	
13	ALSO PRESENT:		
14	Samuel Marshall, PE, Atwell Consulting Christian Casey, Environmental, Atwell Consulting Ted Treesh, TR Transportation Consulting		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX	Page 3
2		PAGE:
3	APPLICANT WITNESSES:	
4 5	Stacy Ellis HewittChristian CaseySam Marshall	6 36 38
6	Question by Ms. Workman	45
7	Ted Treesh Stacy Ellis Hewitt Sam Marshall	48 52 57
9 10	STAFF WITNESSES:	
11	Dirk Danley	58
12	PUBLIC COMMENT:	
13	Ryan Vataloro, Esquire	71 76
14	REBUTTAL WITNESSES:	
15 16	Stacy Ellis Hewitt	77 81 83
17	Questions by Hearing Examiner Collins	83
18	Certificate of Reporter	91
19		
20	EXHIBITS	
21	Applicant Exhibit 1, PowerPoint, page 7 Applicant Exhibit 2, composite of MCP and other documents	monta
22	page 7 Applicant Exhibit 3, legal description, page 87	merics,
23	Staff Exhibit 1, Staff Report, not delineated on reconstant Exhibit 2, PowerPoint presentation, page 58	ord
24	production, page 30	
25		

2.3

Page 4

## PROCEEDINGS

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Good morning, my name is Donna Marie Collins. I'm the Hearing Examiner that will be presiding over this morning's zoning hearing. We have before us a request to amend an existing RPD to CPD. The focus is going to be on the RPD north of Diplomat Parkway.

All testimony and evidence I accept during these hearings must be taken in under oath; therefore, I will administer the oath to everyone in the room that intends on speaking on the record, at the conclusion of my remarks.

Do we have members of the public here?

(Participants raise hands.)

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yes, all right.

For those of you who may not be familiar with our process, the property owner, Applicant's representatives, will present the request. They will explain the details of the request, and they will go through the County regulations and explain how the request is consistent with the County's land development regulations. They may have more than one witness. Sometimes we have expert witnesses testify on specific issues, such as transportation, environment, what have you.

2.2

Page 5

Following their presentation, we will go to the County Planner who prepared the Staff Report. The Staff Report in this case recommends approval. The County Planner will go over the basis of their recommendation.

And at the conclusion of their presentation, I will open up the hearing to members of the public. At that time I will call the names on the sheets that have been filled out here one at a time. I ask that you come to the podium, state your full name. If you live or work in relationship to the project, we will have an aerial of the general area up on the screen; and you can show us where you live or work and share with us your comments, favorable or opposed.

Many times I find members of the public are not completely in favor or totally against a request. They have very specific concerns. I urge you to share those concerns with us because you give us an opportunity to clarify something you may not have understood, or craft a condition to address those concerns.

This decision is not final with me. This is the first of two hearings. However, if you would like the opportunity to address the Board of County Commissioners at the final hearing, you must participate by putting remarks on the record at this

Page 6

level.

You may speak as long as you wish; but once you take your seat, you may not come back. There's no calling out from the audience. I ask you to please, during the presentation, organize your thoughts so that you don't leave anything out if you do want to speak. And while you may speak as long as you wish at this hearing, you will be limited to only three minutes at the final Board of County Commissioner hearing; so it will be important for you to really focus on what matters to you most, when you address them, because you only have three minutes.

All right. I'm ready to administer the oath. Please raise your right hand.

(Participants sworn en masse.)

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you. I'm ready to begin.

MS. HEWITT: Good morning, Madam Hearing Examiner. I do have a couple of items I'd like to submit into the record. I have the Applicant's PowerPoint, as well as a composite exhibit with a cover letter.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And what is that pertaining to?

MS. HEWITT: This has the revised conditions -- HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

----

Page 7 1 MS. HEWITT: -- that codifies all the way back to 2 the original zoning resolution, with the proposed 3 changes in clean and coded vision -- version. And then 4 it's also a revised master concept plan --5 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. 6 MS. HEWITT: -- to clarify, the first page being 7 the overall RPD/CPD, and also updating some 8 discrepancies that were discovered in the open space 9 table that I'll go through during --10 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, very good. 11 MS. HEWITT: -- the presentation. 12 And then it also has a copy of a referenced 13 approved development order just for the indigenous, and 14 updated resumes. My 48-hour notice was a little 15 incorrect on the résumés on file. 16 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. That's it, those 17 two exhibits? 18 MS. HEWITT: Yes, this --19 And here's a copy of both for you, as well. 20 Thank you. THE REPORTER: 21 (Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2 submitted.) 22 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. I'm ready 23 when you are. 24 All right. My name is Stacy Ellis MS. HEWITT: 25 I'm the planner on the case. Hewitt. I have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 8

previously been accepted as an expert witness in land use planning and zoning matters in the Lee Plan and Land Development Code, and I request to be accepted as such today.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Please proceed.

MS. HEWITT: Thank you.

With me today, I also have Christian Casey on environmental with Atwell; Sam Marshall, the engineer with Atwell; and Ted Treesh with T.R. Transportation.

The site is located on the north side of Diplomat Parkway E. The subject of the request is approximately 38.13 acres, and it's outlined in red on the map. It's approximately .31 mile west of the intersection with North Cleveland Avenue/US 41.

The Applicant for the case is Diplomat Fort Myers, LLC. It is within the North Fort Myers Community Planning Area, and the request is an amendment to approximately 38.13 acres of the Diplomat North RPD/CPD from the zoning resolution Z-11-002/ADD2019-00085 approvals to replace 238 dwelling units, or 138 dwelling units and 400 assisted living facility units, and 50,000 square feet of commercial with a maximum height of 50 feet, to allow 360 multi-family dwelling units with amenities with a maximum height of 60 feet within the mixed-use overlay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Page 9

The site and the surrounding properties are all within the Central Urban Future Land Use designation, which has a standard density of up to 10 dwelling units per acre, and can go up to 20 dwelling units per acre utilizing bonus density. This is the urban core of the county.

This map designates the mixed-use overlay for the area, which allows incentives, including density utilized from commercial parcels, allows heights up to 135 feet, reduced property development regulations, reduced parking, and reduced buffers.

We are proposing to utilize -- the yellow outline on this parcel is the actual development parcel, which is 35.18 acres; and we're also utilizing 2.95 acres of commercial parcels 1 and 2, which are on the east and west sides of the entrance. That's where we get the total of 38.13 acres for the request.

Now, this request could request, with this acreage, up to 381 dwelling units with standard density, or up to 763 dwelling units total maximum with bonus density in Greater Pine Island transfer development units. The request, however, is for 360 dwelling units with a maximum height of 60 feet.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So you're within standard density ranges?

1	MS. HEWITT: Correct, with no bonus density.
2	Bonus density could be requested in this area, but it's
3	not included with the request.
4	To the north we have the Serendipity Mobile Home
5	Park, which is zoned MH-2, and their storage area in
6	the northwest, which is zoned AG-2.
7	To the west we have a 25-foot drainage ditch, and
8	it's zoned AG-2, and then the Six Lakes Country Club
9	Mobile Home Park, which is zoned MH-2. And their
LO	common elements actually have approximately 58 feet
L1	between the subject property and their individual lots.
L2	To the south are is Diplomat Parkway, and then
L3	the vacant lands within the subject RPD and CPD.
L 4	To the east we have the MIVO North Fort Myers
15	Residential Planned Development, 285 dwelling units
L 6	with 60-foot height, and it's got a current development
17	order, DOS2022-00141, which is under review.
18	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. Now, the
19	project the rest of this project is south of
20	Diplomat well, I know
21	MS. HEWITT: Yes.
22	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: there's a couple
23	more commercial parcels north, but south of Diplomat
24	I don't know how old that aerial is, but there is

ongoing development on that parcel right now; is that

25

	DCI2023-00050 Diplomat House 06/26/202
1	Page 11
1	correct? Are you covering that in a later part of your
2	presentation?
3	MS. HEWITT: There's nothing there is an
4	approved development order on the south, but nothing is
5	being developed that I'm aware right now in our
6	within our property or within the subject RPD/CPD.
7	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. So the
8	multi-family project that's underway, that's part of
9	the Merchants Crossing project and not part of this
10	RPD/CPD?
11	MS. HEWITT: I'm not certain where that where
12 (	exactly that is happening, if there's something under
13	construction.
14	MR. DANLEY: If I could jump in on that?
15	MS. HEWITT: Yes, please.
16	MR. DANLEY: The multi-family project that's under
17	construction associated with Merchants Crossing is
18	wholly within the Merchants Crossing CPD.
19	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: When I did my site
20	visit, I noticed that there was development activity
21	south of Diplomat
22	MR. DANLEY: Okay.
23	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: and I was wondering

25 MR. DANLEY: I don't believe that there has been

if that was part of this project or --

24

Page 12

anything -- we can confirm that.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Because this is really the CPD section of the -- of the project, right, to the south mainly?

MR. DANLEY: To the south there's a residential tract and a commercial tract similar to the way the north is.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, proceed.

MS. HEWITT: Thank you.

The Staff Report does recommend approval. The Applicant's in agreement with the Staff Report recommendation and the conditions and deviations as revised with this submittal today, and I do request to incorporate the Staff Report and the Applicant's submittals as part of my testimony today.

I'm not -- the Staff Report outlines the existing public services and facilities within the area in detail. I have included them here, as well. As indicated by being included in the mixed-use overlay, there is a plethora of urban services available for the request.

This is a map depicting the overall residential planned development and CPD zoning. The overall is 65.13 acres north and south of Diplomat. It was originally rezoned by Z-06-004, which granted approval

Page 13

for 457 dwelling units, 200,000 square feet of commercial, of which 100,000 could be retail, and 120 hotel rooms.

This was amended by Z-11-002, which amended the north 35.18-acre RPD to allow a maximum of 400 assisted living facility beds, 138 standard dwelling units, and also created this 5.4 -- oops, sorry -- also created this 5.43-acre north CPD with a maximum of 50,000 square feet of commercial. I also had a conversion table and amended master concept plan, as we'll discuss, with the submittal.

There were some discrepancies in that zoning resolution. The CPD legal description was not included. So there was some discrepancies between the Applicant and Staff on the existence of this commercial planned development, but it is on the zoning map. There is a legal description in the zoning file, but this request proposes to utilize that CPD for multi-family.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. So I want to make sure the legal description that's attached to this resolution includes the entire legal description of the RPD you seek to amend and the remainder of the overall RPD/CPD. Because this is going to be a codified zoning resolution that will address development entitlements

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Page 14

on the entire project, and it will remain named as it is.

MS. HEWITT: Right.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: But this parcel -- you can call this parcel whatever you want.

So having said that, I want to go back to this map that represents the 2011 approval. So under this request, this 5.43-acre CPD is going to be RPD now; is that correct?

MS. HEWITT: That's what the Applicant was seeking.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MS. HEWITT: But there was the mixed-use overlay, and commercial allows residential, and the request wasn't filed as a rezoning of the CPD. The request language didn't specify that, neither did the Z-11-002, but that was the intent of the Applicant. We have no -- I'm fine either way.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Well, I'm very unclear on what the master concept plan is including; and if it is including this north CPD, it should be RPD if it's going to be -- I mean, basically you have a lot of flexibility, but it's very difficult to kind of encapsulate the development entitlements when the master concept plan doesn't seem to match what you're

1	seeking
2	MS
3	HE.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. HEWITT: We did add that CPD zoning line -HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Because it looks like
it's included in your MCP.

MS. HEWITT: It is because, again, the Applicant's intent was to revert it back to RPD but --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. So why can't we do that? Does it change the acreage?

MR. DANLEY: No -- if I can jump in on this. The reason why is historically, since I've been working with these mixture of RPD/CPDs, when the boundary of one of those types of projects changes, we've been requiring a rezoning because there are discrete legal descriptions for two different --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So isn't --

MR. DANLEY: -- zoning districts --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: -- that what we're doing, we're amending the zoning approval?

MR. DANLEY: We are amending it. We are not doing a -- we didn't go through this as a full rezoning for the property. And so it was -- it was Staff's opinion to keep the -- remain -- or keep that CPD as a CPD, allow it to operate the multi-family uses, as CPDs are allowed to have, retaining the remanding -- remainer -- sorry -- remaining acreage of the CPD to have 200,000

Page 16

square feet, because the Land Development Code does allow for CPDs of more than 50,000 square feet to allow multi-family uses, as well.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. Just for the record, legally, if you're amending a zoning approval, you're rezoning it.

MR. DANLEY: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So you could change that to RPD.

MR. DANLEY: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: You don't have to because you can do what -- you can develop what you want, it appears from Staff, but this is an unclean way to approach the development entitlements of this property, I think. It's not streamlined.

MS. HEWITT: And if I may, the attachment to the Z-11 already has this described as an RPD, and I have that with me that I could submit into the record, and we wouldn't have to amend -- do an amended legal description, if it's chosen to go that route.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. Just so that I understand, though, you're including the north RPD, the north 5.43-acre CPD, and the acreage of parcels 1 and 2 for the purposes of calculating your density because of -- you're in the mixed-use overlay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Page 17

MS. HEWITT: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: But parcels 1 and 2 are going to remain for commercial use?

MS. HEWITT: Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, proceed.

MS. HEWITT: Thank you.

There have been multiple administrative amendments since the Z-11-002, which are outlined in the Staff Report and attachments and have been codified throughout the amended conditions.

This is the existing master concept plan option that had the assisted living facility with the commercial CPD, as well. They're part of that zoning resolution because there is an existing development order on the other existing master concept plan.

Development orders are existing and valid until 2030 based on this master concept plan, which was from the 2007-00169 --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Are we focusing north or south of Diplomat at this point?

MS. HEWITT: This is the overall -- this is -- the overall has the CPD parcels. This has the overall planned development. And this is in the existing zoning resolution, and it is proposed to continue to be included, again, because there are development orders

Page 18

issued based off of this master concept plan.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So then the master concept plan that you're proposing today is an alternate?

MS. HEWITT: Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MS. HEWITT: Yes.

Now, the north is under -- has development order approval DOS2007-00255, which is valid until February of 2030, and then the south RPD has a development order DOS2007-00267, which is valid until February of 2030.

Part of the -- the reasoning for the alternate master concept plans is because there's bifurcated ownership on this parcel now. The commercial parcels right now, these two are owned by the same individual, but the commercial -- the three commercial parcels, two on the north and the one on the south, have separate ownership, and that's -- and those are not owned by the same as the RPD either. So coming in with a new master concept plan with other people's property that aren't authorized, it's been a little -- there's been some -- some attention there to not touch their property.

This is -- excuse the red line, but in the late notice with, you know, the revisions, we found some --

Page 19

this is the existing open space table and indigenous, as it's approved by the development order for the south parcel, which is more current than the open space table and delineations of the indigenous that are on the existing ADD2007-00169.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right, let's go back.

MS. HEWITT: Yeah.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Show me that -- what's that from?

MS. HEWITT: This is --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: That's from the development order?

MS. HEWITT: Correct, but it's a basis -- because of the two -- the ADD that happened in 2007 had indigenous calculations and an open space table that had some inconsistencies with the Code; and then rather than carrying that forward, we've updated that to -- and this is just for the record to show exactly what we're changing -- to update it to reflect the more current open space table and indigenous that's on the existing approval for the south development order. It just puts credits with the uplands; the original one had credits on wetlands, which is isn't allowed per the Code; and it clarifies that rather than carrying that

	Page 20
1	forward.
2	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. And this is all
3	consistent with the Code now
4	MR. DANLEY: Yes.
5	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: these changes?
6	MS. HEWITT: Yes. And this is included mostly for
7	your record. When you see the new open space table, I
8	did not want to have strike-through/underlines on the
9.	master concept plan
10	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So the master concept
11	plan is a clean version of these corrections?
12	MS. HEWITT: Correct, but this is just for the
13	record to show exactly what's changed and where that
14	came from.
15	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.
16	MS. HEWITT: This is the proposed master concept
17	plan, which we've put as page 1, because it remains, as
18	required by Code, the overall RPD/CPD master concept
19	plan.
20	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: But it's considered
21	alternate 1 at this point?
22	MS. HEWITT: I guess. This is

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Because you're telling

24

me I have to retain the old one.

25

Yes, so I suppose it would be MS. HEWITT:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Page 21

alternate 1. This is the overall master concept plan, is what we've referred to it as.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Well, my question is why doesn't this supersede the prior one? It doesn't matter that there's a DO under the old format. They can't -- once you amend this, they can't proceed under the old development order. They have to either amend it or replace it.

MS. HEWITT: If the property -- the Applicant does not own the property. The property owner has those entitlements. So we are going through this process, and when the new Applicant -- if they obtain a development order, they would rescind that old development order so --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So the Applicant is a contract purchaser?

MS. HEWITT: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, thank you.

MS. HEWITT: This is now page 2 of the master concept plan, which is what the subject request is about today. It's really -- the development would be a simple request if there wasn't such an extensive history on the approvals.

But what we're proposing is 360 multi-family dwelling units. We are within the mixed-use overlay so

Page 22

we're amending the property development regulations to match those which are required within the mixed-use overlay. And although open space is half of the requirement, this development is going to result in actually more than what's required today. So they're really providing — the original approval allowed all of the indigenous preserve to be included in the south development order, and we're proposing to have an on-site indigenous preserve with credits in the western boundary within the floodway, and we're also proposing to do a phased portion of this south indigenous preserve while relieving — or while leaving the originally allocated preserve amount.

So the total would have been, on the existing development order, 12.17 acres with credits; and once you include our .55-acre indigenous preserve, which is .61 acres with credits, we end up with a total for the entire RPD/CPD of 12.78 acres with credits for the preserve. For this site, it would have only required 3.52 acres under the mixed-use overlay, and we are providing 7.68 acres so --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Including the parcel to the south?

MS. HEWITT: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And when you say you're

Cl2023-00050 Diplomat House 06/26/2024
Page 23
including the parcel to the south, you mean as part of
the development approval, this property owner will have
the responsibility of restoring this segment down here?
MS. HEWITT: Yes, as working with Staff, it was
determined and it's in one of the conditions, which
is all on the master concept plan, as well, that a
2.92-acre credit phased portion of the upland
indigenous preserve on the south RPD parcel will be
provided before certification of completion of the
development order for this parcel.
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: What does that mean
though? What's going to happen on those two acres?
MS. HEWITT: Those two we are going to have to
go in and do
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Remove exotics and
MS. HEWITT: Remove exotics, and whatever the
indigenous management plan comes up with, we're going
to have to do our portion of that preserve and do an
amendment to the development order as part of ours.
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And then when the other
parcels within the RPD/CPD come in, they'll address the
remaining areas?
MS. HEWITT: That's correct.
HEADING FYAMINED COLLING. Oben

MS. HEWITT:

Now, one  $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$  a couple of notes that I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Page 24

just would like to make as far as the existing versus
the the existing approval and development orders
with the proposed. While we're increasing the height
by 10 feet, and we are increasing the density, we
really pulled the site further away from the
boundaries. So the existing setbacks are increased
from the north, along the north property line there is
a 20-foot drainage easement, and then we are continuing
to provide a 30-foot enhanced buffer that was
originally required with the development, and then
along the northeast we are providing a 10-foot buffer.

Now, in this area, because of the mixed-use overlay, there's no buffer required, but we're continuing to provide those enhancements to be good neighbors.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Is the project proposing to use any of the site development standards applicable to the mixed-use overlay?

MS. HEWITT: We are updating our property development regulations to allow them to have that, but right now we exceed most -- we exceed them.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So when they come in for development order, can they possibly provide none?

MS. HEWITT: It has to be consistent with the master concept plan but -- the development order would

1	basically say the requirement is none, but we are
2	providing and exceeding it.
3	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. It's very
4	confusing.
5	MS. HEWITT: And then we have the the request
6	is consistent with what the mixed-use overlay column in
7	the Code in the Land Development Code is. We
8	mirrored that for our request. So it's
9	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So
LO	MS. HEWITT: per the Land Development Code.
L1	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: But when you say that,
L2	you mean that with regard to internal setbacks,
13	building setbacks, and things like that. You're not
L 4	talking about the perimeter because your testimony was
15	the perimeter exceeds that.
16	MS. HEWITT: Correct well, we have to be
17	consistent with the master concept plan.
18	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So do your property
19	development regulations reflect this master concept
20	plan, or are they reflecting the mixed-use overlay?
21	MS. HEWITT: The mixed-use overlay.
22	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Well, I find that very
23	confusing then. That tells me the master concept plan
24	could be developed consistent with the property

development regulations, because you have now a

DCI2023-00050 Diplomat House 06/26/2024 Page 26 conflict. 1 The master concept plan does not match the 2 property development regulations, but those are listed 3 as minimums. 4 MS. HEWITT: Then, in that case, we do have the 5 buffering requirements which still cannot be encroached 6 upon. 7 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And they're incorporated into the conditions? 8 9 That's correct. MS. HEWITT: 10 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. 11 So there's -- nothing can go closer MS. HEWITT: 12 than the 30-foot enhanced buffer that's along the north 13 property line, which then has an additional 20-foot 14 drainage easement. So there's a 50-foot separation 15 there even if the -- from the buildings. That's in --16 where we've got development. Up in the northeast we 17 have a 10-foot buffer; but it's, again, adjacent to a 18 20-foot drainage easement, and there's no --19 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So really the property 20 development regulations are not the guiding force. 21 It's the conditions and the master concept plan. 22 if the property development regulations mirror what's 23 permitted in the Land Development Code, you're just

LDC, and not put in actual dimensions?

going to reference the Land Development Code there, per

Fort Myers Court Reporting

scheduling@fmreporting.com

24

25

Page 27

MS. HEWITT: There could be a per LDC mixed-use --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Do you see how this creates a problem when we're going to development order stage because you have conflicting documents?

MR. DANLEY: I understand what you're saying. We couldn't reference the LDC because that's referencing a conventional zoning district. There's no -- there's no relief to zero feet in the mixed-use overlay for CPDs just by right. It's not uncommon for the property development regulations to be less than what's shown on the master concept plan.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MR. DANLEY: I think if there's concern over that northern boundary, I don't think either of us would have a problem including an additional setback for that northern boundary. That would provide some of the -- to reduce some of the concern that you have, at least on that northern boundary.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Right. Because if we're going to celebrate the fact that they're providing this, and this is an enhancement to compatibility, which is an issue because you're adjacent to, you know, existing development -- even though you shouldn't really have to buffer residential

Page 28

to residential; but if we're going to celebrate the fact that you're providing it, then it should be incorporated into a condition so we can point to conditions of approval require this enhancement, which further -- further makes the project compatible with adjacent development, even though it's residential, it's different in character, mitigate some of the height differential or what have you, you know. But without a condition that becomes too nebulous, in my opinion.

I mean, to amend the master concept plan to make it consistent with the property development regulations wouldn't require a public hearing. So we go in thinking that we're having these enhancements; but at the end of the day, they don't have to be provided or can be changed administratively without hearing.

MS. HEWITT: Condition 23 is where the buffer requirements are included for this enhanced Type F buffer along the north property line west of the lake. This is Condition 23b. As shown on the master concept plan, the buffer will be 30 feet wide and include 10 native canopy trees per 100 linear feet and a double hedgerow planted at 48 inches in height at the time of the planting.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Very good, thank you.

**FMCR** 

1	MS. HEWITT: And while we're on the subject, on
2	the western property, the since the original
3	approval, a portion of the property is now within a
4	FEMA floodway, and Condition 23a requires that the
5	development plans must demonstrate the preservation of
6	native vegetation within the floodway, which will
7	provide the buffer for that
8	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And that's represented
9	by the hash lines, the confines of the
10	MS. HEWITT: Yes.
11	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: floodway?
12	MS. HEWITT: Yes.
13	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I see, thank you.
14	So you're basically staying out of that area and
15	setting it aside for preservation?
16	MS. HEWITT: Yes. There's only the reason
17	the only reason that the preserve is not the entire
18	floodway was because of the FLUCCS code south of the
19	what's included in the indigenous preserve qualified as
20	existing native indigenous.
21	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Based on its FLUCCS
22	code?
23	MS. HEWITT: Correct.
24	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And then outside of
25	that, it still appears that it's open space; right?

Page 30

Because there's some separation before you get to the garage and the parking area, it seems.

MS. HEWITT: Yes, the property -- and to your point, the property development regulations don't require that so -- but, yes, right now the master concept plan shows that. But the compatibility to the north and to the west are included in the required Condition 23a and b, which both exceed the requirements of the mixed-use overlay.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.

MS. HEWITT: Thank you.

This is just a closer-up view of the development. Let's see. There is also, approved by ADD2019-00181, a master concept plan that provided an alternate for the south RPD. This was filed and adopted. It just shows an alternate to the existing townhomes on the master con -- that are on the existing master concept plan for the overall, because the use is allowed -- single family, duplex, two-family, you know. This just provided an alternate to show that those other uses are allowed.

The conditions codify all previous approvals. They remove the commercial uses from the north -- 5.43-acre north CPD.

And establish the 360 multi-family dwelling units,

FMCR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with the increase of 10 feet in height.

Removes Assisted Living Facility uses, dwelling unit types, except for multi-family and townhomes.

And applies mixed-use overlay incentives.

The open space, again, as I went over earlier, we are exceeding those requirements.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And we're going to continue to look at the project as a whole, north and south of Diplomat, for the purposes of indigenous and open space requirements?

MS. HEWITT: That's correct.

There's -- there are several existing deviations that are continuing along with the development. We are requesting amendment of two deviations, Deviations 7 and 8.

Deviation 7 originally approved curbside pickup for the townhome development, and we are requesting to allow a reduction in the amount of required space because we do have a compactor. We are requesting a minimum of 144 square feet for recyclable materials, and we did receive a recommendation from Solid Waste of approval for that request.

We also are amending Deviation 8. Originally, this was approved for -- between this north CPD and the ALF for reduced buffers, and what we are seeking relief

2.2

2.3

Dag	$\sim$	3	2
Pag	е	3	_

for is to allow no buffers within -- within our project adjacent to internal rights-of-way. I did -- I just show -- what that's referring to is this darker line represents a right-of-way, and we did not want to buffer within basically what is the internal parking lot. So that's --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So that would mean no trees or bushes or anything there?

MS. HEWITT: Oh, we'll have general landscaping requirements, but it's more like a parking lot than a street within a multifamily development. Of course there will be internal landscaping, in general trees and -- well, appropriate with the Code, but we didn't want a required buffer since it's not -- it's internal to the site.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So do you need a deviation at all?

MS. HEWITT: Well, yes, because of the fact that right-of-ways (sic) -- the mixed-use overlay allow -- requires buffers in only two instances, if you're abutting single-family or if you're abutting a right-of-way, and because of that -- it only requires a 5-foot right-of-way buffer. But just to allow flexibility of where we put our landscaping, we wanted to include that with the request, as well as we have

Cl2023-00050 Diplomat House 06/26/202
Page 33 back-out parking, which is the next new deviation that
is going to be along that right-of-way, as well. So we
just want some flexibility with where the landscaping
goes.
We believe the intent of that is for a site on the
perimeter abutting right-of-way to provide those
buffers; but internal to the site, we did not feel it
was appropriate.
We are including two new deviations with the
request:
Deviation 9 is to allow back-out parking within
the internal right-of-way. Staff does recommend
approval for this. And it is internal to the site and
functions more like parking lot aisles, and we feel
it's appropriate for the mixed-use overlay, as well.
Deviation 10 is for minimum building separation.
This is to allow instead of half the sum of the
building height, to allow 20 feet building separation

within the site.

Again, we feel that's appropriate for the mixed-use overlay and urban development regulations.

And the Fire District HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: was fine with that?

MS. HEWITT: Um...

Because these are going HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS:

	Pago 3
1	Page 3 to be five-, six-story buildings; right?
2	MS. HEWITT: I believe they're
3	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: 60 feet.
4	MS. HEWITT: Yeah, so but but that will
5	be that will be reviewed during the time of
6	development order.
7	We do believe that the existing and proposed
8	deviations enhance the achievements of the planned
9	development and continue to protect the public health,
10	safety, and welfare.
11	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Is the building
12	separation something that could be requested as an
13	administrative deviation at the time of development
14	order?
15	MR. DANLEY: We have done those before in the
16	past.
17	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Because I feel like
18	that's premature at this stage, really.
19	MS. HEWITT: I think it's especially in this
20	instance with I if we could include maybe a
21	condition that a letter be provided? Because I would
22	hate to take it out with the contract purchase
23	situation. It's not the property owner. I would just
24	hate to have more uncertainty on the table. The site

does utilize this deviation.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MS. HEWITT: With that, I am going to turn this over to Christian Casey with Atwell. With the submittal document of this morning, I did include a copy of Christian's résumé. He has not previously testified here, but we do request that he be accepted as an expert with the Land Development Code, Lee Plan, and regarding environmental issues.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right.

Christian, were you sworn?

MR. CASEY: Yes, ma'am.

haven't been qualified as an expert here before, what I need you to do is give us a brief overview of your education background and also your work background, and then, finally, your -- your experience working with the Lee Plan and Land Development Code specifically. And then once we go through that, we can qualify you as an expert, and then you're good to go going forward.

MR. CASEY: Sure.

MS. HEWITT: The résumés are the last pages of the packet I gave you --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MS. HEWITT: -- just for reference, if you need

it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 36

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Christian Casey; right?

MR. CASEY: Yes, ma'am.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Do you go by Chris?

MR. CASEY: Christian.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. Why don't you begin with your educational background, then to your work experience, and then specifically how many projects you've worked within Lee County on the Land Development Code and the Lee Plan.

MR. CASEY: Sure. So I graduated from FGCU with a degree in civil engineering and biology, with a concentration in environmental ecology.

I am at Atwell, previously DexBender, going on five years now. I am an ecologist with them.

I have worked on projects in Lee County working on indigenous plants, doing everything from protected species assessments, ERP modification and permitting.

Let's see what else. I'm also qualified with FWC for different tortoise removal processes; eagle monitoring with the City of Cape Coral; I'm on the Fort Myers Environmental Advisory Board.

I'm trying to just think of different projects in the area as far as -- do you want names of the projects or --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yeah, so how many --

FMCR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37

how many years have you worked since graduation in this field?

MR. CASEY: In environmental, five years.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. All right. You may proceed.

MR. CASEY: Okay, thank you.

The property primarily consists of uplands.

During our survey we did not find any wetlands on-site.

An updated protected species survey was conducted back in August of 2022. During those surveys no listed species from either FWS or FWC were found on-site.

As far as the environmental goals and objectives of the Lee Plan, this project with the indigenous preserves -- you know, if there are any tortoises or anything like that on-site that we find in the future, we will move those with FWC. So they do meet all the requirements of, you know, FWC, Lee Plan, all of those.

As far as environmental, that's my slide.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right, thank you.

MS. HEWITT: Sam Marshall is also here on surface water management, and we did submit an updated résumé since Banks Engineering has merged with Atwell now.

Sam?

MR. MARSHALL: Sure.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Sam, you've been

	Page 38
1	recognized as an expert in this forum before. You will
2	continue to be recognized as such in the area of civil
3	engineering. And you're going to review the stormwater
4	management for the site?
5	MR. MARSHALL: Yes, ma'am
6	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.
7	MR. MARSHALL: thank you.
8	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I look forward to that.
9	MR. MARSHALL: Thank you for covering the first
10	part of my introduction for me. That was appreciated.
11	Good morning. So a lot of what I'm going to talk
12	about has already been covered.
13	Miss Stacy, I don't know if I can get back
14	to the
15	MS. HEWITT: Certainly.
16	MR. MARSHALL: I'll go through the points here,
17	and then I'll go back to the site plan.
18	MS. HEWITT: Okay.
19	MR. MARSHALL: As Stacy mentioned, this site has
20	existing permits. It does have a DO, which means
21	the fact that it's got an existing South Florida Water
22	Management District permit, that permit is good
23	through, I think, the end of
24	THE REPORTER: Sam
25	MR. MARSHALL: 2026

Page 39

THE REPORTER: -- slow down a little bit, please.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, ma'am, sorry.

We will be modifying the South Florida permit to match the new site plan, if approved.

As noted on the -- on the slide, issuance of the ERP ensures that surface and groundwater quality won't be adversely impacted, and I can cover that in a little bit more detail, too.

The proposed plan does remove development from the FEMA floodway. The existing permits have development and fill basically right up to the canal. We've pulled that back -- as Stacy mentioned earlier, too, with our increased setbacks, we pulled it back from the -- from the floodway. That's -- that's no fill at all in the floodway.

Additionally, just some points for the new -- for the new site plan and the new proposed water management plan. There's going to be no reduction to the stormwater storage. You can see there's a large pond to the east/northeast. We're going to continue to propose and utilize that. There's going to be no significant changes to the stormwater discharge. It will not be increased. We're proposing basically the same stormwater discharge that exists today in the --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So will this large lake

Fort Myers Court Reporting

scheduling@fmreporting.com

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

06/26/2024 DCI2023-00050 Diplomat House Page 40 support all the development of the commercial parcels on Diplomat Parkway, too? Is it designed to do that, or --It will --MR. MARSHALL: HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: -- it will not connect it? MR. MARSHALL: -- it will support -- yes, it will support them. It will support biometrically the -- and I'm talking specifically about parcels 1 and 2. going to be the outfall for all of the commercial parcels along Diplomat Parkway. As part of the water management permit, it's going to provide volumetric and some water treatment for parcels 1 and 2.

The other parcel, parcel 3 as shown on that plan, will be responsible to do its own pretreatment water quality, and it will -- it will have some detention on its site, but we will provide the outfalls. going to provide stubs for that parcel to connect into that will route through.

And we don't know what's exactly proposed on those parcels, but we are going to provide a route through consistent with the existing permit.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okav. So walk me through how this works. The water is going to hit the impervious areas; it's going to be channeled to dry

Page 41

detention -- show me where that is -- and then outfall into this lake?

MR. MARSHALL: Right. So for the proposed multi-family, there's no -- no dry detention proposed. So the commercial parcels will provide dry detention and pretreatment. And that will flow -- we've got structures that are here and here and for this road, and they will basically be channeled through pipes to the lake. So everything in here, everything in the development area is going to be channeled to the lake.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And that's like curb and gutter there?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, curb and gutter pipes, correct, inlets.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. So now the lake rises up --

MR. MARSHALL: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: -- and where does it outfall?

MR. MARSHALL: So there is one pipe that will come from the corner of the lake to the south edge of the parcel — it could really be anywhere on the parcel, but we've got it proposed on the south edge of the parcel, and goes all the way through here and basically ties into the ditch on the west side.

2.3

Page 42

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And that will be a pipe?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, that's correct. And that ditch flows north to south, exists today. And, again, the existing permit proposes fill and allows fill up to basically this line right here. Our current plan, as you can see -- this is the floodway line that Stacy referenced, and we're set back even further from that, that floodway line. So we're -- we're increasing the setback. It will increase -- I mean, you get some storage out of that in the floodway, which is good. It also allows more of a flow cross section. So it will help improve flow on there, as well, so it's going to be an improved system over what's permitted today.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: Yeah, you're welcome.

Also, no decrease in water quality, as well.

We do have to go through permitting. Permitting has changed a little bit with South Florida so there are probably some nutrient removal calculations that we're going to do that will probably -- we may incorporate swales, or we may incorporate exfiltration trenches, things like that. I don't know yet. We haven't gotten that far into design. So I suspect in the permit modification process, we're going to have a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 43

couple of additional water quality nutrient removal features on the site.

The lake itself is a large part of that. With the depth of the lake, it does allow a lot of nutrient removal to occur, but we may end up incorporating small swales -- I don't want to say we're not. I don't know yet. We haven't gotten that far in design.

One important thing --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And that will come into play during the permit mod?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, it will, yeah.

The older permits, there was not as much of a focus on nitrate and phosphate removal. There's less -- and this permit goes back a ways. I think it was -- I think 2000 and -- it's before 2010.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MR. MARSHALL: That was not as much of a focus back then. It was presumed that if you built the lakes, it would treat -- now it's more of a let's make sure -- let's quantify it and make sure we're doing it. So there's a little bit more calculation involved now.

One important thing that we're going to continue to do with the site -- and I know we've got some neighbors here today. We had a chat beforehand. There is an existing swale along the north edge that conveys

1.0

Page 44

water from the north, allows it to convey to the ditch.
That swale is going to remain. We're proposing that it
stays in. It's going to be outside of our drainage
system again, kind of our being good neighbors.
It's in the permit today to be outside the drainage
system and to allow flow to hit the swale and go to the
drainage ditch. It will be preserved in the in the
proposed system. So that will not be taken away.
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So you're not utilizing
that part as part of your plan?
MR. MARSHALL: No correct, our drainage is not
flowing to it. It's just going to allow drainage from

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So they'll see no impacts. They can drain to that swale, as they have in the past. As long as they maintain it, everything will continue to work as it has.

the north to continue to flow --

MR. MARSHALL: That's -- that's correct. That's correct.

So we are going to maintain that swale, and its purpose will be maintained, and it will get to continue to drain to the south. So we're preserving the existing --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So it's on your property so you'll be maintaining it?

Page 45

1	MR. MARSHALL: It is, and there's a drainage
2	easement there, as well. And I don't have a copy of
3	the easement in front of me. I don't know who it's
4	dedicated to. But but, again, the purpose of it is
5	to collect water from the north to continue to
6	collect water from the north and outflow, as it does
7	today, to the west through the ditch.
8	We're not going to control it. Whatever water
9	management system they have, whatever rate they flow to
10	it, that's really up to them with their permit, but the
11	ditch will remain on our property.
12	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.
13	MR. MARSHALL: Yeah, you're welcome.
14	MS. WORKMAN: (Indicating.)
15	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Question?
16	MS. WORKMAN: I have a question. For the record,
17	Beth Workman.
18	The drainage easement that you talk about, is
19	it do you know how wide because we have a
20	condition about the enhanced buffer up there.
21	MR. MARSHALL: Um
22	MS. WORKMAN: And I just ask this because it's not
23	shown on the MCP
24	MR. MARSHALL: Right. I've got
25	MS. WORKMAN: the width of it. I just

	Page 46
1	something to grow on because you've got I think it's
2	an F buffer, which is 30 feet wide.
3	MR. MARSHALL: Right. And I think the ditch
4	(unintelligible) sort of part of that
5	MS. WORKMAN: Okay.
6	MR. MARSHALL: buffer. I know we'll keep the
7	planting zone out of the buffer. We'll be conscious of
8	where the vegetation is planted so that we don't block
9	the flow of the ditch.
10	MS. WORKMAN: Okay. I just don't want to
11	condition an enhancement if we're not able to enhance
12	because it's 30 feet wide.
13	MR. MARSHALL: Right.
14	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Isn't it customary to
15	show the buffer on the master concept plan?
16	MS. WORKMAN: The easement, yes.
17	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: The easement only, not
18	the plan buffer
19	MS. WORKMAN: Yes, but if we if it's a 30-foot
20	Type F buffer enhancement per the condition that we
21	have in the zoning, and it's a 30-foot-wide drainage
22	easement, we have a code section that you can't deviate
23	or vary from that says you can't plant any required

plants in any easement.

24

25

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So that enhanced buffer

Page 47

1	would have to be outside that easement.
2	MS. WORKMAN: At least half of it, yeah.
3	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All going back to
4	shouldn't that be shown on the master concept plan?
5	MS. WORKMAN: The easement should, yes.
6	MS. HEWITT: If I may, earlier we were discussing
7	there's the right now there's the 20-foot drainage
8	easement, and we're showing the 30-foot buffer
9	MS. WORKMAN: Okay.
10	MS. HEWITT: south of that
11	MS. WORKMAN: Okay.
12	MS. HEWITT: so there's 50 feet total.
13	MS. WORKMAN: Because we don't want plants. If
14	you want it to convey, you don't want to put plants
15	within that drain even though you're maintaining it
16	on-site, the owner is. I just wanted to make sure that
17	was clear
18	MS. HEWITT: Thank you.
19	MS. WORKMAN: for the enhancement.
20	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Stacy, your testimony
21	is that the master concept plan does show the 30-foot
22	buffer?
23	MS. HEWITT: Plus the 30-foot buffer.
24	MS. WORKMAN: What I'm concerned about is the

25

easement width. It says -- it says a Type F buffer, I

	Page 48
1	got that, it's 30 feet; but what is the easement in
2	there that's remaining the ditch that's remaining to
3	serve the people to the north?
4	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Well, it looks like
5	there's a hash mark perhaps depicting the drainage
6	easement.
7	MS. WORKMAN: Okay. Just as long as we're aware
8	of that, and that, you know, we can plant outside of it
9	and still get that
10	MR. MARSHALL: Right.
11	MS. WORKMAN: enhancement.
12	MR. MARSHALL: Yeah.
13	MS. WORKMAN: Otherwise we have a condition that
14	doesn't do anything.
15	MS. HEWITT: Thank you, Beth. I appreciate
16	MS. WORKMAN: That's all I have.
17	MS. HEWITT: Thank you.
18	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Anything else, Sam?
19	MR. MARSHALL: No, ma'am.
20	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.
21	So this master concept plan replaces all the other
22	ones, and the date of it is going to be June 25th;
23	correct?
24	MS. HEWITT: Correct.
25	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Ted, good morning.

Page 49

MR. TREESH: Good morning, Ted Treesh with TR
Transportation Consultants. We conducted the traffic
analysis as part of this application.

The changes, again, as Stacy indicated, in terms of traffic relate just simply to the north side, and it's a reduction in terms of traffic because we're eliminating the 50,000 square feet of retail uses. So it results in an 85 percent reduction in the p.m. peak hour trip generation for the overall project. The request -- we're reducing the request from the 238 multi-family and the 50,000 square feet on the north parcel, and requesting the 360 multi-family units, again, along the north parcel.

At Staff's request, because it's all one project, we did look and include the uses from the south parcel, so the traffic study does analyze the entire project.

Our analysis indicated that the surrounding roadways will operate at an acceptable level of service at the projected build-out.

Diplomat Parkway is a City of Cape Coral roadway, so permitting for access will be through the City of Cape Coral at the time of permitting. As included in the Staff Report --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Is a condition in there about potential participation in an intersection

FMCR

	Page 50
1	improvement? Does that pertain to the US 41
2	intersection?
3	MR. TREESH: Yes.
4	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: What's the status of
5	that? Did it already occur?
6	(Discussion at lectern)
7	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I'm just wondering how
8	we're going to enforce that now. If it's a City road
9	and a State highway, I'm not sure where the County gets
10	a seat at the table anymore with regard to that
11	condition. Is there any independent agreement with the
12	City of Cape Coral?
13	MS. HEWITT: Stacy Hewitt for the record.
14	Applicant did propose to strike it because of that
15	reason, and there was some hesitation so we revised the
16	language to say if required by the City of Cape Coral
17	or the Florida Department of Transportation.
18	MR. TREESH: Right, we added the words "if
19	requested by the Florida Department of Transportation
20	or the City of Cape Coral" in the language that's
21	proposed. Because you're correct, there's no
22	there's no permitting actually to access through Lee
23	County.
24	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I'm just wondering, is

this intended to be a permitting bar within the County?

1	If they fail to participate in this agreement, how
2	would we know? I'm averse to keeping conditions that
3	we're not able to enforce so let me think on that.
4	Thank you
5	MR. TREESH: Thank you.
6	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: for the
7	clarification.
8	MR. TREESH: Then this last
9	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: They took over the
10	whole they took over the whole road, even the part
11	that is bordered by Lee County?
12	MR. TREESH: Yes.
13	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yeah.
14	MR. TREESH: All the way up to the 41
15	intersection.
16	And the last comment that from a transportation
17	perspective, we're consistent with the Lee Plan and the
18	Land Development Code
19	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And that intersection
20	functions fine not signalized?
21	MR. TREESH: Well, there are there are issues
22	with the intersection. The Florida Department of
23	Transportation has studied it. But because of the
24	location of the signal at Merchants Crossing further to

the south, the signal spacing is too close. So FDOT

1	has looked at it for a number of years. There have
2	been a number of different studies of how
3	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It seems like they
4	should relocate it to Diplomat and then funnel the
5	multi-family in Merchants Crossing out to Diplomat to
6	go
7	MR. TREESH: There have been studies and
8	discussions about that, but FDOT has yet to do anything
9	about it at this point.
L 0	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right, thank you.
11	MR. TREESH: Uh-huh.
12	MS. HEWITT: For the record, Stacy Hewitt.
13	The request does meet the findings and review
14	criteria of the Land Development Code. The project
15	does comply with the Lee Plan, as outlined in the Staff
16	Report and the attachments.
17	It's consistent with Policy 1.1.3 for Central
18	Urban.
19	Objectives 2.1, Policy 2.1.1 on development
20	location.
21	Objective 2.2, Policy 2.2.1 on development timing.
22	It also is consistent with Goal 5 and Objective
23	5.1, Policies 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 5.1.5, 5.1.6, and 5.1.7 on
24	residential uses. And there's no development or fill

within the floodway. It is near employment, shopping

Page 53

centers, parks, schools, mass transit, and bicycle facilities; and provides on-site open space and recreation area; and it does remain compatible with the surrounding properties.

The existing commercial uses continue to be consistent with Goal 6 and the commercial policies.

It's also consistent with the mixed-use overlay policies, Objectives 11.1 and 11.2, Policies 11.2.2, 11.2.5, and 11.2.7. It is appropriate for a mixed-use development where existing infrastructure exists.

There's connections with the commercial parcels, and the requested revisions provide an appropriate urban forum and utilize nonresidential area for density.

It's also consistent with North Fort Myers Goal 30, Policy 30.1.3. It is infill, compact, mixed-use development with residential adjacent to commercial where uses have been approved for development since 2006.

It's consistent with the water quality, Goal 125, Objective 125.1, Policies 125.1.1 and 125.1.3. And as testified, there's existing South Florida and development order approvals, and the issuance of the ERP will ensure that surface and groundwater quality won't be adversely impacted.

It's also consistent with Goal 135, Objective

FMCR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Page 54

135.1, Policy 135.1.9, on meeting housing needs. It does provide for the alternate of either townhouse or multi-family development and appropriate density to assist with meeting the housing needs of the County.

And it's also consistent with Goal 158, mixed-use infill development assisting with diversified and stable economy by providing employment and -- opportunities for the County, as well, on the commercial parcels.

The request does meet the Code and other applicable regulations or qualifies for deviations.

We did hold a public information meeting within the North Fort Myers Community Planning area, but no one attended. And we -- that's part of the Staff Report, as well.

And it does qualify for the existing revised and proposed deviations.

It is compatible with existing and planned uses in the surrounding area.

And will provide access sufficient to support the proposed development intensity. Again, the request results in a reduction of trips.

The expected impacts on transportation facilities will be addressed by existing County regulations and conditions of approval. We must get permitting with

Page 55 the appropriate authorities. And the Applicant and 1 2 Staff do agree that the request will not have a 3 detrimental impact on the roadway network in the area. 4 It will not adversely affect environmentally 5 critical or sensitive areas and natural resources. Again, we are providing additional indigenous 6 7 preservation above the requirements, and it's also served by urban services. It's within a future urban 8 9 area with adequate urban services previously outlined. 10 The proposed mix of uses is appropriate at the 11 proposed location. 12 And the conditions provide sufficient safeguards 13 to the public interest and are reasonably related to 14 the impacts of the request. 15 And the deviations do enhance the achievement of 16 the objectives of the planned development. 17 And protects the public health, safety, and 18 welfare. 19 And that concludes my presentation. 20 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, I have a 21 question. MS. HEWITT: Of course.

22

23

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: The request is for 360

2.4

units. Your master concept plan shows way more detail

25

than I think is required by the Code, which is fine.

1	You're showing 36 buildings. That's 10 units per
2	building, and you're asking for 60 feet.
3	MS. HEWITT: I think you're looking at the
4	existing master concept plan.
5	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: This is the existing?
6	MS. HEWITT: From 2007, the overall
7	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I'm looking at the
8	wrong page?
9	MS. HEWITT: Page 2 is
LO	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I see, yes, so 13
L1	buildings?
L2	MS. HEWITT: Yes.
L3	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. Now it's
l 4	making more sense.
15	All right. And can we just talk about your
16	stabilized emergency access? That's part of the
17	request. It was tied to a deviation, was it?
18	MS. HEWITT: Yes, it's tied
19	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: An existing deviation?
20	MS. HEWITT: to one of the existing deviations,
21	and it's proposed to remain. Let me see which one that
22	was.
23	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So the plan is for that
24	emergency access to align with the access to the south?
25	MS. HEWITT: That's correct.

25

MS. HEWITT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 57

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: But it won't really appear to be an entrance or an exit, you know. It will just be stabilized and usable for that purpose; right?

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It's going to be gated? What's it going to look like in terms of access by emergency vehicles?

MS. HEWITT: I'll ask Sam to --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thanks.

Correct.

MS. HEWITT: -- address that, thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: We're -- we're still working on the engineering design, but preliminarily we're proposing a gate at the -- at the roundabout on the east end where it connects in. So where our pavement begins, we're proposing a gate there. Obviously the fire department would have either a -- their --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Universal access?

MR. MARSHALL: -- clicker -- yeah, yeah. Or if there's a lock, they'd have a key to the lock. It would be their lock. So we're proposing that they -- that the fire department is the only -- you know, only persons to have access right now.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: You're welcome.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, thank you. I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 58

going to take a 10-minute break; and then when we come back, we will begin with Applicant's (sic) presentation. Thank you.

(Recess from 10:12 to 10:20 a.m.)

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Good morning, we're back on the record.

We're going to start with Staff's presentation, then we will have public; and when we come back to the parties, I'd like to walk through the conditions together.

(Staff Exhibit 2 submitted.)

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you. Staff's PowerPoint will be Staff Exhibit 2.

Thank you, I'm ready to begin.

MR. DANLEY: Good morning. For the record, Dirk Danley. I'm a principal planner in the Lee County Zoning section. I've previously been accepted as an expert witness in the Lee County Land Development Code and Lee Plan, and would like to do so again today.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: (Nods head.)

MR. DANLEY: Before we get started, I did want to discuss some of the things that were discussed where the Applicant was just provided additional clarification from Staff, the first of which is the discussion about CPD versus RPD. It's -- in Staff's

Page 59

opinion, the function of the land will be the same. If it's the Hearing Examiner's preference, reverting that property back to RPD wouldn't change the function of the property, and we would be in support of that moving forward. And we can provide you whatever additional information you need to help make that clarification on your end.

That said, the legal description that was provided with the Staff Report is a fully functioning legal description, assuming that that RPD -- or that CPD portion reverts back to RPD. Because there was some murkiness in the Z-11 case, that there was a legal description for the CPD, it was (unintelligible) in our system. It functioned in the conditions as a CPD because it had commercial uses, but the approved resolution didn't have it mapped in their legal -- legal description.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It's complicated though because you've got the existing development order, right, that leaves that part out; correct?

MR. DANLEY: Um, I don't -- I don't think it does.

I think -- I think it includes it.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It includes it?

MR. DANLEY: Uh-huh, because it's gone through a handful of administrative approvals since then.

ı	Page 60
1	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I'm trying to clean it
2	up
3	MR. DANLEY: Correct.
4	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: because I feel like
5	there's a lot of loose strings here.
6	MR. DANLEY: Yeah, I think you would find that the
7	Applicant and Staff both agree with that. So anything
8	that we can do to help move that process into a
9	cleaner
10	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: My only concern at this
11	point is we have a property owner and a contract
12	purchaser. So I'm not sure the property owner if
13	the sale doesn't go through, how are they going to feel
14	about that reverting to the RPD?
15	MR. DANLEY: My understanding is that the CPD
16	right now has residential uses on in the DO
17	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, thank you.
18	MR. DANLEY: and so moving forward I don't
19	think there would be a particular issue with the
20	Applicant reverting that piece back to residential.
21	MS. HEWITT: If I Stacy Hewitt, for the record.
22	I just was it just came to me that the existing
23	DO is for townhomes, and I believe the Land Development

25

Code only allows multi-family. Does that include

townhomes, in a commercial planned development?

1	MR. DANLEY: The definition of a townhome gets a
2	little murky with multi-family depending on ownership
3	and how the lots and everything is chopped up. So it
4	may be functioning as a townhome product but still be
5	consistent with multi-family
6	MS. HEWITT: My concern is just I didn't think
7	of that till now, that if the old DO ends up happening,
8	is there a problem with that remaining CPD because it's
9	townhomes? And I believe they're fee simple.
10	MR. DANLEY: I don't I don't believe so, but
11	that being said, it probably adds more fuel to the
12	potential of just reverting
13	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: The RPD
14	MR. DANLEY: back to RPD on that end.
15	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I think it's cleaner if
16	it's an RPD, and it's very simple I mean, we're not
17	changing the overall development entitlements, per se,
18	and what was
19	MR. DANLEY: Correct.
20	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: contemplated in this
21	DO.
22	MR. DANLEY: Correct.
23	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It's not like they
24	contemplated commercial, and now we're foreclosing

that.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. DANLEY: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It was not planned for that.

MR. DANLEY: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Potentially in conflict with the Code, it's murky. I guess we've got to figure out what we're going to do with townhomes somewhere along the line but...

All right, let's proceed.

MR. DANLEY: Okay. I just wanted to add in -- like I said, I think the legal that we have today would assume residential planned development for that whole tract, including the north RPD and CPD.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Would that affect the master concept plan?

MR. DANLEY: The way it's designed right now, I don't believe so.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.

MR. DANLEY: That said, I wanted to add, too, there was a discussion on the deviation for the building separation, the 20 feet or half the height, whatever is greater. The property is in the mixed-use overlay. Conventional districts that allow for multi-family uses, including the RM districts and the C-1, C-2 districts, would allow for height with no

Page 63

required building separation. It would just defer back to the Building Code.

The Applicant's request is basically to allow additional flexibility to facilitate the same type of development that a conventional district would allow in the mixed-use overlay, and that's why Staff did recommend approval, and we didn't even require the additional fire letter, because that wouldn't have been required in the conventional district.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you for that clarification.

MR. DANLEY: Okay. I just wanted to add one more little detail, and we'll go through the conditions later, as I understand.

There was, as we've stated, some murkiness in the zoning history. In that Z-11 case, there were property development regulations that weren't pulled forward from an ADD, the previous ADD2007. So in the Applicant's conditions that they provided you, those are corrected. And our understanding is that kind of the mosaic of changes that the Applicant's provided you, plus the clean version, does correct -- correctly reflect all the changes from the beginning of this.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. So you've confirmed that --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 64

MR. DANLEY: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: -- everything is pulled in --

MR. DANLEY: Correct.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: -- and the color-coded version is the guide to what came from where.

Thank you, this must have been a yeoman's task.

And I knew something was amiss when I started to prepare the development history of this for my own understanding, and I could see there were some incongruities -- and that's inevitable when you've got a project approved so long ago. It's undergone a lot of changes. So thank you, I appreciate you looking further into that, and now this codified resolution will be very useful to everyone, and we can rely on it going forward.

MS. HEWITT: Yes, thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.

MR. DANLEY: So all of that said, there will be some things in this presentation that refer to that north CPD. We're just going to pretend or assume moving forward that that will be an RPD at the end of all this, but I just wanted to make sure that was on the record before we got going.

There is a request, as the Applicant stated, to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

allow 360 multi-family dwellings on that northern tract, both in the RPD and the northern CPD tracts.

Overall, there's still a residential development intense -- or residential development density considered for the southern RPD, and the Applicant seeks to retain the commercial intensity for the entire project.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. So this is an amendment to the overall RPD/CPD, with a focus on this 35-acre parcel to the north.

MR. DANLEY: Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: But this resolution is going to continue to apply to the entire project.

MR. DANLEY: Correct.

I wanted to put a little bit on the record, too.

We've clarified -- or confirmed the construction that
you saw during your site visit was specific to the

Merchants Crossing CPD. The RPD to the south does not
have -- from my understanding, it doesn't have any
active construction on it at this time.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. So what I saw then was not -- boy, I could have sworn there was construction there, but okay. I probably came down that road, and I was looking at --

MR. DANLEY: That's our understanding as it is

Page 66

1	today.
2	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yeah.
3	MR. DANLEY: But so moving forward, there will
4	be 1, 2, this CPD tract, and this CPD tract, as well.
5	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So it continues to be
6	four commercial
7	MR. DANLEY: Correct.
8	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: parcels fronting on
9	either Diplomat or 41 or both.
10	MR. DANLEY: Correct.
11	And then there's two two separate and distinct
12	RPDs: RPD 1, which is what the Applicant is working
13	with, then RPD 2 to the south.
14	The property is in the mixed-use overlay, as the
15	Applicant stated. The approach that we took with the
16	conditions was to incorporate those changes to the
17	mixed-use overlay and to the resolution. It is in the
18	Central Urban Land Use category and is in the North
19	Fort Myers Community Plan area.
20	Did you have questions?
21	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So this property to the
22	east, the RPD to the east is a recent
23	MR. DANLEY: Right.
24	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: rezoning, if I
25	recall, and that is also for a multi-family

2.2

Page 67

development; am I right?

MR. DANLEY: Correct, correct. I believe the Applicant is MIVO; and, yes, in the past couple of years it's been approved.

So the Applicant has gone through this, but just to rearticulate. This is the approved MCP, and alternate 1 and alternate 2 are for the north and the south pieces of the property. I won't belabor the point because we've gone through it.

Just to kind of superimpose the project onto the aerial, this is what the proposed development plan generally looks like, just to conceptualize it a little bit. The Applicant's gone through the separation — it's not quite a straight line here, but there is going to be a notable separation between the 60-foot-tall buildings proposed and the residential uses to the north, and the same goes for the residential use to the west.

We've been talking about the history at length.

Applicant and Staff are of the opinion the proposed conditions provided by the Applicant do reflect all the changes from the past and moving forward with the amendment today.

We've gone through the decision-making criteria. We'll go through those a little bit more as we discuss

Page 68

the Lee Plan, but we do find that this request overall is meeting those criteria.

We've talked about the property being in the Central Urban Future Land Use category. Commercial, light industrial, residential uses are all considered for this category. No industrial uses are considered at this time, but the residential uses here and here, along with the commercial uses along Diplomat and 41, are both consistent with the category. Although this amendment is really focused on here, as we've been discussing, the whole PD has to function at the end of this amendment. So we do find that it is consistent with this policy.

This is in an urban area -- sorry. This is in an urban area, and we do find that it meets the requirements of Objectives of 2.1 and 2.2.

This is -- we do find it's consistent with Goal 5, as the Applicant stated. The property development regulations are characteristics of the mixed-use overlay, and there are residential uses to the north and west; but with the additional separations, just by site design, we do find that they're consistent with Policy 5.1.5.

Although commercial uses weren't really discussed as part of this application, there are functioning

1.2

2.2

Page 69

commercial uses within the planned development.

Overall we do find them to be consistent with those criteria still.

The Applicant did discuss the water quality, the water -- surface water management plan for the property. We do find that they're consistent with Goal 125.

Goal 135 is there to ensure a mix of housing within the area. The multi-family uses that we discussed, both MIVO to the east and then what's being proposed by the Applicant here on this property, do introduce additional multi-family in the area where it's predominantly single-family and mobile home community. And that's what the -- also the multi-family use within Merchants Crossing.

And then just moving forward, the PD globally does help expand a healthy business climate within Lee County and in this area.

There was a public information meeting. They did meet those requirements within the Staff Report.

We did talk about the deviations. Deviations 7 and 8 were amended just to include the proposed development plan. The Applicant did go -- did discuss that at length in their presentation, and we are recommending approval of Deviations 9 and 10.

Page 70

We did talk about the building separation, and we're here with any questions that you may have about that.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yeah, I'm requesting a Word copy of the clean set of conditions and deviations, if you don't mind. That will help me in preparing my recommendation. You can send that to me post hearing.

MR. DANLEY: Of course.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.

MR. DANLEY: And with that, we do recommend approval. We do find that this case does meet the criteria for rezonings and for amendments to planned developments, and we're here for any questions you may have.

make an observation. I think that this land being designated in the mixed-use overlay, and it being adjacent to these two mobile home communities, I had a concern regarding -- not so much residential against residential, but we're talking about a marked increase in intensity on this site versus its two adjacent sites. But between the floodway protection area and this enhanced 30-foot buffer outside the drainageway on the north side, I think that sufficient transition has

	DCI2023-00050 Diplomat House 06/26/20:
1	Page 71 been established between the intensity of the mixed-use
	_
2	overlay and the adjacent development pattern. Would
3	you agree with that?
4	MR. DANLEY: Yes, absolutely.
5	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.
6	All right. Now I'm going to open up the floor to
7	members of the public that wish to put remarks on the
8	record.
9	Okay. I've got two on one oh, no, here we go.
10	Brandon George?
11	MR. VATALARO: I'm Ryan Vatalaro. I should be on
12	the first one
13	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Ryan Vatalaro, okay.
14	I'm going to cross out Brandon since he's got his own.
15	MR. VATALARO: Yes.
16	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Good morning, thank you
17	for coming.
18	MR. VATALARO: Thank you for having me. My name
19	is Ryan Vatalaro. That's V-A-T-A-L-A-R-O. I'm with
20	Atlas Law, and we represent the property owner to the
21	north, the manufactured home community. It's called
22	Serendipity, and it's owned and operated by a company
23	named Sun Communities. Brandon George from Sun
24	Communities is here, as well.

There are a lot of questions we had had about the

Page 72

development so we appreciate the opportunity to attend this. A lot of them were answered in the presentation, but we had a couple things that we wanted the Hearing Examiner and the Applicant to consider, as well.

First and foremost, we do not contest that the public comment hearing was held as required, but I think there was no direct communication thus far between the property owners and the Applicant and the northern property owners. So I think going forward we would like to improve that, and I think in talking to the Applicant and their staff, we have opened the lines of communication and hope to keep them open.

The first concern that we had was drainage, as did the Hearing Examiner, and a lot of that was addressed very well by Sam. I think I can say personally that I represent a lot of communities, and more and more it seems that they've been having problems with flooding. Some homes have been condemned that are in mobile home parks because the flooding issues have been so bad. And obviously Lee County knows that better than almost any other jurisdiction, the issues with flooding and stormwater.

We think, just based on the testimony, that it appears that the retention pond that is proposed will hopefully address that. I was maybe confused from my

2.3

Page 73

own understanding, but I wasn't sure if the commercial parcels abutting Diplomat -- and I think they may have been referred to as CPD 1, 2, and 3. I was confused as to whether or not those parcels which are now vacant are being counted into the allotment for the drainage or --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: 1 and 2 only. Parcel 3, his testimony was that they will be responsible for their own dry retention and lakes.

MR. VATALARO: So one concern that we may have is if CPD 1 and 2 are developed, or they're used for some other purpose, whether or not there's going to be adequate drainage on those or if that needs to be included. And I will defer to the expert on whether -- if those are developed, if that will affect the drainage in any way as per the current drainage plan. But those were -- that was just one of the questions that the property owner to the north had.

I believe, if I understood correctly with regard to the drainage swale to the north, that there was no planned landscaping in the drainage swale, but that there would be plantings within the 30-foot buffer, which is good.

One of the other main concerns of the mobile home park to the north is privacy. We think that the trees

2.2

2.3

Page 74

will help, but as far as the -- a physical barrier preventing the movement of noise, as well as individuals, that's something that we would ask to be considered. It would be the mobile home park's preference that some sort of physical barrier -- a fence, wall, vinyl fence -- be used on that border.

And if we look at AG-2 right now, that's a, you know, storage area for RVs and other types of utility items. You know, depending on how the landscaping is cleared around there, it may even be unsightly for the people that are in this residential development. And there's a 30-foot buffer behind the new residences, the apartment buildings that are being planned, but there's only about a 10-foot buffer between the neighboring property and the retention pond. So some of our concerns are, you know, even people moving from the mobile home park to the retention pond to fish, to do whatever, or vice versa, the flow of people or sound going in the other direction.

And I think the Hearing Examiner mentioned that there's an increase in intensity between, you know, the developments in the two mobile home parks and this, you know, effectively apartment complex, and they're both residential but markedly different uses. So we are of the opinion that a physical barrier or fence may

2.2

2.3

Page 75

alleviate or, you know, propose some sort of line of demarcation between, you know, these 60-foot potentially apartment buildings and, you know, two mobile home parks with one-story residences throughout that are kind of closely packed together. And, you know, depending on how the trees look -- and obviously there's, you know, hedgerows that are planned, but we believe that some sort of fencing would be more beneficial.

Also, I know that Serendipity to the north is a senior living community, 55 plus. I'm not sure about the mobile home park to the west, although I will say that demographically it's going to be older individuals. That's another big difference between the character of these two uses. Just generally speaking, you know, the individuals who live in multi-family apartments trend younger than, obviously, the individuals that live in the 55 plus community to the north.

So we appreciate the buffer area that's being provided. We agree with the opinion of the Hearing Examiner, that that buffer should be incorporated somewhere in the -- whether it's the ordinance approval or if it's in the deviations, we would just like that in writing in the event that, you know, the developer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 76

changes their minds or what have you. We want that to be guaranteed, that, you know, there's going to be that buffer between these two markedly different residential uses.

And the other questions that we had have already been answered by the Staff, as well as the Applicant, so we appreciate that.

And with that, I would cede my time, thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Thank you.

Brandon George? Good morning, thank you for coming.

MR. GEORGE: Good morning, thank you for allowing me to speak.

Ryan did a great job, you know, communicating our concerns here. Obviously I just want to reiterate the 55 plus nature. I'm 99 percent sure that the golf course community that's to the west is 55 plus, as well. And the concern is obviously for our 338 homesites that are on the north side, that this have the least amount of impact to them as possible, and obviously their safety and security are paramount. And I'm sure this will be a beautiful development when it's done, but we want to make sure that there is a buffer that is secured.

So no other comments other than that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 77

1	HEARING	EXAMINER	COLLINS:	Thank	you	very	much.

Is there anyone else from the public that's present that would like to put remarks on the record?

I will close public input and come back to Staff and the Applicant.

Do you want to clarify any points that were raised during the public input? Perhaps explain what a Type B buffer looks like, the composition of the buffer?

MS. HEWITT: Right, yes, the 30-foot Type F buffer has --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Is it F or B?

MS. HEWITT: It's an F on the west side -- and let me get the conditions.

And if I may, one of the concerns that I have with providing fencing would be any barriers to maintenance for the flood -- you know, the floodway and the ditches on the property. I don't know, do you have any anything to add to that --

MR. MARSHALL: I know typically -- sure.

MS. HEWITT: Do you want to look at the buffer?

MR. MARSHALL: I mean, typically if you're going to provide any kind of a fence or a wall, the vegetation is going to be outside of it. That may force it to be more into the ditch. I don't know.

Again, we have to look at the cross section and look at

Page 78

the buffer, but it -- I mean, you can do gates and everything for maintenance, but it's certainly easier if we don't.

So we haven't looked that far into it, but I know it -- again, you're going to put your -- you're going to put your vegetation on the outside of a fence so I don't know where the fence would exactly be placed.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Stacy, can you show me on the plan up here how far the F buffer will go and then the B buffer?

MS. HEWITT: Yes. And just for clarification, also, the mixed-use overlay doesn't require buffers unless it's adjacent to single-family lots or adjacent to a right-of-way. So --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Right, I'm aware.

MS. HEWITT: -- right now there's no buffer allowed (sic) to the north.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: There's no required.

MS. HEWITT: Correct, but we've carried forward the enhanced buffer. But the Type F buffer will go over as far as the residential development is. There's the 20-foot drainage ditch, then a 30-foot enhanced buffer that is on the master concept plan and is also included in Condition 23b that states, "An enhanced Type F buffer must be provided along the north line

Page 79

west of the lake, as shown on the master concept plan.
This buffer must be 30 feet in width and include 10
native canopy trees per 100 linear feet and a double
hedgerow planted at 48 inches in height at the time of
planting."

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And then that drops down to what along the lake?

MS. HEWITT: That drops down to a 10-foot buffer with -- and I believe that -- let me...

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And what makes it an enhanced F buffer? Are you doing more than an F buffer requires?

MR. DANLEY: Yes, there should be additional plantings within that.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. And what is the buffer standard along the lake line?

MS. HEWITT: It's a 10-foot buffer with Type B plantings, which I believe is 5 trees per 100 linear feet and a hedgerow. I need to -- I apologize, I don't have that in front of me, but let me pull that up just to make sure we are clear on the record for what's proposed there.

Do you recall, Dirk, a Type B buffer's requirements?

MR. DANLEY: I don't recall off the top of my

FMCR

1 head.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MS. HEWITT: Okay. Bear with me one moment.

MR. DANLEY: The enhanced Type F buffer, just to read it into the record, is 30 feet with 10 native canopy trees per 100 linear feet, a double hedgerow planted at 48 feet at time -- or 48 inches at time of planting.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And then maintained at 60?

MR. DANLEY: That's my understanding, yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And that's more than what a typical F requires?

MR. DANLEY: I can pull that up.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Well, good, then you can pull up the B while you're at it.

MS. HEWITT: That's what --

MR. DANLEY: Of course.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yes, that's an anomaly in the Land Development Code, that they don't require a buffer along the perimeter of the mixed-use overlay since there is usually a distinct drop-down in intensity outside the overlay.

MS. HEWITT: Yes, a 10-foot buffer -- a Type B is a double-staggered hedge and 5 trees per 100 linear feet, which is what I had thought so --

1	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And then the B is 36
2	inches on planting for the hedge, maintained at 48?
3	MS. HEWITT: Let's see. Yes, 36 inches, and the
4	Type F buffers are 48 inches at time of planting.
5	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And then maintained at
6	60 for F, but maintained at 48 for the B?
7	MS. HEWITT: Correct.
8	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. Anything
9	else? We're going to leave the record open for a Word
10	version.
11	MS. HEWITT: I believe there was a question about
12	drainage. Did we properly answer the drainage
13	question?
14	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Oh, no, why don't we
15	have Sam get up and
16	MR. MARSHALL: Sure.
17	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: go through that
18	again.
19	MR. MARSHALL: Right.
20	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Because I think he
21	misunderstood about the
22	MR. MARSHALL: That's okay.
23	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: parcels 1 and 2.
24	MR. MARSHALL: Sure. So parcels 1 and 2 in our
25	drainago calculations we are presuming a pretty high

Page 82

amount of impervious for parcels 1 and 2, so we're going to ensure that when those two develop out, that the lake that's proposed on this property has the capacity to treat their water biometrically and from a water quality standpoint.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So it's only parcel 3 that's on its own in terms of its water management system?

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, parcel 3 -- but they will outflow through us. So we're basically allowing for their flow to flow through. We're giving them an outfall. It was part of the original older permitting effort, was to give them a path for their water to get to the ditch. So we are providing a stub, a physical stub -- a pipe or a box -- for that parcel to tie into. But they -- we don't know what they're proposing for a design, we don't know their impervious, but they'll be on their own for --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Would you agree that accommodating the floodway will also be an enhancement to the flow of water to the properties to the north?

MR. MARSHALL: Without a doubt, yes, it will absolutely be an enhancement to everybody in the north, yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Increasing storage

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

capacity and flow?

MR. MARSHALL: It will -- yes, it will increase both storage capacity and flowability. I won't say it will solve drainage problems, but it certainly will not exacerbate any, and it should incrementally help -- help any solution, yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It has to be an improvement over the prior plan that showed obstructions within it.

MR. MARSHALL: It's significantly wider, yes.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, thank you.

MR. MARSHALL: You're welcome.

MS. HEWITT: The only -- Stacy Hewitt for the record.

Additionally, we're required, as part of the conditions, to remove the exotics from the floodway, which should help, as well. And we're going to maintain the native vegetation within it, but exotics will be removed.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So if that's all we have for testimony in the record, I'd just like to go through the conditions real quick.

The only language that will be in the recommendation is language shown in red underscore; is that correct?

MS. HEWITT: That is correct. The only changes -you mean as far as -- the red is what's proposed as
revisions is our -- the strike-throughs were not in
red, but the proposed language is in red and
underlined.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right. So then we get to the schedule of uses, and green is proposed for addition?

MS. HEWITT: Green is -- if you look at the top of the page, each page has kind of a codification of where that language came from.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay, I see.

Okay. So we're including property development regulations for townhouse even though this product is probably going to be multi-family?

MS. HEWITT: These were maintained because of that existing development order and the other master concept plan. And on -- on page 8 and 9, that is where -- that's for the south RPD, and that was the clarification that had been done in the ADD2007-00169 but was lost in the Z-11-002. So we are proposing to put that back in consistent --

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. So the RPD north of Diplomat Parkway -- and I'm on page 11 now -- that is what is Diplomat House, but this project is not now

Page 85
Diplomat House. It's the Diplomat RPD/CPD that's going
to continue forward.
MS. HEWITT: Correct.
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.
MS. HEWITT: And that additional language about
the north CPD was just added to make sure that it's
clear we're including that property.
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yeah.
Okay. The concurrency condition will probably
come out. That's covered by the Land Development Code
already.
The no agricultural uses approved, that's going to
come out. It's not on the list of permitted uses, and
we don't have a condition allowing it to continue.
Doesn't the Code require all required buffers have
native vegetation now?
MR. DANLEY: Sorry, can you ask that question
again?
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: The Lee Plan, 126.2.1,
I think, requires all required buffers to have native
vegetation, I think. Isn't that also in the Land
Development Code?
MR. DANLEY: Uh-huh.
HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: So can we delete that

condition?

25

Page 86

1	MR. DANLEY: Let me keep going, and I'll
2	confirm that.
3	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay.
4	MR. DANLEY: I thought it was 75 percent, but I
5	MS. HEWITT: That's what I'm looking
6	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Oh, traffic condition
7	can go out. That's covered by the Land Development
8	Code.
9	The Lee Plan condition can come out. That's
10	covered by the Land Development Code.
11	Accessory uses, that's also covered by the Land
12	Development Code. This is an old
13	MS. HEWITT: (Nods head.)
14	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yeah.
15	I will probably delete Condition 19 and move that
16	text to the very front, Condition 1.
17	MS. HEWITT: Yes.
18	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. And then all the
19	deviations, old and new 9 and 10 are new.
20	Okay. When I go through and I put this all
21	together, and I check out all the moving pieces, if
22	something's missing, I may make a request for a
23	post-hearing submittal. Hopefully we won't have to
24	reopen the hearing on it. I just want to make sure we
25	can get this as clean as possible.

Page 87

1	Dirk, I can leave that condition in. It's just
2	that I thought that was already required by Code.
3	MR. DANLEY: Okay. I know that certain areas
4	just reading from the Code now, certain areas do
5	require 100 percent, but I believe that the Code says
6	75 percent.
7	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Okay. Well, then I'll
8	leave that condition in.
9	All right, very good.
10	MS. HEWITT: If I can, just for right now, the
11	overall RPD/CPD, I don't think it's like an attachment
12	to the Staff Report, per se. It's just attached to one
13	of the zoning resolutions. If you want me to submit
14	one for it's the same thing. I don't know if you
15	need one submitted for the record or
16	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: What is it?
17	MS. HEWITT: The legal description for the
18	RPD/CPD.
19	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Yes, I do need that.
20	MS. HEWITT: Right. It's in the record, but I
21	don't think it's by itself.
22	MR. DANLEY: I don't believe so.
23	MS. HEWITT: Excuse the hole punches.
24	(Applicant Exhibit 3 submitted.)
25	HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: That will be Applicant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit 3, thank you.

MS. HEWITT: Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Oh, same engineering firm. That makes it easy.

Is there anything further we need to consider this morning?

Thank you, everyone, for clarifying what was not clear to me before. I appreciate the effort.

It's going to take me a little while to get this recommendation out. The Board's on break for the next month, so hopefully I can get it done so that you can be heard sometime in August, probably not the first date but maybe the second hearing in August.

MS. HEWITT: Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: All right.

MS. HEWITT: I do have one other question, just to clarify. There was a lot of discussion and moving parts with the RPD/CPD situation and the master concept plan. I just want to make sure, if there is anything needed submitted, just to make sure -- because I can turn around any revisions, you know, pretty darn quick. But the question -- the one question I'm having is the master concept plan, we amended it as part of the 48-hour notice to -- I believe to show --

MR. DANLEY: There is a hash line --

Page 89

1 MS. HEWITT: It's just a line calling it out, but 2 I just don't want --3 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: I will clarify that in 4 the recommendation and somewhere in the condition --5 MS. HEWITT: Okay. 6 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: -- if possible. 7 Otherwise, I mean --8 MS. HEWITT: You don't need it. I just wanted to 9 make sure that we talked about it because --10 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: Let me see how it all 11 lays out. 12 MS. HEWITT: Okav. 13 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: It's --14 MS. HEWITT: Okay, that sounds good. 15 And I do -- the flash drive has those Word 16 documents, if I can get those to you. 17 HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS: And I don't anticipate 18 there being an issue with this. It sounds like it's 19 going to create less impacts. I recognize that the 20 offer of the buffer along the north boundary is more than Code, and certainly on the west boundary. I don't 21 22 really see that as an issue that's going to impact this 2.3 in any way. And emergency access addresses any concern

I might have with that deviation.

24

25

```
Page 90
     everything like in order, and I'm taking vacation next
 1
2
     month.
                         Good for you.
 3
          MS. HEWITT:
                                        Okay right, thank you.
          HEARING EXAMINER COLLINS:
 4
 5
                         Thank you very much.
          MS. HEWITT:
           (Proceedings concluded at 11:02 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-	Page 91
1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA )
5	COUNTY OF LEE )
6	
7	I, Deborah M. Bruns, Florida Professional
8	Reporter, do hereby certify that I was
9	authorized to and did report the foregoing
10	proceedings, and that the transcript, pages 1
11	through 90, is a true and correct record of my
12	stenographic notes.
13	
14	Dated this 28th day of June, 2024.
15	
16	1011000
17	Deborah M. Bruns, FPR
18	Depotair M. Bruits, FFR
19	
20	(This transcript was electronically signed.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	125	78:22	30-foot-wide	5.1.3
1 .	53:19 69:7	200,000	46:21	52:23
1	125.1	13:1 15:25	30.1.3	5.1.5
7:21 9:15 16:23	53:20	2000	53:15	52:23 68:23
17:2 20:17,21 21:1	125.1.1	43:15	31	5.1.6
40:9,13 66:4,12	53:20	2006	8:13	52:23
67:7 73:3,7,11	125.1.3	53:18	338	5.1.7
81:23,24 82:1	53:20	2007	76:18	52:23
86:16	126,2,1	19:15 56:6	35-acre	5.4
1.1.3	85:19		65:10	13:7
52:17		2007-00169		1
10	13	17:18	35.18	5.43-acre
9:3 24:4 28:21	56:10	2010	9:14	13:8 14:8 16:23
31:1 33:16 56:1	135	43:15	35.18-acre	30:24
69:25 79:2 80:4	9:10 53:25 69:8	2011	13:5	50
86:19	135.1	14:7	36	8:23 47:12
	54:1	2022	56:1 81:1,3	50,000
10-foot	135.1.9	37:10	360	8:22 13:8 16:2
24:11 26:17 74:14	54:1	2026	8:23 9:22 21:24	49:7,11
79:8,17 80:23	138	38:25	30:25 49:12 55:23	50-foot
10-minute	8:20 13:6	2030	65:1	26:14
58:1	144	17:16 18:10,11	38.13	55
100	31:20	·	8:12,18 9:17	75:11,18 76:16,1
28:22 79:3,18	158	23 28:17	381	55-acre
80:5,24 87:5	54:5		9:19	22:16
100,000		238	3110	58
13:2	19	8:20 49:10	4	10:10
10:12	86:15	23a		10.10
58:4		29:4 30:8	400	6
10:20	2	23b	8:21 13:5	
58:4	2	28:20 78:24	41	6
11	7:21 9:15 16:23	25-foot	8:14 50:1 51:14	53:6
84:24	17:2 21:19 40:9,13	10:7	66:9 68:8	60
	56:9 58:11,13	25th	457	8:24 9:23 34:3
11.1	66:4,13 67:7 73:3,	48:22	13:1	56:2 80:9 81:6
53:8	7,11 81:23,24 82:1	285	48	60-foot
11.2	2.1	10:15	28:23 79:4 80:6	10:16 75:2
53:8	52:19 68:16	10.10	81:2,4,6	60-foot-tall
11.2.2	2.1.1	3	48-hour	67:15
53:8	52:19		7:14 88:24	
11.2.5		3	7,17 00.27	61
53:9	50:04.00:40	40:14 73:3,8 82:6,	5	22:17
11.2.7	52:21 68:16	9 87:24 88:1	3	65.13
53:9	2.2.1	3.52	5	12:24
11:02	52:21	22:20	52:22 68:17 79:18	
90:6	2.92-acre	30	80:24	7
12.17	23:7	28:21 46:2,12 48:1	5-foot	7
	2.95	53:15 79:2 80:4	32:23	31:14,16 69:21
22:15	9:14	30-foot	5.1	1
12.78	20	24:9 26:12 46:19	52:23	7.68
22:18	9:4 33:18 62:21	47:8,21,23 70:24		22:21
120	20-foot	73:22 74:12 77:9	<b>5.1.2</b> 52:23	75
13:2	_U-100t	78:22	02.23	86:4 87:6

DCI2023-00050 Diplomat I	House		06/26/20	)24Index: 763attachmen
<b>763</b> 9:20	active 65:20	39:7 53:24 55:4 Advisory	amendments 17:7 70:13	approach 16:14 66:15
8	activity 11:20 actual	36:21 <b>aerial</b> 5:12 10:24 67:11	amenities 8:24 amiss	approval 5:3 12:10,25 14:7 15:18 16:5 18:9
8 31:15,23 69:22 84:18	9:13 26:25 add	affect 18:23 55:4 62:14	64:8 amount	19:22 22:6 23:2 24:2 28:4 29:3
<b>85</b> 49:8	15:2 19:15 62:10, 19 63:12,18 77:18	73:15 <b>AG-2</b>	22:13 31:18 76:20 82:1	31:22 33:13 54:25 63:7 69:25 70:12 75:23
9	ADD2007 63:18	10:6,8 74:7 agree 55:2 60:7 71:3	analysis 49:3,17	approvals 8:20 21:23 30:22
<b>9</b> 33:11 69:25 84:18	ADD2007-00169 19:5 84:20 ADD2019-00181	75:21 82:19 agreement	analyze 49:16 anomaly	53:22 59:25 approved
86:19 <b>99</b> 76:16	30:13 added	12:11 50:11 51:1 agricultural	80:18 anticipate	7:13 11:4 19:2 30:13 31:16,24 39:4 53:17 59:15
A	50:18 85:6 addition	85:12 aisles	89:17 anymore	64:12 67:4,6 85:12 approximately
<b>a.m.</b> 58:4 90:6	84:8 additional 26:13 27:16 43:1	33:14 <b>ALF</b> 31:25	50:10 apartment 74:13,23 75:3	8:11,13,18 10:10 area
absolutely 71:4 82:23	55:6 58:23 59:5 63:4,8 68:21 69:12	align 56:24	apartments 75:17	5:12 8:17 9:8 10:2, 5 12:17 24:12 29:14 30:2 36:23
<b>abutting</b> 32:21 33:6 73:2	79:13 85:5 Additionally	alleviate 75:1	<b>apologize</b> 79:19	38:2 41:10 53:3,13 54:13,19 55:3,9
accept 4:8 acceptable	39:16 83:15 address 5:20,23 6:11 13:25	allocated 22:13	appears 16:13 29:25 72:24	66:19 68:14,15 69:9,12,18 70:23 74:8 75:20
49:18	23:21 57:10 72:25 addressed	allotment 73:5 allowed	applicable 24:18 54:11 applicant	areas 23:22 40:25 55:5
8:1,3 35:6 58:17 access	54:24 72:14 addresses	15:24 19:24 22:6 30:18,21 78:17	8:15 13:15 14:10, 17 21:9,12,15	87:3,4 assessments
49:21 50:22 54:20 56:16,24 57:6,17, 22 89:23	89:23 adds	allowing 76:12 82:10 85:14	50:14 55:1 58:23 60:7,20 64:25 65:5	36:17 assist 54:4
Accessory 86:11 accommodating	61:11 adequate 55:9 73:13	alternate 18:4,12 20:21 21:1 30:14,16,20 54:2 67:7	66:12,15 67:3,5, 20,21 68:18 69:4, 11,23 72:4,8,11 76:6 77:5 87:24,25	assisted 8:21 13:5 17:12 31:2
82:20 achievement	adjacent 26:17 27:24 28:6 32:2 53:16 70:19,	amend 4:5 13:23 16:19	applicant's 4:17 6:20 7:21 12:11,14 15:5 58:2	assisting 54:6
55:15 achievements 34:8	22 71:2 78:13 administer 4:10 6:13	21:6,7 28:11 amended 13:4,10 16:19	63:3,19,21 67:13 application	assume 62:12 64:21 assuming
<b>acre</b> 9:4	administrative 17:7 34:13 59:25	17:10 69:22 88:23 amending	49:3 68:25 applies	59:10 <b>Atlas</b>
acreage 9:19 15:8,25 16:23	administratively 28:16	15:18,19 16:5 22:1 31:23	31:4 apply 65:13	71:20 attached
acres 8:12,18 9:14,17 12:24 22:15,17,18, 20,21 23:12	adopted 30:15 adversely	amendment 8:17 23:19 31:14 65:9 67:23 68:10, 12	appreciated 38:10	13:21 87:12 attachment 16:16 87:11

15:8 16:8 59:3

19:20 61:17

20:13 28:16 42:19

DCl2023-00050 Diplor
attachments 17:9 52:16
attend 72:1
attended 54:14
attention 18:22
<b>Atwell</b> 8:8,9 35:3 36:13 37:22
audience 6:4
August 37:10 88:12,13
<b>authorities</b> 55:1
authorized 18:21
Avenue/us 8:14
averse 51:2
aware 11:5 48:7 78:15
В
back 6:3 7:1 14:6 15:6 19:7 37:9 38:13, 39:12,13 42:8

back
6:3 7:1 14:6 15:6
19:7 37:9 38:13,17
39:12,13 42:8
43:14,18 47:3
58:2,6,8 59:3,11
60:20 61:14 63:1
77:4 84:22
back-out
33:1,11
background
35:15 36:6
bad
72:19
Banks
37:22
bar
50:25
barrier
74:1,5,25
barriers

House
based 17:17 18:1 29:21 72:23
basically 14:22 25:1 29:14 32:5 39:11,23 41:8,24 42:6 63:3 82:10
<b>basis</b> 5:4 19:14
<b>Bear</b> 80:2
beautiful 76:22
<b>beds</b> 13:6
begin 6:17 36:6 58:2,14
beginning 63:23
<b>begins</b> 57:14
belabor 67:8
beneficial 75:9
Beth 45:17 48:15
bicycle 53:1
bifurcated 18:13
<b>big</b> 75:14
biology 36:11
biometrically 40:8 82:4
bit 39:1,8 42:19 43:21 65:15 67:13,25
<b>block</b> 46:8
<b>Board</b> 5:23 6:9 36:21
<b>Board's</b> 88:10
honus

bonus

border

9:5,21 10:1,2

74:6
bordered
51:11
<b>boundaries</b> 24:6
boundary
15:11 22:10 27:15,
17,19 89:20,21
box
82:15
<b>boy</b> 65:22
Brandon
71:10,14,23 76:10
break
58:1 88:10
buffer 24:9,11,13 26:12,
17 27:25 28:17,19,
21 29:7 32:5,14,23
45:20 46:2,6,7,15, 18,20,25 47:8,22,
23,25 70:24 73:22
74:12,14 75:20,22
76:3,23 77:8,9,20
78:1,9,10,16,20,
23,25 79:2,8,11, 16,17 80:3,20,23
89:20
buffer's
79:23
buffering
26:5
<b>buffers</b> 9:11 31:25 32:1,20
33:7 78:12 81:4
85:15,20
build-out
49:19
<b>building</b> 25:13 33:16,18
34:11 56:2 62:21
63:1,2 70:1
buildings
26:15 34:1 56:1,11 67:16 74:13 75:3
built
43:18
bushes

69:17	certification 23:9
C C-1	<b>change</b> 15:8 16:8 5
62:25	<b>changed</b> 20:13 28:16
<b>C-2</b> 62:25	<b>changing</b> 19:20 61:17
calculating 16:24	<b>channeled</b> 40:25 41:8,
calculation 43:21	character 28:7 75:15
<b>calculations</b> 19:16 42:20 81:25	characterist
<b>call</b> 5:8 14:5	chat 43:24
called 71:21	check
<b>calling</b> 6:4 89:1	86:21 <b>chopped</b> 61:3
<b>canal</b> 39:11	chosen
<b>canopy</b> 28:22 79:3 80:5	16:20 <b>Chris</b>
capacity 82:4 83:1,3	36:3 Christian
<b>Cape</b> 36:20 49:20,22 50:12,16,20	8:7 35:3,10 Christian's 35:5
carried 78:19	<b>City</b> 36:20 49:20
carrying 19:18,25	50:8,12,16, civil
<b>case</b> 5:3 7:25 8:15 26:4 59:12 63:16 70:12	36:11 38:2 clarification 51:7 58:24
Casey 8:7 35:3,11,20 36:1,2,4,10 37:3,6	63:11 78:1 clarified 65:16
category 66:18 68:4,6,9	clarifies 19:25
<b>cede</b> 76:8	clarify 5:19 7:6 77 88:17 89:3
<b>celebrate</b> 27:21 28:1	clarifying 88:7
centers 53:1	clean 7:3 20:11 6
Central 9:2 52:17 66:18 68:4	63:22 70:5 cleaner

77:15

32:8

**business** 

clear 47:17 79:21 85:7 88:88 cleared 74:10 Cleveland 8:14 clicker 57:18 climate 69:17 close 51:25 77:4 closely 75:5 closer 26:11 closer-up 30:12 Club 10:8 code 8:3 16:1 19:17,25 20:3,18 25:7,10 26:23,24 29:18,22 32:13 35:7,17 36:9 46:22 51:18 52:14 54:10 55:25 58:18 60:24 62:6 63:2 80:19 85:10,15,22 86:8,10,12 87:2,4, 5 89:21 coded 7:3 codification 84:10 codified 13:24 17:9 64:14 codifies 7:1 codify 30:22 collect 45:5,6 Collins 4:2,3,15 6:16,22, 25 7:5,10,16,22

15 43:9,16 44:9, 14,24 45:12,15 49:24 50:4,7,24 7.10.13.19.23 23 60:1,4,10,17 61:13.15.20.23 62:2,5,14,18 71:5,13,16 73:7 80:8,11,14,18 23 82:6,19,25 90:4 color-coded 64:5 column 25:6 comment 51:16 72:6 comments 5:14 76:25 commercial 8:22 9:9,15 10:23

8,11,21 17:2,5,19 18:2,6 19:6,9,12 20:2,5,10,15,20,23 21:3,15,18 22:22, 25 23:11,15,20,24 24:16,22 25:3,9, 11,18,22 26:7,10, 19 27:3,13,20 28:25 29:8,11,13, 21,24 30:10 31:7 32:7,16 33:22,25 34:3,11,17 35:1,9, 12,23 36:1,3,5,25 37:4,19,25 38:6,8 39:25 40:5,23 41:11,15,18 42:1, 46:14,17,25 47:3, 20 48:4,18,20,25 51:6,9,13,19 52:3, 10 55:20,23 56:5, 57:1,5,9,17,23,25 58:5,12,20 59:18, 63:10,24 64:2,5,18 65:8,12,21 66:2,5, 8,21,24 70:4,10,16 76:9 77:1,11 78:8, 15,18 79:6,10,15 81:1,5,8,14,17,20, 83:7,11,20 84:6, 12,23 85:4,8,19,24 86:3,6,14,18 87:7, 16,19,25 88:3,15 89:3,6,10,13,17

complex

12:6 13:2,9,15 14:14 17:3,13 18:14,16 30:23 40:1,10 41:5 53:5, 6,11,16 54:9 59:15 60:25 61:24 65:6 66:6 68:4,8,24 69:1 73:1 Commissioner

6:9 Commissioners

5:24 common 10:10

communicating 76:14

communication 72:7,12

communities 70:19 71:23,24 72:16

community 8:16 54:13 66:19 69:14 71:21 75:11. 18 76:17

compact 53:15 compactor 31:19

company 71:22

27:23 30:6 compatible

compatibility

28:5 53:3 54:18

completely 5:16

completion 23:9

74:23 complicated

59:18 comply

52:15 composite 6:21 composition

77:8 con

30:17

concentration 36:12

concept

7:4 13:10 14:20,25 17:11,15,17 18:1, 3,13,20 20:9,10, 16,18 21:1,20 23:6 24:25 25:17,19,23 26:1,21 27:12 28:11,20 30:6,14, 17 46:15 47:4,21

48:21 55:24 56:4 62:15 78:23 79:1 84:17 88:18,23

conceptualize 67:12

concern

27:14,18 60:10 61:6 70:20 72:13 73:10 76:18 89:23

concerned 47:24

concerns 5:17,18,20 73:24 74:16 76:15 77:14

concluded 90:6

concludes 55:19

conclusion 4:11 5:6

concurrency 85:9 condemned

72:18 condition

5:20 28:3,9,17,20 29:4 30:8 34:21 45:20 46:11,20 48:13 49:24 50:11 78:24 85:9,14,25 86:6,9,15,16 87:1, 8 89:4

conditions 6:24 12:12 17:10 23:5 26:8.21 28:4 30:22 51:2 54:25 55:12 58:9 59:14 63:13,19 66:16 67:21 70:5 77:13 83:16,22

conducted

37:9 49:2 confines 29:9

confirm 12:1 86:2

confirmed 63:25 65:16

conflict 26:1 62:5

conflicting 27:5

confused 72:25 73:3

confusing 25:4,23

connect 40:5,18

connections 53:11

connects 57:14

conscious 46:7

considered 20:20 65:5 68:5,6

74:4 consistent

4:21 20:3 24:24 25:6,17,24 28:12 40:22 51:17 52:17, 22 53:6,7,14,19,25 54:5 61:5 68:9,12, 17,22 69:2,6 84:22

37:7 construction

consists

11:13,17 65:16,20, 23

Consultants 49:2

contemplated 61:20,24

contest 72:5

continue 17:24 31:8 34:9 38:2 39:20 43:22 44:13,17,21 45:5

53:5 65:13 85:2,14



8:5 9:24 10:18,22

11:7,19,23 12:2,8

13:20 14:4,12,19

15:3,7,15,17 16:4,

continues 66:5 continuing 24:8,14 31:13 contract 21:16 34:22 60:11 control 45:8 conventional 27:8 62:23 63:5,9 conversion 13:9 convey 44:1 47:14 conveys 43:25 copy 7:12,19 35:5 45:2 70:5 Coral core 9:5 corner 41:21 20:11

36:20 49:20.22 50:12,16,20 correct 10:1 11:1 14:9 17:1 19:14 20:12 21:17 22:24 23:23 25:16 26:9 29:23 31:11 41:14,17 42:3 44:11,18,19 48:23.24 50:21 56:25 57:4 59:20 60:3 61:19,22 62:1,4 63:22 64:1, 4 65:14 66:7,10 67:2 78:19 81:7 83:25 84:1 85:3 corrected 63:20 corrections correctly 63:22 73:19 counted 73:5 Country 10:8

county 4:20 5:2,4,23 6:9 9:6 36:8,15 50:9, 23,25 51:11 54:4, 8,24 58:16,18 69:18 72:20 County's 4:21 couple 6:19 10:22 23:25 43:1 67:3 72:3 cover 6:21 39:7 covered 38:12 85:10 86:7, 10.11 coverina 11:1 38:9 CPD

4:6 10:13 11:18 12:3,23 13:8,13,18 14:8.15.21 15:2. 22,25 16:23 17:13, 22 30:24 31:24 58:25 59:10,13,14 60:15 61:8 62:13 64:21 65:2,18 66:4 73:3,11 85:6

**CPDS** 15:23 16:2 27:9 craft 5:19 create 89:19 created 13:7 creates 27:4 credit 23:7

credits 19:23,24 22:9,15, 17,18 criteria 52:14 67:24 68:2 69:3 70:13 critical 55:5 42:12 71:14 77:25

Crossing

11:9,17,18 51:24 52:5 65:18 69:15 curb 41:11,13 curbside 31:16 current 10:16 19:3,21 42:6 73:16 customary 46:14 D **Danley** 11:14,16,22,25 12:5 15:9,16,19 16:7,10 20:4 27:6, 14 34:15 58:15,16, 21 59:21,24 60:3, 6,15,18 61:1,10, 14,19,22 62:1,4, 10,16,19 63:12 64:1,4,19 65:11, 88:25 darker 32:3 darn 88:21 date

14,25 66:3,7,10,23 67:2 70:9,11 71:4 79:13,25 80:3,10, 13,17 85:17,23 86:1,4 87:3,22 48:22 88:13 dav 28:15 decision 5:21 67:24 decrease 42:17

decision-making dedicated 45:4 defer 63:1 73:14 definition 61:1 degree

36:11

delete 85:24 86:15 delineations 19:4 demarcation 75:2 demographically 75:13 demonstrate 29:5

density 9:3,5,8,20,21,25 10:1.2 16:24 24:4 53:13 54:3 65:4

department 50:17.19 51:22 57:15,21 depending 61:2 74:9 75:6 depicting 12:22 48:5

depth

43:4 description 13:13,17,21,22 16:20 59:8,10,13, 17 87:17

descriptions 15:14 design 42:24 43:7 57:12 68:22 82:17 designated 70:18

designates 9:7 designation

9:2 designed 40:2 62:16

details

detail 12:18 39:8 55:24 63:13

4:19 detention 40:16 41:1,4,5

determined 23:5 detrimental

55:3 develop 16:12 82:2 developed 11:5 25:24 73:11, 15 developer

75:25 development

4:22 7:13 8:3 9:10, 13,22 10:15,16,25 11:4,20 12:23 13:16,25 14:24 16:1,14 17:14,16, 23,25 18:8,10 19:2,13,22 21:7, 13,14,21 22:1,4,8, 15 23:2,10,19 24:2,10,17,20,23, 25 25:7,10,19,25 26:2,16,20,22,23, 24 27:4,11,24 28:6,12 29:5 30:4, 12 31:13,17 32:11 33:21 34:6,9,13 35:7,17 36:9 39:9, 10 40:1 41:10 51:18 52:14,19,21, 24 53:10,16,17,22 54:3,6,21 55:16 58:18 59:19 60:23, 25 61:17 62:12 63:5,17 64:9 65:3, 4 67:1,11 68:18 69:1,23 71:2 72:1 74:11 76:22 78:21 80:19 84:13,17 85:10,22 86:7,10,

developments 70:14 74:22 deviate

46:22 deviation

31:16,23 32:17 33:1,11,16 34:13, 25 56:17,19 62:20 89:24

deviations 12:12 31:12,14 33:9 34:8 54:11,17 55:15 56:20 69:21. 25 70:6 75:24

89:23 environmental 86:19 15:16 62:23,24,25 8:20,21,23 9:3,4, 19,20,23 10:15 8:8 35:8 36:12,21 Dexbender ditch employment 13:1.6 21:25 30:25 37:3,12,18 52:25 54:7 36:13 10:7 41:25 42:4 31:2 environmentally 44:1,7 45:7,11 difference en 46:3,9 48:2 77:24 dwellings 55:4 75:14 6:15 78:22 82:14 65:1 **ERP** encapsulate differential 36:17 39:6 53:23 ditches 14:24 28:8 F 77:16 establish difficult encroached 30:25 diversified 26:5 14:23 eagle 54:6 established 36:19 dimensions end 71:1 document 26:25 22:17 28:15 38:23 earlier 35:4 event 43:5 57:13 59:7 31:5 39:12 47:6 **Diplomat** 75:25 documents 61:14 64:22 68:11 4:7 8:10,15,18 easement 27:5 89:16 evidence 10:12,20,23 11:21 ends 24:8 26:14,18 61:7 4:8 12:24 17:20 31:9 Donna 45:2,3,18 46:16, 40:2,11 49:20 4:3 17,22,24 47:1,5,8, enforce exacerbate 52:4,5 66:9 68:8 25 48:1.6 83:5 50:8 51:3 DOS2007-00255 73:2 84:24,25 85:1 18:9 easier engineer Examiner direct 78:2 8:8 DOS2007-00267 4:2,3,15 6:16,18, 72:7 18:11 22,25 7:5,10,16,22 east engineering 8:5 9:24 10:18,22 direction 9:15 10:14 57:13 36:11 37:22 38:3 DOS2022-00141 11:7,19,23 12:2,8 74:19 66:22 69:10 57:12 88:3 10:17 13:20 14:4,12,19 Dirk east/northeast enhance double 15:3,7,15,17 16:4, 58:15 79:23 87:1 39:20 28:22 79:3 80:5 34:8 46:11 55:15 8,11,21 17:2,5,19 discharge easy double-staggered enhanced 18:2,6 19:6,9,12 39:22.24 88:4 80:24 24:9 26:12 28:18 20:2,5,10,15,20,23 discovered 45:20 46:25 70:24 ecologist doubt 21:3,15,18 22:22, 7:8 78:20,22,24 79:11 36:14 82:22 25 23:11,15,20,24 80:3 discrepancies ecology 24:16,22 25:3,9, drain 7:8 13:12.14 enhancement 36:12 44:15,22 47:15 11,18,22 26:7,10, 27:22 28:4 46:11. discrete 19 27:3,13,20 economy drainage 20 47:19 48:11 15:13 28:25 29:8,11,13, 10:7 24:8 26:14,18 54:7 82:20,23 21,24 30:10 31:7 44:3,5,7,11,12 discuss edge enhancements 32:7,16 33:22,25 13:11 58:22 67:25 45:1,18 46:21 47:7 41:21,23 43:25 24:14 28:14 34:3,11,17 35:1,9, 69:4.23 48:5 72:13 73:5, education 12.23 36:1,3,5,25 13,16,20,21 78:22 ensure discussed 35:15 37:4,19,25 38:6,8 53:23 69:8 82:2 81:12,25 83:4 58:22 68:24 69:10 educational 39:25 40:5,23 drainageway ensures discussing 36:6 41:11,15,18 42:1, 70:24 39:6 47:6 68:11 effectively 15 43:9,16 44:9, drive entire discussion 74:23 14,24 45:12,15 89:15 13:22 14:1 22:18 50:6 58:25 62:20 effort 46:14,17,25 47:3, 29:17 49:16 65:6. 88:17 drop-down 82:13 88:8 20 48:4,18,20,25 13 80:21 discussions 49:24 50:4,7,24 elements entitlements 52:8 drops 51:6,9,13,19 52:3, 10:10 13:25 14:24 16:14 79:6,8 10 55:20,23 56:5, distinct eliminating 21:11 61:17 7,10,13,19,23 66:11 80:21 dry 49:7 entrance 57:1,5,9,17,23,25 40:25 41:4,5 73:9 district **Ellis** 9:16 57:2 58:5,12,20 59:18, 27:8 33:22 38:22 duplex 7:24 23 60:1,4,10,17 environment 63:5,9 30:19 emergency 61:13,15,20,23 4:24 districts dwelling 56:16,24 57:7 62:2,5,14,18



63:10,24 64:2,5,18 65:8,12,21 66:2,5, 8,21,24 70:4,10,16 71:5,13,16 72:4,14 73:7 74:20 75:22 76:9 77:1,11 78:8, 15,18 79:6,10,15 80:8,11,14,18 81:1,5,8,14,17,20, 23 82:6,19,25 83:7,11,20 84:6, 12,23 85:4,8,19,24 86:3,6,14,18 87:7, 16,19,25 88:3,15 89:3,6,10,13,17 90:4

# Examiner's

59:2

exceed 24:21 30:8

exceeding

# 25:2 31:6

exceeds

#### 25:15

excuse

## 18:24 87:23

exfiltration 42:22

#### exhibit

6:21 58:11,13 87:24 88:1

#### exhibits

7:17.21

#### existence 13:15

### existing

4:5 12:16 17:11. 14,15,16,23 19:1, 5,22 22:14 24:1,2, 6 27:24 29:20 30:16.17 31:12 34:7 38:20,21 39:10 40:22 42:5 43:25 44:23 53:5. 10,21 54:16,18,24 56:4,5,19,20 59:19 60:22 84:17

#### exists

39:24 42:4 53:10

# exit

57:2

#### exotics

23:15,16 83:16,18

## expand

69:17

# expected

54:23

## experience

35:16 36:7

#### expert

4:23 8:1 35:7.13. 19 38:1 58:18 73:14

## explain

4:19.20 77:7

## extensive

21:22

#### F

## facilitate

63:4

#### facilities

12:17 53:2 54:23

## facility

8:21 13:6 17:12 31:2

#### fact

27:21 28:2 32:18 38:21

# fail

51:1

## familiar

4:16

## family

30:19

# favor

5:16

## favorable

5:14

#### **FDOT**

51:25 52:8

## features

43:2

#### **February**

18:9,11

#### fee

61:9

# feel

33:7,14,20 34:17 60:4,13

## feet

8:22,23,24 9:10,23 10:10 13:1,9 16:1, 2 24:4 27:9 28:21, 22 31:1,20 33:18 34:3 46:2,12 47:12 48:1 49:7.11 56:2 62:21 79:2,3,19 80:4,5,6,25

## **FEMA**

29:4 39:10

#### fence

74:6,25 77:22 78:6.7

## fencing

75:8 77:15

## **FGCU**

36:10

# field

37:2

## figure

62:6

## file

7:15 13:17

#### filed

14:15 30:15

39:11,14 42:5 52:24

## filled

5:9

#### final

5:21,24 6:9

## finally

35:16

#### find

5:15 25:22 37:8,15 60:6 68:1,12,15, 17,22 69:2,6 70:12

## findings

52:13

### fine

14:18 33:23 51:20 55:25

## fire

33:22 57:15,21

## 63:8

firm 88:4

# fish

74:17

## five-

34:1

# flash

89:15

## flexibility

14:23 32:24 33:3 63:4

## flood

77:16

# flooding

72:17,19,21

## floodway

22:10 29:4,6,11,18 39:10,14,15 42:7, 9,11 52:25 70:23 77:16 82:20 83:16

## floor

71:6

## **Florida**

38:21 39:3 42:19 50:17.19 51:22

## 53:21 flow

41:6 42:12,13 44:6,13 45:9 46:9 74:18 82:11,21 83:1

## flowability

83:3

## flowing

44:12 flows

#### 42:4

**FLUCCS** 29:18.21

## focus

4:6 6:10 43:13,17 65:9

## focused

68:10

#### focusing 17:19

force 26:20 77:24

## foreclosing 61:24

foremost

# 72:5

format 21:5

## Fort

8:15.16 10:14 36:20 53:14 54:13 66:19

#### forum

38:1 53:13

## forward

19:18 20:1 35:19 38:8 59:5 60:18 63:17 64:16,22 66:3 67:22 69:16 72:9 78:19 85:2

## found

18:25 37:11

## front

45:3 79:20 86:16

## fronting

66:8

#### fuel

61:11

## full

5:10 15:20 fully

# 59:9

function 59:1,3 68:11

## functioned

59:14

#### functioning

59:9 61:4 68:25

#### **functions**

33:14 51:20

#### funnel 52:4

future 9:2 37:15 55:8

## 68:4 **FWC**

36:18 37:11,16,17

## **FWS**

37:11

## G

garage 30:2

#### gate

57:13,15 gated

# 57:5



87:23

gates 78:1 gave 35:22 general 5:12 32:9,12 generally 67:12 75:15 generation 49:9 George 71:10,23 76:10,12 aive 5:18 35:14 82:13 giving 82:11 globally 69:16 Goal 52:22 53:6,14,19, 25 54:5 68:17 69:6,8 goals 37:12 aolf 76:16 aood 4:2 6:18 7:10 24:14 28:25 35:19 38:11,22 42:11 44:4 48:25 49:1 58:5,15 71:16 73:23 76:10,12 80:14 87:9 89:14 90:3 graduated 36:10 graduation 37:1 granted 12:25 great 76:14 greater 9:21 62:22 green 84:7,9

guaranteed 76:2 quess 20:22 62:6 guide 64:6 guiding 26:20 gutter 41:12,13 half 22:3 33:17 47:2 62:21 hand 6:14 handful 59:25 hands 4:14 happen 23:12 happened 19:15 happening 11:12 61:7 hash 29:9 48:5 88:25 hate 34:22,24 head 58:20 80:1 86:13 health 34:9 55:17 healthy 69:17 heard 88:12 hearing

Н

9:24 10:18,22

11:7,19,23 12:2,8

13:20 14:4,12,19

15:3,7,15,17 16:4,

8,11,21 17:2,5,19

20:2,5,10,15,20,23

21:3,15,18 22:22,

18:2,6 19:6,9,12

4:2,3,4,15 5:7,24 6:8,9,16,18,22,25 7:5,10,16,22 8:5 28:23 79:4,19 80:5

25 23:11,15,20,24 24:16,22 25:3,9, 11,18,22 26:7,10, 19 27:3,13,20 28:13,16,25 29:8, 11,13,21,24 30:10 31:7 32:7.16 33:22,25 34:3,11, 17 35:1,9,12,23 36:1,3,5,25 37:4, 19,25 38:6,8 39:25 40:5,23 41:11,15, 18 42:1,15 43:9,16 44:9,14,24 45:12, 15 46:14,17,25 47:3,20 48:4,18, 20,25 49:24 50:4, 7,24 51:6,9,13,19 52:3,10 55:20,23 56:5,7,10,13,19,23 57:1,5,9,17,23,25 58:5,12,20 59:2, 18,23 60:1,4,10,17 61:13,15,20,23 62:2,5,14,18 63:10,24 64:2,5,18 65:8,12,21 66:2,5, 8,21,24 70:4,8,10, 16 71:5,13,16 72:3,6,14 73:7 74:20 75:21 76:9 77:1,11 78:8,15,18 79:6,10,15 80:8, 11,14,18 81:1,5,8, 14,17,20,23 82:6, 19,25 83:7,11,20 84:6,12,23 85:4,8, 19,24 86:3,6,14, 18,24 87:7,16,19, 25 88:3,13,15 89:3,6,10,13,17 90:4 hearings 4:9 5:22 hedge 80:24 81:2 hedgerow

heights 9:9 held 72:6 hesitation 50:15 Hewitt 6:18,24 7:1,6,11, 18,24,25 8:6 10:1, 21 11:3,11,15 12:9 14:3,10,13 15:2,5 16:16 17:1,4,6,21 18:5,7 19:8,11,14 20:6,12,16,22,25 21:9,17,19 22:24 23:4,13,16,23,25 24:19,24 25:5,10, 16,21 26:4,9,11 27:1 28:17 29:1, 10,12,16,23 30:3, 11 31:11 32:9,18 33:24 34:2,4,19 35:2,21,24 37:20 38:15.18 47:6.10. 12,18,23 48:15,17, 24 50:13 52:12 55:22 56:3,6,9,12, 18,20,25 57:4,8,10 60:21 61:6 64:17 77:9,12,20 78:11, 16.19 79:8.17 80:2,16,23 81:3,7, 11 83:13 84:1,9,16 85:3,5 86:5,13,17 87:10,17,20,23 88:2,14,16 89:1,5, 8,12,14 90:3,5 high 81:25 highway 50:9 historically 15:10 history

21:23 63:16 64:9

67:19

40:24 44:6

hit

hold

hole

54:12

79:4

home 10:4,9 69:13 70:19 71:21 72:18 73:24 74:4,17,22 75:4,12 homes 72:18 homesites 76:19 hope 72:12 hotel 13:3 hour 49:9 House 84:25 85:1 housing 54:1.4 69:8 ı impact 55:3 76:20 89:22 impacted 39:7 53:24 impacts 44:15 54:23 55:14 89:19 impervious 40:25 82:1,17 important 6:10 43:8.22 improve 42:13 72:10 improved 42:14 improvement 50:1 83:8 incentives 9:8 31:4 inches 28:23 79:4 80:6 81:2,3,4 include 22:16 28:21 32:25 34:20 35:4 49:15

grow

46:1

groundwater

39:6 53:23

8:23,24 9:23 10:16

24:3 28:8,23 31:1

33:18 62:21,25

hedgerows

75:7

height

60:24 69:22 79:2

13:14 15:4 17:25

10:3 12:18,19

included

20:6 22:7 28:18 29:19 30:7 49:22 73:14 78:24 includes 13:22 59:22.23 including 9:8 14:20,21 16:22 22:22 23:1 27:16 33:9 62:13,24 84:13 85:7 incongruities 64:11 inconsistencies 19:17 incorporate 12:14 42:22 66:16 incorporated 26:8 28:3 75:22 incorporating 43:5 incorrect 7:15 increase 31:1 42:10 70:21 74:21 83:2 increased 24:6 39:13,23 increasing 24:3,4 42:9 82:25 incrementally 83:5 independent 50:11 Indicating 45:14 indigenous 7:13 19:1,4,16,21 22:7,9,11,16 23:8, 17 29:19,20 31:9 36:16 37:13 55:6 individual 10:11 18:15 individuals 74:3 75:14,16,18 industrial 68:5.6 inevitable 64:11 infill 53:15 54:6

information
54:12 59:6 69:19
infrastructure
53:10
inlets
41:14
input
77:4,7
instance
34:20
instances
32:20
intended
50:25
intends

50:25
intends
4:11
intense
65:4
intensity
54:21 65:6 70:22
71:1 74:21 80:22
intent

14:17 15:6 33:5 interest 55:13

internal 25:12 32:2,5,12,14 33:7,12,13 intersection

8:13 49:25 50:2 51:15,19,22 introduce 69:12

introduction 38:10 involved 43:21

9:21 **issuance** 39:5 53:22

Island

issue 27:23 60:19 89:18, 22 issued

18:1 issues 4:24 35:8 51:21 72:19,21 items J job 76:14 jump

6:19 74:9

11:14 15:9
June
48:22
jurisdiction
72:21

keeping 51:2 key 57:19 kind 14:23 44:4 63:20 67:10 75:5 77:22 84:10 knew 64:8

Κ

lake 28:19 39:25 41:2, 9,10,16,21 43:3,4 79:1,7,16 82:3

lakes

lands

L

10:8 43:19 73:9 land 4:21 8:1,3 9:2 16:1 25:7,10 26:23,24 35:7,17 36:8 51:18 52:14 58:18 59:1 60:23 66:18 68:4 70:17 80:19 85:10, 21 86:7,10,11

10:13 landscaping 32:9,12,24 33:3 73:21 74:9 language 14:16 50:16,20

85:5 large 39:19,25 43:3

83:23,24 84:4,11

late 18:24 Law 71:20 lays 89:11 LDC 26:25 27:1,7 leave 6:6 81:9 87:1,

6:6 81:9 87:1,8 leaves 59:20 leaving 22:12

lectern 50:6 Lee 8:2 35:7,17 36:8,9, 15 37:13,17 50:22

15 37:13,17 50:22 51:11,17 52:15 58:16,18,19 68:1 69:17 72:20 85:19 86:9

legal 13:13,17,21,22 15:13 16:19 59:8, 9,12,16,17 62:11 87:17

16:5 length 67:19 69:24

legally

letter 6:21 34:21 63:8 level

6:1 49:18 **light** 68:5

limited 6:8 linear

28:22 79:3,18 80:5,24

lines 29:9 72:11 list 85:13

listed 26:2 37:10

live 5:10,13 75:16,18

living
8:21 13:6 17:12
31:2 75:11

LLC
8:16
located
8:10
location
51:24 52:20 55:11
lock
57:19,20

long 6:2,7 44:16 48:7 64:12

100ked 52:1 78:4 100se

60:5 lost 84:21 lot

14:22 32:6,10 33:14 38:11 43:4 60:5 64:12 71:25 72:2,14,16 88:17

lots 10:11 61:3 78:13

М

Madam 6:18

main 73:24 maintain

44:16,20 83:18 maintained

44:21 80:8 81:2,5, 6 84:16

**maintaining** 44:25 47:15

maintenance 77:15 78:2

make 13:21 24:1 28:11 43:19,20 47:16 59:6 64:23 70:17 76:23 79:21 85:6 86:22,24 88:19,20

89:9 **makes** 

28:5 79:10 88:4



making 56:14 management 23:17 37:21 38:4, 22 39:17 40:12 45:9 69:5 82:7 manufactured 71:21 map 8:12 9:7 12:22 13:16 14:6 mapped 59:16 Marie 4:3 mark 48:5 marked 70:21 markedly 74:24 76:3 Marshall 8:8 37:20,24 38:5, 7,9,16,19,25 39:2 40:4,7 41:3,13,17, 20 42:3,16 43:11, 17 44:11,18 45:1, 13,21,24 46:3,6,13 48:10,12,19 57:11, 18,24 77:19,21 81:16,19,22,24 82:9,22 83:2,10,12 mass 53:1 masse 6:15 master

7:4 13:10 14:20,25 17:11,15,17 18:1, 2,13,19 20:9,10, 16,18 21:1,19 23:6 24:25 25:17,19,23 26:1,21 27:12 28:11,20 30:5,14, 16,17 46:15 47:4, 21 48:21 55:24 56:4 62:15 78:23 79:1 84:17 88:18, 23 match 14:25 22:2 26:1 39:4

materials 31:20 matter 21:5 matters 6:11 8:2 maximum 8:22,24 9:20,23 13:5,8 **MCP** 15:4 45:23 67:6 means 38:20 meet 52:13 54:10 69:20 70:12 meet all 37:16 meeting 54:1,4,12 68:2 69:19 meets 68:15 members mentioned Merchants merged 37:22 MH-2

4:13 5:7,15 71:7 38:19 39:12 74:20 11:9,17,18 51:24 52:5 65:18 69:15 10:5,9 mile 8:13 mind 70:6 minds 76:1 minimum 31:20 33:16

26:3

6:8,12

mirror

26:22

25:8

minimums minutes mirrored

missing 86:22 misunderstood 81:21 mitigate 28:7 MIVO 10:14 67:3 69:10 mix 55:10 69:8 mixed-use 8:25 9:7 12:19

14:13 16:25 21:25 22:2,20 24:12,18 25:6,20,21 27:1,9 30:9 31:4 32:19 33:15,21 53:7,9,15 54:5 62:22 63:6 66:14,17 68:19 70:18 71:1 78:12 80:20 mixture 15:11

mobile 10:4.9 69:13 70:19 72:18 73:24 74:4. 17,22 75:4,12 mod 43:10

modification 36:17 42:25 modifying 39:3

moment 80:2 monitoring 36:19

month 88:11 90:2

morning 4:2 6:18 35:4 38:11 48:25 49:1 58:5.15 71:16 76:10,12 88:6

morning's 4:4 mosaic 63:21 move 37:16 60:8 86:15 movement 74:2 moving 59:4 60:18 64:22 66:3 67:22 69:16 74:16 86:21 88:17

multi-family 8:23 11:8,16 13:19 15:23 16:3 21:24 30:25 31:3 41:4 49:11,12 52:5 54:3 60:24 61:2,5 62:24 65:1 66:25 69:9, 12,15 75:16 84:15

multifamily 32:11 multiple 17:7 murkiness

59:12 63:15 murky

61:2 62:6 Myers

8:15,16 10:14 36:20 53:14 54:13 66:19

Ν named 14:1 71:23

5:8 36:23 native 28:22 29:6.20 79:3 80:4 83:18 85:16. 20

names

natural

55:5

nature 76:16 nebulous 28:9 needed 88:20

neighboring 74:14 neighbors

55:3

24:15 43:24 44:4 network

11:20 number 52:1,2

nitrate 43:13

nods 58:20 86:13

noise 74:2

nonresidential 53:13

north

4:6 8:10,14,16,18 10:4,14,23 12:7,24 13:5,8 14:21 16:22,23 17:19 18:8,17 24:7 26:12 28:19 30:7,23,24 31:8,24 42:4 43:25 44:1,13 45:5,6 48:3 49:5,11,13 53:14 54:13 62:13 64:21 65:10 66:18 67:7,17 68:20 70:25 71:21 73:18, 20,25 75:10,19 76:19 78:17,25 82:21,23 84:23 85:6 89:20

northeast 24:11 26:16

northern 27:15,17,19 65:1,2

72:9

northwest 10:6

notable 67:15 noted

39:5 notes 23:25

notice 7:14 18:25 88:24

noticed

nutrient 42:20 43:1,4

0 oath 4:9,10 6:13



**Objective** 52:21,22 53:20,25 objectives 37:12 52:19 53:8 55:16 68:16 observation 70:17 obstructions 83:9 obtain 21:12 occur 43:5 50:5 offer 89:20 older 43:12 75:13 82:12 on-site 22:9 37:8,11,15 47:16 53:2 one-story 75:4 ongoing 10:25 oops 13:7 open 5:7 7:8 19:1,3,16, 21 20:7 22:3 29:25 31:5,10 53:2 71:6 72:12 81:9 opened 72:11 operate 15:23 49:18 operated 71:22 opinion 15:21 28:10 59:1 67:20 74:25 75:21 opportunities 54:8 opportunity 5:18,23 72:1 opposed

17:15 18:8,10 19:2,13,22 21:7, 13,14 22:8,15 23:10,19 24:23,25 27:4 34:6,14 53:22 59:19 84:17 90:1 orders 17:16,25 24:2 ordinance 75:23 organize 6:5 original 7:2 19:23 22:6 29:2 82:12 originally 12:25 22:13 24:10 31:16,23 outfall 40:10 41:1,19 82:12 outfalls 40:17 outflow 45:6 82:10 outline 9:12 outlined 8:12 17:8 52:15 55:9 outlines 12:16 overlay

8:25 9:7 12:19 14:13 16:25 21:25 22:3,20 24:13,18 25:6,20,21 27:9 30:9 31:4 32:19 33:15,21 53:7 62:23 63:6 66:14, 17 68:20 70:18 71:2 78:12 80:20. 22 overview 35:14

owned 18:15,18 71:22 owner 4:17 21:10 23:2 34:23 47:16 60:11, 12 71:20 73:18

owners 72:8,9 ownership 18:14,18 61:2

p.m. 49:8 packed 75:5 packet 35:22 pages 35:21 paramount 76:21 parcel

9:13 10:25 14:4,5 18:14 19:3 22:22 23:1,8,10 40:14,18 41:22,24 49:12,13, 15 65:10 73:7 82:6,9,15

parcels 9:9,15 10:23 16:23 17:2.22 18:14.16 23:21 40:1,9,11, 13,21 41:5 53:11 54:9 66:8 73:2,4 81:23,24 82:1

park 10:5,9 73:25 74:17 75:12 park's 74:4

parking 9:11 30:2 32:5,10 33:1,11,14

parks 53:1 72:19 74:22 75:4

**Parkway** 4:7 8:11 10:12 40:2.11 49:20 84:24

part 11:1,8,9,24 12:15 17:13 18:12 23:1, 19 38:10 40:11 43:3 44:10 46:4 49:3 51:10 54:14

56:16 59:20 68:25 82:12 83:15 88:23 participants 4:14 6:15 participate 5:25 51:1 participation 49:25 parties 58:9 parts 88:18 past 34:16 44:16 67:3, 22 path

82:13 pattern 71:2 pavement 57:14 PD 68:11 69:16

peak 49:8 people 48:3 74:11,16,18 people's 18:20

49:8 76:16 86:4

perimeter 25:14,15 33:6 80:20 permit

percent

87:5.6

38:22 39:3 40:12, 22 42:5,25 43:10, 14 44:5 45:10 permits

38:20 39:10 43:12 permitted 26:23 42:14 85:13 permitting

36:17 42:18 49:21, 22 50:22.25 54:25 82:12

personally 72:15 persons

57:22 perspective 51:17 pertain

50:1 pertaining 6:23 phased

22:11 23:7 phosphate 43:13

physical 74:1,5,25 82:14

pickup 31:16 piece 60:20

pieces 67:8 86:21

Pine 9:21 pipe

41:20 42:2 82:15

pipes 41:8.13 plan

7:4 8:2 13:10 14:20,25 17:11,15, 17 18:1,3,20 20:9, 11,17,19 21:1,20 23:6,17 24:25 25:17,20,23 26:1, 21 27:12 28:11.21 30:6,14,17 35:7,17 36:9 37:13,17 38:17 39:4,9,17,18 40:14 42:6 44:10 46:15,18 47:4,21 48:21 51:17 52:15 55:24 56:4,23 58:19 62:15 66:19 67:11 68:1 69:5,23 73:16 78:9,23 79:1 83:8 84:18 85:19

planned 10:15 12:23 13:16 17:23 34:8 54:18 55:16 60:25 62:2, 12 69:1 70:13 73:21 74:13 75:7

86:9 88:19,23



5:14

option

order

17:11

7:13 10:17 11:4

planner 5:2,4 7:25 58:16 planning 8:2,17 54:13 plans 18:13 29:5 plant 46:23 48:8 planted 28:23 46:8 79:4 80:6 planting 28:24 46:7 79:5 80:7 81:2,4 plantings 73:22 79:14,18 36:16 46:24 47:13, 14 play 43:10 plethora 12:20 podium 5:10 point 17:20 20:21 28:3 30:4 52:9 60:11 67:9 points 38:16 39:16 77:6 policies 52:23 53:6,8,20 policy 52:17,19,21 53:15 54:1 68:13,23 39:19 72:24 74:15. 17 portion 22:11 23:7,18 29:3 59:11 possibly 24:23 post 70:8 post-hearing 86:23 potential 49:25 61:12

potentially 62:5 75:3 **Powerpoint** 6:20 58:13 predominantly 69:13 preference 59:2 74:5 preliminarily 57:12 premature 34:18 prepare 64:9 prepared 5:2 preparing 70:7 present 4:18 77:3 presentation 5:1,6 6:5 7:11 11:2 55:19 58:3.7 64:20 69:24 72:2 preservation 29:5,15 55:7 preserve 22:7,9,12,13,16,19 preserved 44:7 preserves 37:14 preserving 44:22 presiding 4:4 presumed

23:8,18 29:17,19 43:18 presuming 81:25 pretend 64:21 pretreatment 40:15 41:6 pretty 81:25 88:21

preventing

74:2

previous 30:22 63:18 previously 8:1 35:5 36:13 55:9 58:17 primarily 37:7 principal 58:16 prior 21:4 83:8 privacy 73:25 problem 27:4,16 61:8 problems 72:17 83:4 proceed 8:5 12:8 17:5 21:6 37:5 62:9 proceedings 90:6 process 4:17 21:11 42:25 60:8 processes 36:19 product 61:4 84:14 project 65:7,13 67:10

5:11 10:19 11:8,9, 16,24 12:3 14:1 24:16 28:5 31:8 32:1 37:13 49:9. 14,16 52:14 64:12 84:25 projected 49:19

projects 15:12 36:8.15.22. 23 properly 81:12

properties 9:1 53:4 82:21

property 4:17 9:10 10:11 11:6 15:21 16:15 18:20,22,23 21:9, 10 22:1 23:2 24:7,

19 25:18,24 26:2, 13,19,22 27:10 28:12,19 29:2,3 30:3,4 34:23 37:7 44:25 45:11 59:3,4 60:11,12 62:22 63:16 66:14,21 67:8 68:3,18 69:6, 11 71:20 72:8,9 73:18 74:15 77:17 82:3 84:13 85:7 propose

39:21 50:14 75:1 proposed 7:2 17:24 20:16 24:3 34:7 39:9,17 40:20 41:3,4,23 44:8 50:21 54:17. 21 55:10,11 56:21 67:11,16,20 69:11, 22 72:24 79:22 82:3 84:2,4,7 proposes

13:18 42:5 proposing

9:12 18:3 21:24 22:8,10 24:17 39:23 44:2 57:12, 15.20 82:16 84:21 protect

34:9 protected 36:16 37:9 protection 70:23

protects

55:17

provide 24:9,14,23 27:17 29:7 33:6 40:12, 17,18,21 41:5 53:12 54:2,20

provided 23:9 28:15 30:14, 20 34:21 58:23 59:8 63:19,21

55:12 59:5 77:22

67:21 75:21 78:25 providing

22:6.21 24:11 25:2 27:22 28:2 54:7 55:6 77:15 82:14

public 4:13 5:7,15 12:17 28:13 34:9 54:12 55:13,17 58:8 69:19 71:7 72:6 77:2,4,7 pull

79:20 80:13,15 pulled 24:5 39:11,13 63:17 64:2

punches 87:23

purchase 34:22

purchaser 21:16 60:12

purpose 44:21 45:4 57:3 73:12 purposes

16:24 31:9

20:17 26:25 32:24 47:14 65:15 71:7 77:3 78:5,6 84:22 86:20

puts 19:23

putting 5:25

qualified

29:19 35:13 36:18

Q

qualifies 54:11 qualify

35:18 54:16

quality 39:6 40:16 42:17 43:1 53:19,23 69:4 82:5

quantify 43:20

question 21:3 45:15,16 55:21 81:11,13 85:17 88:16,22

questions 66:20 70:2,14



R

71:25 73:17 76:5 auick 83:22 88:21 raise 4:14 6:14 raised 77:6 ranges 9:25 rate 45:9 read 80:4 reading 87:4 ready 6:13,16 7:22 58:14 real 83:22 rearticulate 67:6 reason 15:10 29:16,17 50:15 reasoning 18:12 recall 66:25 79:23,25 receive 31:21 recent 66:22 recess 58:4 recognize 89:19 recognized 38:1.2 recommend 12:10 33:12 63:7 70:11 recommendation 5:5 12:12 31:21 70:7 83:24 88:10 89:4 recommending

recommends 5:3 record 4:11 5:25 6:20 16:5.18 19:19 20:7,13 45:16 50:13 52:12 58:6, 15 60:21 64:24 65:15 71:8 77:3 79:21 80:4 81:9 83:14,21 87:15,20 recreation 53:3 recyclable 31:20 red 8:12 18:24 83:24 84:2,4 reduce 27:18 reduced 9:10,11 31:25 reducina 49:10 reduction 31:18 39:18 49:6,8 54:22 refer 64:20 reference 26:24 27:7 35:24 referenced 7:12 42:8 referencing 27:7 referred

rely remains removal

21:2 73:3 referring 32:3 reflect 19:20 25:19 63:23 67:21 reflecting 25:20 regard

25:12 50:10 73:19 regulations 4:20,22 9:10 22:1 24:20 25:19,25 26:2.20.22 27:11 28:12 30:4 33:21

54:11,24 63:17 68:19 84:14 reiterate 76:15 relate 49:5 related 55:13 relationship 5:11 relief 27:9 31:25 relieving 22:12

relocate 52:4 64:15

remain 14:1 15:22 17:3 44:2 45:11 53:3 56:21 remainder

13:23 remainer 15:24

remaining 15:25 23:22 48:2 61:8

20:17 remanding 15:24

remarks 4:12 5:25 71:7 77:3

36:19 42:20 43:1, 5,13

remove 23:15,16 30:23 39:9 83:16 removed

83:19 Removes 31:2

reopen 86:24 replace

8:20 21:8

replaces 48:21 Report

5:2,3 12:10,11,14, 16 17:9 49:23 52:16 54:15 59:9 69:20 87:12

REPORTER 7:20 38:24 39:1 represent

71:20 72:16 representatives

4:18 represented 29:8

represents 14:7 32:4

request 4:5,18,19,21 5:16 8:3,11,17 9:17,18, 22 10:3 12:13,21 13:18 14:8,14,15 21:20.22 25:5.8 31:22 32:25 33:10

35:6 49:10,14 52:13 54:10,21 55:2,14,23 56:17 63:3 64:25 68:1 86:22

requested 10:2 34:12 50:19 53:12

requesting 31:14,17,19 49:12 70:4

require 28:4,13 30:5 63:7 78:12 80:19 85:15 87:5

required 20:18 22:2,5,19 24:10,13 30:7 31:18 32:14 46:23 50:16 55:25 63:1.9 72:6 78:18 83:15 85:15,20 87:2 requirement

22:4 25:1 requirements 26:5 28:18 30:8 31:6.10 32:10 37:17 55:7 68:16

69:20 79:24 requires 29:4 32:20.22 79:12 80:12 85:20

requiring 15:13 rescind 21:13

residences 74:12 75:4

residential 10:15 12:5,22 14:14 27:25 28:1,6 52:24 53:16 60:16. 20 62:12 65:3,4 67:16,17 68:5,7,20 70:20.21 74:11.24 76:3 78:21

resolution 7:2 8:19 13:13,22, 25 17:14,24 59:16 64:14 65:12 66:17 resolutions

87:13 resources 55:5

responsibility 23:3

responsible 40:15 73:8 rest

10:19 restoring 23:3

result 22:4 results

49:8 54:22 resumes

7:14 retail 13:2 49:7 retain

20:24 65:6 retaining

15:24 retention

15:6

72:24 73:9 74:15, 17 revert



69:25

DCI2023-00050 Diploma
reverting 59:2 60:14,20 61:12
reverts 59:11
review 10:17 38:3 52:13
reviewed 34:5
revised 6:24 7:4 12:13 50:15 54:16
revisions 18:25 53:12 84:3 88:21
rezoned 12:25
rezoning 14:15 15:13,20 16:6 66:24
rezonings 70:13
right-of-way 32:4,22,23 33:2,6, 12 78:14
right-of-ways 32:19
rights-of-way 32:2
<b>rises</b> 41:16
<b>RM</b> 62:24
road 41:7 50:8 51:10 65:24
roadway 49:20 55:3
roadways 49:18
<b>room</b> 4:10
rooms 13:3
roundabout 57:13
route 16:20 40:19,21
<b>RPD</b> 4:5,6 10:13 13:5,

23 14:8.21 15:6 16:9,17,22 18:10, 19 23:8 30:15 58:25 59:3.10.11 60:14 61:13,14,16 62:13 64:22 65:2, 5,18 66:12,13,22 84:19.23 RPD/CPD 7:7 8:18 11:6.10 13:24 20:18 22:18 23:21 65:9 85:1 87:11.18 88:18 RPD/CPDS 15:11 **RPDS** 66:12 **RVS** 74:8 Ryan 71:11,13,19 76:14 résumé

# 35:5 37:21 résumés 7:15 35:21 S safeguards 55:12

safety 34:10 55:17 76:21 sale 60:13 Sam 8:8 37:20,23,25 38:24 48:18 57:8 72:15 81:15 schedule 84:7 schools 53:1 screen 5:12 seat 6:3 50:10 section 12:3 42:12 46:22 58:17 77:25

secured

76:24

security 76:21 seek 13:23 seeking 14:11 15:1 31:25 seeks 65:6 segment 23:3 send

70:7 senior 75:11 sense 56:14 sensitive 55:5 separate 18:17 66:11

separation 26:14 30:1 33:16, 18 34:12 62:21 63:1 67:13,15 70:1 separations

Serendipity 10:4 71:22 75:10 serve 48:3 served 55:8

68:21

service

49:18 services 12:17,20 55:8,9 set

42:8 70:5 setback 27:16 42:10 setbacks

24:6 25:12,13 39:13 setting 29:15 share 5:13,17 sheets

5:8

shopping 52:25 show

5:13 19:9.19 20:13 30:20 32:3 41:1 46:15 47:21 78:8 88:24 showed

83:8 showing 47:8 56:1 shown

27:11 28:20 40:14 45:23 47:4 79:1 83:24

shows 30:6,15 55:24 sic

32:19 58:2 78:17 side

8:10 41:25 49:5 70:25 76:19 77:12 sides

9:16 signal 51:24.25 signalized

51:20 significant 39:22

significantly 83:10 similar 12:6 simple

21:22 61:9,16 simply

single 30:18

49:5

single-family 32:21 69:13 78:13

site 8:10 9:1 11:19 22:19 24:5,17 32:15 33:5,7,13,19 34:24 38:4.17.19 39:4,17 40:17 43:2,23 65:17 68:22 70:22

sites 70:23 situation 34:23 88:18 six-story 34:1 slide

37:18 39:5 slow 39:1 small 43:5 Solid

31:21 solution 83:6 solve

83:4 something's

86:22

sort 46:4 74:5 75:1,8

sound 74:18 sounds

89:14,18 south

10:12,19,23 11:4, 21 12:4,5,24 17:20 18:10,17 19:2,22 22:7,11,23 23:1,8 29:18 30:15 31:9 38:21 39:3 41:21, 23 42:4,19 44:22 47:10 49:15 51:25 53:21 56:24 65:18

66:13 67:8 84:19

southern 65:5 space

7:8 19:1,3,16,21 20:7 22:3 29:25 31:5,10,18 53:2

spacing 51:25 speak 6:2,6,7 76:13 speaking 4:11 75:15 species

36:17 37:9,11



specific 4:24 5:17 65:17 specifically 35:17 36:7 40:9 square 8:22 13:1,9 16:1,2 31:20 49:7,11 stabilized 56:16 57:3 stable 54:7 Stacy 7:24 38:13,19 39:12 42:7 47:20 49:4 50:13 52:12 60:21 78:8 83:13 staff 5:2,3 12:10,11,14, 16 13:15 16:13 17:8 23:4 33:12 49:23 52:15 54:14 55:2 58:11,13,24 59:9 60:7 63:6 67:20 69:20 72:11 76:6 77:4 87:12 Staff's 15:21 49:14 58:7, 12,25 stage 27:5 34:18 standard 9:3,19,25 13:6 79:16 standards 24:17 standpoint 82:5 start 58:7 started 58:21 64:8 state 5:10 50:9 stated 63:15 64:25 66:15 68:18 states 78:24 status 50:4

staving 29:14 stays 44:3 storage 10:5 39:19 42:11 74:8 82:25 83:3 stormwater 38:3 39:19,22,24 72:22 straight 67:14 streamlined 16:15 street 32:11 strike 50:14 strike-through/ underlines 20:8 strike-throughs 84:3 strings 60:5 structures 41:7 stub 82:14,15 stubs 40:18 studied 51:23 studies 52:2.7 study 49:16 subject 8:11 10:11,13 11:6 21:20 29:1 submit 6:19 16:18 37:21 87:13 submittal 12:13 13:11 35:4 86.23

submittals

submitted

7:21 58:11 87:15.

12:15

24 88:20 sufficient 54:20 55:12 70:25 sum 33:17 Sun 71:23 superimpose 67:10 supersede 21:4 support 40:1,7,8 54:20 59:4 suppose 20:25 surface 37:20 39:6 53:23 69:5 surrounding 9:1 49:17 53:4 54:19 survey 37:8,9 surveys 37:10 suspect 42:24 swale 43:25 44:2,6,15,20 73:20.21 swales 42:22 43:6 sworn 6:15 35:10 65:22 system 42:14 44:4,6,8 45:9 59:14 82:8 T

T
T.R.
8:9
table
7:9 13:10 19:1,3,
16,21 20:7 34:24
50:10
taking
90:1
talk
38:11 45:18 56:15

69:21 70:1 talked 68:3 89:9 talking 25:14 40:9 67:19 70:21 72:10 task 64:7 Ted 8:9 48:25 49:1 tellina 20:23 tells 25:23 terms 49:4,6 57:6 82:7 testified 35:6 53:21 testify 4:23 testimony 4:8 12:15 25:14 47:20 72:23 73:8 83:21 text 86:16 thing 43:8,22 87:14 things 25:13 42:23 58:22 64:20 72:3 thinking 28:14 thought 80:25 86:4 87:2 thoughts 6:5 tie 82:15 tied 56:17,18 ties 41:25 till 61:7 time 5:8,9 28:23 34:5, 13 49:22 65:20

68:7 76:8 79:4

80:6 81:4 89:25

times 5:15 timina 52:21 today 8:4,7 12:13,15 18:3 21:21 22:5 39:24 42:4.14 43:24 44:5 45:7 58:19 62:11 66:1 67:23 top 79:25 84:9 tortoise 36:19 tortoises 37:14 total 9:17,20 22:14,17 47:12 totally 5:16 touch 18:22 townhome 31:17 61:1.4 townhomes 30:16 31:3 60:23. 25 61:9 62:7 townhouse 54:2 84:14 TR 49:1 tract 12:6 62:13 65:2 66:4 tracts 65:2 traffic 49:2,5,6,16 86:6 transfer 9:21 transit 53:1 transition 70:25 transportation 4:24 8:9 49:2 50:17,19 51:16,23 54:23

treat

43:19 82:4

treatment

40:13

trees

28:22 32:8,12 73:25 75:6 79:3,18

80:5,24

Treesh

8:9 49:1 50:3.18 51:5,8,12,14,21

52:7,11

trenches

42:23 trend

75:17

trip

49:9

trips

54:22

turn

35:2 88:21

two-family

30:19

type

28:18 46:20 47:25 63:4 77:7,9 78:20,

25 79:17,23 80:3,

23 81:4

types

15:12 31:3 74:8

typical

80:12

typically

77:19,21

U

Uh-huh

52:11 59:24 85:23

uncertainty

34:24

unclean

16:13

unclear

14:19

uncommon

27:10

undergone

64:12

underlined

84:5

underscore

83:24

understand

16:22 27:6 63:14

understanding

60:15 63:20 64:10 65:19,25 73:1

80:10

understood

5:19 73:19

underway

11:8

unintelligible

46:4 59:13

unit

31:3

units

8:20,21,24 9:3,4, 19,20,22,23 10:15

13:1,6 21:25 30:25

49:12 55:24 56:1

Universal

57:17

unsightly

74:10

update

19:20

updated 7:14 19:18 37:9,21

updating

7:7 24:19

upland

23:7

uplands

19:23 37:7

urban

9:2,5 12:20 33:21

52:18 53:12 55:8,9

66:18 68:4,14,15

urae 5:17

usable

57:3

utility

74:8

utilize

9:12 13:18 34:25 39:21 53:13

utilized

9:9

utilizina

9:5,14 44:9

V

V-A-T-A-L-A-R-O

71:19

vacant

10:13 73:4

vacation

90:1

valid

17:16 18:9,11

vary

46:23

Vatalaro

71:11,13,15,18,19

73:10

vegetation

29:6 46:8 77:23 78:6 83:18 85:16.

21

vehicles

57:7

versa

74:18

version

7:3 20:11 63:22 64:6 81:10

versus

24:1 58:25 70:22

vice

74:18

view

30:12

vinyl

74:6

vision

7:3

visit

11:20 65:17

volumetric

40:12

W

walk

40:23 58:9

wall

74:6 77:22

wanted

32:24 47:16 62:10,

19 63:12 64:23 65:15 72:3 89:8

Waste

31:21

water

37:21 38:21 39:17

40:11,13,15,24 42:17 43:1 44:1

45:5,6,8 53:19 69:4,5 82:4,5,7,13,

21

ways

43:14

welfare 34:10 55:18

west

8:13 9:16 10:7

28:19 30:7 41:25 45:7 67:18 68:21

75:12 76:17 77:12 79:1 89:21

western

22:9 29:2 wetlands

19:24 37:8

wholly

11:18

wide

28:21 45:19 46:2,

12

wider

83:10

width

45:25 47:25 79:2

witnesses 4:23

wondering

11:23 50:7,24

Word 70:5 81:9 89:15

words

50:18

work

5:11,13 35:15 36:7 44:17

worked

36:8,15 37:1

working

15:10 23:4 35:16

36:15 57:11 66:12

Workman

45:14,16,17,22,25

46:5,10,16,19

47:2,5,9,11,13,19, 24 48:7,11,13,16

works

40:24

writing

75:25 wrong 56:8

Υ

vears

36:14 37:1,3 52:1

67:4

yellow

9:12 yeoman's

64:7

younger 75:17

Z-06-004 12:25

**Z-11** 

Z

16:17 59:12 63:16

Z-11-002 13:4 14:16 17:8

84:21

ADD2019-00085

Z-11-002/

8:19

zone 46:7

zoned

10:5,6,8,9 zoning

4:4 7:2 8:2,19 12:23 13:12,16,17,

24 15:2,16,18 16:5

17:13,24 27:8

46:21 58:17 63:16

87:13