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## Application Materials

1. Provide physical mailing labels (i.e. sticker labels) for the courtesy notice to be mailed at such a time when the application is determined to be sufficient.

Response: Physical mailing labels will be provided at such a time when the application is deemed to be sufficient.
2. Revise the header of page 1 of the application to reflect the state review process as a small-scale review.

Response: Page 1 of the application has been revised to reflect the state review process as small-scale review (Exhibit 1).
3. The Existing and Proposed future land use category exhibits must accurately reflect the boundaries of the future land use designations. Labeling the designated future land use category for each parcel is not sufficient information.

Response: The Existing (Exhibit 4) and Proposed (Exhibit 4A) future land use category exhibits have been revised per your comment.

Planning

1. The proposed changes to Table 1 (b) would create a population distribution that does not match Lee County's population projections. Contact Rick Burris at RBurris@leegov.com to discuss appropriate changes.

Response: We have been in correspondence with Rick Burris regarding the County reviewing and updating their population projections for the Burnt Store Road Planning area.

## Transportation

1. A revision of Table 4A is requested, as the current response is deemed statistically unreasonable. It's important to acknowledge that past practices may not always be suitable. A data-driven approach must be employed for every decision. Upon review of the data from PCS 12 (Burnt Store Rd south of Charlotte County Line), it was found that the average growth rate from 1994 to 2019 was $5.44 \%$. However, when post-COVID data $(2020,2021,2022)$ is considered, the average growth rate increases to $5.90 \%$. COVID being an unusual event, any data during that period or affected by it must be avoided (except in special cases) when estimating growth rates. It is recommended to use $5.44 \%$ as the growth rate.

Response: In order to move this project forward, the traffic study was revised based on the 5.44\% annual growth rate as requested in this comment. See revised TIS. Although please note that the growth calculated by Staff in this comment is inconsistent with historical practices in Lee County.

## BSR 40

Application for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment-Map
Revised 3/4/2024

## Project Request

The requested application for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment-Map to amend the Future Land Use for approximately $34.36+/$ - acres of property on Burnt Store Road N from Open Lands to Central Urban. The 4.15 acres of Wetland classified area will remain as wetland within this request.

## Project Narrative

The property subject to this application request is one parcel containing approximately $38.51+/-$ acres southeast of the intersection of Burnt Store Road and Charlee Road, Strap Number 08-43-23-0000001.0000.


Project Location Map

The subject parcel and adjacent parcels are within Lee County. There are two other governmental judications within close proximity to the subject parcel, the City of Cape Coral, along the western side of Burnt Store Road, and Charlotte County northeast and northwest of the subject parcel.

The subject parcel is designated as Open Lands for 34.35 acres and Wetland for 4.15 acres. The site is zoned AG-2 (Agricultural). The parcel is square in size with an average depth of 1194 feet and 1404 feet in length. The parcel is in the Burnt Store Planning Community, Area 5 as designated on the Lee County Planning Communities, Lee Plan Map 16.


Lee County Planning Communities Map

## Burnt Store Planning Community

"Burnt Store - This Community is in the northwest corner of the mainland of unincorporated Lee County. The property east of Burnt Store Road is designated Open Lands and the land west of Burnt Store Road is designated as Rural (also known as Burnt Store Marina) with the exception of 10 acres designated as Outlying Suburban. Most of The Burnt Store Marina development was approved prior to the adoption of the 1984 Lee Plan and is "vested" for densities higher than allowed by the current plan.

The Burnt Store Marina development is primarily residential with a high percentage of seasonal residents. There are some commercial and marine oriented amenities within the Burnt Store Marina development primarily serving residents of that development. This area is expected to substantially grow through the year 2030. Today, most of the community's commercial needs are served outside of the community in Cape Coral, North Fort Myers, and Fort Myers, or in Charlotte County. This development pattern is expected to remain through 2020."

The subject parcel is vacant with the closest development being the Dollar General located on the west side of Burnt Store Road, north of the subject parcel, an 18-lot residential development on Burnt Store Road south of the subject parcels and Burnt Store Marina, a residential community also north of the subject parcels.

The subject property is near parcels within the City of Cape Coral, on the west side of Burnt Store Road, and south of the subject property that have a Future Land Use designation that supports a higher density and greater intensity of uses then what is currently permitted by the Open Lands Future Land Use on these parcels. The Lee County Open Lands Future Land Use permits one dwelling unit per every 5 acres and limited commercial uses.

Within 1.4 miles of the subject parcel, heading south on Burnt Store Road, there is a total of 125.12 acres that supports a greater density and intensity land use than the subject parcel currently: there are 87.48 acres in Cape Coral that have a Commercial Activity Center Land Use, which is a Mixed-Use Land Use supporting a minimum of 12 du/acres or 75 units and a maximum of 16 du/ac of density and an Intensity of 1.0 FAR. Within 0.45 of a mile, there are 56 acres in Cape Coral designated as Commercial Land Use, which supports a variety of commercial retail, office and support uses with an FAR of 1.0. Within 0.30 of a mile, there are 21.12 acres, currently designated as Single Family/Multi-Family, which if zoned RML would support 16 du/ac. A 502 site RV Resort is located just over a mile south of the subject parcel.
"Policy 1.15.m of the City of Cape Coral's Future Land Use Element of their Comprehensive Plan. The purpose of the Commercial Activity Center (CAC) future land use classification is to promote nonresidential and mixed-use development at key locations, within proximity to major corridors throughout the City of Cape Coral in areas where a mix of uses may be developed. The Commercial Activity Center classification is a mixed-use classification designed to minimize the need for vehicle trips through the development of both residential and non-residential uses in a single project. Furthermore, the purpose of the Commercial Activity Center is to integrate all uses through landscape, site, and architectural design standards. In addition, the Commercial Activity Center land use classification is intended to provide locations that offer employment opportunities and daily goods and services to the local community and, in some instances, attract patrons from the region. Commercial Activity Centers are intended to be pedestrian friendly and interconnected with adjacent projects - whether residential or non-residential".
"Policy 1.15.m of the City of Cape Coral's Future Land Use Element of their Comprehensive Plan. Commercial/Professional: Intensities of use in the Commercial/Professional (CP) land use classification shall not exceed a floor to lot area ratio (FAR) of 1.0. The Commercial (C) District is designed to facilitate a broad variety of large or small commercial uses. Uses allowed in the C District range from a variety of small or neighborhood-based commercial uses to larger retail or service uses, which may serve a relatively large trade area and, which may be developed as major shopping facilities. As many commercial uses have the potential to generate relatively high levels of vehicular trips from customers and sometimes delivery vehicles, preferred locations for the $C$ District have direct access onto arterial or collector roads and adequate depth (a minimum of 250 feet) for larger- scale development."

Within a little over a half of a mile to the south of the subject parcels is a Planned Community called "Hudson Creek". Hudson Creek is a mixed-use development in the City of Cape Coral. The project consists of a total of 1732 acres with residential, commercial, and conservation/preserve land use. The project is a MXPUD for 3500 residential units ( 2500 single family and 1000 multi-family units), an Assisted Living Facility with 800 beds, a university, retail, and restaurant square footage totaling $425,000,150,000$ square feet of office uses and a 500 room Hotel.

BSR 40

## Exhibit M1

## Application for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment - Map

## APPLICATION FOR A COMPREHENSIVE <br> PLAN AMENDMENT - MAP

## Project Name: BSR 40

Project Description: Amend the future land use of approximately $34.35+/$ - acres of property on Burnt Store Road N from

Open Lands to Central Urban

Map(s) to Be Amended: Future Land Use Map
State Review Process: $\quad \square$ Small-Scale Review $\square$ State Coordinated Review $\square$ Expedited State Review

1. Name of Applicant: Kevin \& Annmarie Campbell + Robert D. \& Elaine J. Gerrero

Address: 10791 Orange River Boulevard
City, State, Zip: Ft. Myers, Florida 33905
Phone Number: 239-872-2953 or 239-872-2955
E-mail: kevincampbell1@comcast.net
Campbell_ann@comcast.net
2. Name of Contact: Linda Miller, AICP / Avalon Engineering, Inc.

Address: 2503 Del Prado Boulevard South, Suite 200
City, State, Zip: Cape Coral, Florida 33904
Phone Number: 239-573-2077 Extension
E-mail: linda@avaloneng.com
3. Owner(s) of Record: Same as Applicant Address:
City, State, Zip:
Phone Number: $\qquad$ E-mail: $\qquad$
4. Property Location:

1. Site Address: Unassigned Burnt Store Road N., Cape Coral, FL 33993
2. STRAP(s): 08-43-23-00-00001.0000
3. Property Information:

Total Acreage of Property: $\underline{38.51+/-} \quad$ Total Acreage Included inRequest: $34.35+/$ -
Total Uplands: 34.35 Total Wetlands: $4.16+/$ Current Zoning: AG-2
Current Future LandUse Category(ies): Open Lands and Wetlands
Area in Each Future Land Use Category: 34.35 acres with Open Lands and 4.16 acres with Wetland
Existing Land Use: Vacant Property
6. Calculation of maximum allowable development under current Lee Plan:

Residential Units/Density: 7 du/ 1per 5 acres
Commercial Intensity: minimal uses
Industrial Intensity: N/a
7. Calculation of maximum allowable development with proposed amendments:

Residential Units/Density: 400 units $\quad$ Commercial Intensity: $150,000 \quad$ Industrial Intensity: 10,000

## Public Facilities Impacts

NOTE: The applicant must calculate public facilities impacts based on the maximum development.

1. Traffic Circulation Analysis: The analysis is intended to determine the effect of the land use change on the Financially Feasible Highway Plan Map 3A (20-year plus horizon) and on the Capital Improvements Element (5-year horizon). Toward that end, an applicant must submit a Traffic Impact Statement (TIS) consistent with Lee County Administrative Code (AC)13-17.
a. Proposals affecting less than 10 acres, where development parameters are contained within the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) or zones planned population and employment, or where there is no change in allowable density/ intensity, may be eligible for a TIS requirement waiver as outlined in the Lee County TIS Guidelines and AC-13-17. Identification of allowable density/intensity in order to determine socio-economic data for affected TAZ(s) must be coordinated with Lee County Planning staff. Otherwise a calculation of trip generation is required consistent with AC-13-17 and the Lee County TIS Guidelines to determine required components of analysis for:
i. Total peak hour trip generation less than 50 total trip ends - trip generation.
ii. Total peak hour trip generation from 50 to 300 total trip ends - trip generation, trip distribution and trip assignment (manual or Florida Standard Urban Transportation Modeling Structure (FSUTMS) analysis consistent with AC-13-17 and TIS Guidelines), short-term (5 year) and long-range (to current Lee Plan horizon year) segment LOS analysis of the nearest or abutting arterial and major collector segment(s) identified in the Transportation Inventory based on the trip generation and roadway segment LOS analysis criteria in AC-13-17. A methodology meeting is recommended prior to submittal of the application to discuss use of FSUTMS, any changes to analysis requirements, or a combined CPA and Zoning TIS short term analysis.
iii. Total peak hour trip generation is over 300 total trip ends - trip generation, mode split, trip distribution and trip assignment (manual or FSUTMS analysis consistent with AC-13-17 and TIS Guidelines), short-term (five-year) and long-range (to current Lee Plan horizon year) segment LOS analysis of arterial and collector segments listed in the Transportation Inventory. LOS analysis will include any portion of roadway segments within an area three miles offset from the boundary of the application legal description metes and bounds survey. LOS analysis will also include any additional segments in the study area based on the roadway segment LOS analysis criteria in AC-13-17. A methodology meeting is required prior to submittal of the application.
b. Map amendment - greater than 10 acres -Allowable density/intensity will be determined by Lee County Planning staff.
2. Provide an existing and future conditions analysis for the following (see Policy 95.1.3):
a. Sanitary Sewer
b. Potable Water
c. Surface Water/Drainage Basins
d. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space
e. Public Schools

## Analysis for each of the above should include (but is not limited to) the following (see the Lee County Concurrency Management Report):

a Franchise Area, Basin, or District in which the property is located
b Current LOS, and LOS standard of facilities serving the site
c. Projected 2030 LOS under existing designation
d Projected 2030 LOS under proposed designation
e. Existing infrastructure, if any, in the immediate area with the potential to serve the subject property
f. Improvements/expansions currently programmed in 5 year CIP, 6-10 year CIP, and long rangeimprovements
g Provide a letter of service availability from the appropriate utility for sanitary sewer and potable water

## In addition to the above analysis, provide the following for potable water:

a. Determine the availability of water supply within the franchise area using the current water use allocation (Consumptive Use Permit) based on the annual average daily withdrawal rate.
b. Include the current demand and the projected demand under the existing designation, and the projected demand under the proposed designation.
c. Include the availability of treatment facilities and transmission lines for reclaimed water for irrigation.
d. Include any other water conservation measures that will be applied to the site (see Goal 54).

## 3. Provide a letter from the appropriate agency determining the adequacy/provision of existing/proposed support

 facilities, including:a. Fire protection with adequate response times
b. Emergency medical service (EMS) provisions
c. Law enforcement
d. Solid Waste
e. Mass Transit
f. Schools

In reference to above, the applicant must supply the responding agency with the information from application items 5,6 , and 7 for their evaluation. This application must include the applicant's correspondence/request to the responding agency.

## Environmental Impacts

Provide an overall analysis of the character of the subject property and surrounding properties, and assess the site's suitability for the proposed change based upon the following:

1. A map of the Plant Communities as defined by the Florida Land Use Cover and Classification system (FLUCCS).
2. A map and description of the soils found on the property (identify the source of the information).
3. A topographic map depicting the property boundaries and 100 -year flood prone areas indicated (as identified byFEMA).
4. A map delineating the property boundaries on the most recent Flood Insurance Rate Map.
5. A map delineating wetlands, aquifer recharge areas, and rare \& unique uplands.
6. A table of plant communities by FLUCCS with the potential to contain species (plant and animal) listed by federal, state or local agencies as endangered, threatened or species of special concern. The table must include the listed species by FLUCCS and the species status (same as FLUCCS map).

## Impacts on Historic Resources

List all historic resources (including structure, districts, and/or archaeologically sensitive areas) and provide an analysis of the proposed change's impact on these resources. The following should be included with the analysis:

1. A map of any historic districts and/or sites listed on the Florida Master Site File which are located on the subject property or adjacent properties.
2 A map showing the subject property location on the archaeological sensitivity map for LeeCounty.

## Internal Consistency with the Lee Plan

1. Discuss how the proposal affects established Lee County population projections, Lee Plan Table 1(b) and the total population capacity of the Lee Plan Future Land UseMap.
2 List all goals and objectives of the Lee Plan that are affected by the proposed amendment or that affect the subject property. This analysis should include an evaluation of all relevant policies under each goal andobjective.
2. Describe how the proposal affects adjacent local governments and their comprehensiveplans.

## State Policy Plan and Regional Policy Plan

List State Policy Plan and Regional Policy Plan goals, strategies and actions, and policies which are relevant to this plan amendment.

## Justify the proposed amendment based upon sound planning principles

Support all conclusions made in this justification with adequate data and analysis.

## Planning Communities/Community Plan Area Requirements

If located within a planning community/community plan area, provide a meeting summary document of the required public informational session [Lee Plan Goal 17].

## Sketch and Legal Description

The certified legal description(s) and certified sketch of the description for the property subject to the requested change. A metes and bounds legal description must be submitted specifically describing the entire perimeter boundary of the property with accurate bearings and distances for every line. The sketch must be tied to the state plane coordinate system for the Florida West Zone (North America Datum of 1983/1990 Adjustment) with two coordinates, one coordinate being the point of beginning and the other an opposing corner. If the subject property contains wetlands or the proposed amendment includes more than one land use category a metes and bounds legal description, as described above, must be submitted in addition to the perimeter boundary of the property for each wetland or future land use category.

## SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

## Clearly label all submittal documents with the exhibitname indicated below.

## For each map submitted, the applicant will be required to submit a 24"x36" version and 8.5"x11" reduced map for inclusion in public hearing packets.

MINIMUM SUBMITTAL ITEMS (3 Copies)

| $X$ | Completed Application (Exhibit - M1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | Disclosure of Interest (Exhibit - M2) |
| $X$ | Surrounding Property Owners List, Mailing Labels, and Map For All Parcels Within 500 Feet of the Subject Property <br> (xxhibit - M3) |
| $X$ | Existing Future Land Use Map (Exhibit - M4) |
| $X$ | Map and Description of Existing Land Uses (Not Designations) of the Subject Property and Surrounding Properties <br> (Exhibit - M5) |
| $X$ | Map and Description of Existing Zoning of the Subject Property and Surrounding Properties (Exhibit - M6) |
| $X$ | Signed/Sealed Legal Description and Sketch of the Description for Each FLUC Proposed (Exhibit - M7) |

## APPLICANT - PLEASE NOTE:

Changes to Table 1(b) that relate directly to and are adopted simultaneously with a future land use map amendment may be considered as part of this application for a map amendment.
Once staff has determined the application is sufficient for review, 15 complete copies will be required to be submitted to staff. These copies will be used for Local Planning Agency hearings, Board of County Commissioners hearings, and State Reviewing Agencies. Staff will notify the applicant prior to each hearing or mail out to obtain the required copies.

If you have any questions regarding this application, please contact the Planning Section at (239) 533-8585.

## AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORIZATION

## APPLICATION IS SIGNED BY INDIVIDUAL OWNER, APPLICANT, CORPORATION, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LLL.C.), LIMITED COMPANY (L.C.), PARTNERSHIP, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, OR TRUSTEE

We, Kevin \& Annmarie Campbell + Robert D \& Elaine J Guerrero, as Joint Tenants in Common of 08.43.23-00.7 00001.0000 ; swear or affirm under oath, that we are the owners or the authorized representative of the owners) of the property and that:

1. I have full authority to secure the approval(s) requested and to impose covenants and restrictions on the referenced property as a result of any action approved by the County in accordance with this application and the Land Development Code;
2. All answers to the questions in this application and any sketches, data or other supplementary matter attached hereto and made a part of this application are honest and true;
3. I have authorized the staff of Lee County Community Development to enter upon the property during normal working hours for the purpose of investigating and evaluating the request made thru this application; and that
4. The property will not be transferred, conveyed, sold or subdivided unencumbered by the conditions and restrictions imposed by the approved action.
*Notes:

- If the applicant is a corporation, then it is usually executed by the corp. pres. or v. pres.
- If the applicant is a Limited Liability Company (L.L.C.) or Limited Company (L.C.)., then the documents should typically be signed by the Company's "Managing Member."
- If the applicant is a partnership, then typically a partner can sign on behalf of the partnership.
- If the applicant is a limited partnership, then the general partner must sign and be identified as the "general partner" of the named partnership.
- If the applicant is a trustee, then they must include their title of "trustee."
- In each instance, first determine the applicant's status, e.g., individual, corporate, trust, partnership, estate, etc., and then use the appropriate format for that ownership.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing Affidavit of Authorization and that the facts stated in it are true.


NOTE: NOTARY PUBLIC IS NOT REQUIRED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVALS*********** ALL OTHER APPLICATION TYPES MUST BE NOTARIZED
STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF LEE
The foregoing instrument was sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me by means of physical presence or $\square$ online notarization, this $14^{\text {th }}$ _day of July .20 .23 , by hen $n$ camploells others above (name of person providing oath or affirmation), who is personally known to me or who has produced (personally kinaun) $\qquad$ (type of identification) as identification.

STAMP/SEAL



Signature of Notary Public

Property Data
STRAP: 08-43-23-00-00001.0000 Folio ID: 10026914
Generated on 6/13/2023 2:57 PM
Owner Of Record - Tenants in Common [Change Address]
CAMPBELL KEVIN \& ANNMARIE +
GERRERO ROBERT D \& ELAINE J
10791 ORANGE RIVER BLVD
FORT MYERS FL 33905
Site Address
Site Address maintained by E911 Program Addressing
BURNT STORE RD N
CAPE CORAL FL 33993
Property Description Do not use for legal documents!


NW 1/4 OF NW 1/4 LESS WLY 132 FT


Just
Attributes

| Land Units Of Measure © | AC |
| :--- | ---: |
| Units © | 39.44 |
| Total Number of Buildings | 0 |
| Total Bedrooms / Bathrooms | 0 |
| 1st Year Building on Tax Roll 9 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Historic Designation | No |


[Pictometry Aerial Viewer]

< Photo Date January of 2008 > $\square$ View other photos

Last Inspection Date: 05/23/2019
Property Value History

| Tax Year | Just | Land | Market <br> Assessed | Capped Assessed | Exemptions | Taxable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 280,800 | 280,800 | 280,800 | 280,800 | 0 | 280,800 |
| 1993 | 280,800 | 2,090 | 2,090 | 2,090 | 0 | 2,090 |
| 1994 | 280,800 | 2,660 | 2,660 | 2,660 | 0 | 2,660 |
| 1995 | 280,800 | 6,620 | 6,620 | 6,620 | 0 | 6,620 |
| 1996 | 280,800 | 10,150 | 10,150 | 10,150 | 0 | 10,150 |
| 1997 | 280,800 | 10,440 | 10,440 | 10,440 | 0 | 10,440 |
| 1998 | 280,800 | 10,260 | 10,260 | 10,260 | 0 | 10,260 |
| 1999 | 280,800 | 10,010 | 10,010 | 10,010 | 0 | 10,010 |
| 2000 | 280,800 | 10,220 | 10,220 | 10,220 | 0 | 10,220 |
| 2001 | 309,740 | 10,480 | 10,480 | 10,480 | 0 | 10,480 |
| 2002 | 309,730 | 10,400 | 10,400 | 10,400 | 0 | 10,400 |
| 2003 | 248,190 | 11,240 | 11,240 | 11,240 | 0 | 11,240 |
| 2004 | 536,090 | 11,560 | 11,560 | 11,560 | 0 | 11,560 |
| 2005 | 1,111,880 | 12,030 | 12,030 | 12,030 | 0 | 12,030 |
| 2006 | 2,382,600 | 12,470 | 12,470 | 12,470 | 0 | 12,470 |
| 2007 | 2,382,600 | 10,520 | 10,520 | 10,520 | 0 | 10,520 |
| 2008 | 873,620 | 12,350 | 12,350 | 12,350 | 0 | 12,350 |
| 2009 | 476,520 | 13,380 | 13,380 | 13,380 | 0 | 13,380 |
| 2010 | 428,868 | 11,754 | 11,754 | 11,754 | 0 | 11,754 |
| 2011 | 299,744 | 11,556 | 11,556 | 11,556 | 0 | 11,556 |
| 2012 | 256,360 | 12,779 | 12,779 | 12,779 | 0 | 12,779 |
| 2013 | 256,360 | 19,286 | 19,286 | 19,286 | 0 | 19,286 |
| 2014 | 153,816 | 20,667 | 20,667 | 20,667 | 0 | 20,667 |
| 2015 | 193,256 | 20,627 | 20,627 | 20,627 | 0 | 20,627 |
| 2016 | 193,256 | 22,836 | 22,836 | 22,836 | 0 | 22,836 |
| 2017 | 193,256 | 20,548 | 20,548 | 20,548 | 0 | 20,548 |
| 2018 | 193,256 | 19,602 | 19,602 | 19,602 | 0 | 19,602 |
| 2019 | 193,256 | 20,114 | 20,114 | 20,114 | 0 | 20,114 |
| 2020 | 193,256 | 22,323 | 22,323 | 22,323 | 0 | 22,323 |
| 2021 | 315,520 | 21,140 | 21,140 | 21,140 | 0 | 21,140 |
| 2022 | 315,520 | 21,258 | 21,258 | 21,258 | 0 | 21,258 |

# BSR 40 

# Application for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment-Map 

Exhibit 4<br>Existing Future Land Use Map

400
( IN FEET )


## FUTURE URBANAREAS

- Intensive Development

Central Urban
Urban Community

- Suburban

Outlying Suburban Sub-Outlying Suburban

- Commercial

Industrial Development
Public Facilities
$\square$ University Community
Burnt Store Marina Village

## INTERCHANGE AREAS

$\square$ General Interchange
University Village Interchange
EIndustrial Commercial Interchange

- Industrial Interchange
- General Commercial Interchange

NEW COMMUNITY
New Community
AIRPORT AREAS
Tradeport
Airport

NON-URBAN AREAS
Rural
Rural Community Preserve
Coastal Rural
Outer Island

- Open Lands

Density Reduction Groundwater Resource
ConservationLands - Upland
ENVTRONMENTALLY CRITICALAREAS (VETLANDS)
Wetlands
Conservation Lands - Wetland
City Limits
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# Application for a Comprehensive Plan Amendment-Map 

## Exhibit 4A

Existing Future Land Use Map Proposed

BSR 40


## FUTURE URBANAREAS

- Intensive Development
$\square$ Central Urban
Urban Community
- Suburban

Outlying Suburban
Sub-Outlying Suburban
$\square$ Commercial
Industrial Development
Public Facilities

- University Community

Burnt Store Marina Village

## INTERCHANGE AREAS

General Interchange
University Village Interchange

- Industrial Commercial Interchange

Industrial Interchange

- General Commercial Interchange

NEW COMLMUNITY
New Community
AIRPORT AREAS
Tradeport
Airport

NON-URBAN AREAS
Rural
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Coastal Rural
Outer Island
Open Lands

- Density Reduction Groundwater Resource
- Conservation Lands - Upland

ENVIRONMENTALLY CRITICAL AREAS (VETLANDS)
Winetiands
Conservation Lands - Wetland
City Limits

See Chapter XIII and Lee County Administrative Code 13-2 for standaras and procedures for administrathe interpretations of the Lee Plan
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Exhibit M16<br>TIS Report

## MEMORANDUM

TO:
Ms. Linda Miller
Avalon Engineering, Inc.
FROM: Yury Bykau, P.E.
Senior Project Manager
DATE: Revised: April 25, 2024
RE: $\quad$ Burnt Store Road - 40 Acre
Strap No. 08-43-23-00-00001.0000
Comprehensive Plan Amendment
Lee County, Florida
TR Transportation Consultants, Inc. has completed a traffic circulation analysis for the proposed Comprehensive Plan for approximately 40 acres of property located on the east side of Burnt Store Road approximately $1 / 4$ of a mile south of Charlee Road in Lee County, Florida. Based on the discussion with Avalon Engineering, the approximate 40acre site will be subject to a Map Amendment that will change the land use designation from Open Lands and Wetlands to Central Urban.

The transportation related impacts of the proposed Amendment to the Lee Plan were evaluated pursuant to the criteria in the application document. This included an evaluation of the long range impact ( 20 -year horizon) and short range impact ( 5 -year horizon) the proposed amendment would have on the existing and future roadway infrastructure.

Under the existing Open Lands and Wetlands Land Use Category (FLU), the site could be developed with various non-residential land uses that are needed to serve the rural community such as a Tractor Supply Store and a Variety Store. For the trip generation comparison purposes, the permitted development under the existing land use category on site was assumed to consist of a 30,000 square foot Tractor Supply Store and a 12,000 square foot Variety Store.

The Applicant is proposing a Map Amendment on the approximate 40 -acre site to change the land use designation from Open Lands and Wetlands to Central Urban. For analysis purposes, under the Central Urban Future Land Use category the site was assumed to consist of up to 400 multi-family residential dwelling units and 160,000 square feet of commercial uses. Table 1 summarizes the uses/intensities that could be developed under the existing land use designation and uses/intensities assumed under the proposed land use designation.

| Table 1  <br> Land Uses  <br>   <br> Burnt Store Road - 40 Acre  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing/ <br> Proposed | Land Use Category | Intensity |
| Existing | Open Lands | 30,000 Sq. Ft Tractor Supply Store <br> $\&$ <br> $12,000 ~ S q . ~ F t . ~ V a r i e t y ~ S t o r e ~$ |
| Proposed | Central Urban | 400 Multi-Family Dwelling Units, <br> $160,000 ~ S q . ~ F t . ~ C o m m e r c i a l ~$ |

The trip generation for the with and without amendment scenarios was determined by referencing the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE) report, titled Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Land Use Code 810 (Tractor Supply Store), Land Use Code 814 (Variety Store), Land Use Code 220 (Multifamily Housing Low-Rise) and Land Use Code 820 (Shopping Center $>150 \mathrm{~K}$ ) were utilized for the trip generation purposes of the permitted/proposed uses on site. The trip generation equations utilized are attached to this Memorandum for reference.

Table 2 and Table 3 outline the anticipated weekday AM and PM peak hour and daily trip generation based on the existing and proposed future land use categories, respectively. Both tables also incorporated a reduction in trips due to "pass-by" traffic. Note, since there will be a certain internal interaction between the residential and commercial uses under the proposed land use category scenario, Table 3 also incorporated reduction in trips due to "internal capture". The summary sheets utilized to calculate these internal capture rates for the weekday AM peak hour and PM peak hour are attached to this Memorandum for reference. Note, the internal capture calculations were completed consistent with the methodologies in the NCHRP Report and published in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition.
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Table 2
Trip Generation
Based on Existing Land Use Category
Burnt Store Road - 40 Acre

| Land Use | Weekday A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday P.M. Peak Hour |  | Daily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| (2-way) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3
Trip Generation
Based on Proposed Land Use Category
Burnt Store Road - 40 Acre

| Land Use | Weekday A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday P.M. Peak Hour |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Daily } \\ \text { (2-way) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Multifamily Housing (400 Dwelling Units) | 35 | 112 | 147 | 121 | 72 | 193 | 2,639 |
| Shopping Center ( $160,000 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}$.) | 141 | 87 | 228 | 380 | 412 | 792 | 10,041 |
| Total Trips | 176 | 199 | 375 | 501 | 484 | 985 | 12,680 |
| Less Internal Capture * ( $1 \% \mathrm{AM} / 17 \% \mathrm{PM}$ ) | -2 | -2 | -4 | -86 | -86 | -172 | -2,156 |
| Less LUC 820 Pass-by ( $29 \%$ for $<300 \mathrm{k}$ Sq. Ft.) | -33 | -33 | -66 | -102 | -102 | -204 | -2,417 |
| Net New Trips | 141 | 164 | 305 | 313 | 296 | 609 | 8,107 |

*Included internal capture between the residential and commercial uses.
Table 4 indicates the trip generation difference between the proposed and existing land use categories (Table 2 vs Table 3). The resultant trip change in Table 4 indicates that the trip generation will be increased in the AM and PM peak hour conditions as a result of the proposed amendment.
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Table 4
Trip Generation - Resultant Trip Change (Table 2 vs Table 3)
Burnt Store Road - 40 Acre

| Land Use | Weekday A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday P.M. Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | (2-way) |
| Proposed <br> Land Use Designations | 141 | 164 | 305 | 313 | 296 | 609 | 8,107 |
| Existing <br> Land Use Designations | -14 | -10 | -24 | -48 | -48 | -96 | -504 |
| Resultant Trip Change | $\mathbf{+ 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{+ 5 1 3}$ | $+\mathbf{+ 7 , 6 0 3}$ |

## Long Range Impacts (20-year horizon)

The Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan was reviewed to determine if any future roadway improvements were planned in the vicinity of the subject site. Based on the review, the only roadway improvement within the vicinity of the subject site shown on the 2045 Financially Feasible Plan was the widening of Burnt Store Road to a four-lane facility from Pine Island Road to Lee County/Charlotte County line. The Lee County 2045 Highway Cost Feasible Plan map is attached to this Memorandum for reference.

The Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) long range transportation travel model was also reviewed in order to determine the impacts the amendment would have on the surrounding area. The base 2045 loaded network volumes were determined for the roadways within the study area and then the PM peak hour trips to be generated by additional trips in Table 3 were added to the projected 2045 volumes. The Level of Service for the surrounding roadways was then evaluated. The Level of Service threshold volumes were derived based on the attached Lee County Generalized Peak Hour Directional Service Volumes table.

The results of the analysis indicate that the addition of the project trips to the network will not cause any roadway link to fall below the adopted Level of Service standard for each analyzed roadway link within the study area. Therefore, no changes to the adopted long range transportation plan are required as result of the proposed land use change. Attached Table 1A and Table 2A reflect the Level of Service analysis based on the 2045 conditions.
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## Short Term Impacts Analysis (2029)

The 2021/2022-2025/2026 Lee County Transportation Capital Improvement Plan as well as the latest Cape Coral Capital Improvement Program were reviewed to determine if any future roadway improvements were planned in the vicinity of the subject site in the next five (5) years. Based on the review, there are no roadway capacity improvements identified on either work program. It is important to note that Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is currently preparing PD\&E study to evaluate widening of Burnt Store Road to a four-lane divided roadway from Van Buren Parkway to Lee County/Charlotte County line. This improvement was not included in this analysis since it has yet to be funded for construction.

Table 3A and Table 4A attached to this report indicate the projected 5 -year planning Level of Service on the surrounding roadways based on the trips shown in Table 3. The existing peak hour, peak season, peak direction traffic volumes on the various roadway links maintained by Lee County were obtained from the most recent Lee County Public Facilities Level of Service and Concurrency Report.

The existing peak hour, peak season, peak direction traffic volumes were then factored by the appropriate annual growth rates in order to obtain the 2029 background traffic conditions on the area roadway network. The growth rates for each roadway were calculated based on historical traffic data obtained from the latest Lee County Traffic Count Report. At the request of the County Staff, an annual growth rate of $5.44 \%$ was assumed. Based on the project traffic distribution illustrated within Table 4A, the roadway link data was analyzed for the year 2029 without the proposed amendment and year 2029 with the proposed amendment. Traffic data obtained from the Lee County Traffic Count Report is attached to this Memorandum for reference.

The results of the analysis indicate that the addition of the project trips to the network will only cause Burnt Store south of the site to fall below the adopted Level of Service standard. From Table 4A, Burnt Store Road north of site was shown to operate at acceptable Level of Service in the 2029 both with and without project traffic conditions. As previously mentioned, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is currently preparing PD\&E study to evaluate widening of Burnt Store Road to a four-lane divided roadway from Van Buren Parkway to Lee County/Charlotte County line. This study is anticipated to be completed by late 2024. The Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan also indicates the widening of Burnt Store Road from Van Buren Parkway to Lee County/Charlotte County line with a construction timeframe between years 2031-2035. The future widening of Burnt Store Road to a four-lane facility will address the roadway capacity deficiencies shown in the short-range Level of Service Analysis conducted as part of this report. Therefore, based on this analysis no modifications will be necessary to the Lee County or Cape Coral short term capital improvement programs. Capacity analysis will be evaluated again at the time the project seeks rezoning and local Development Order approvals.
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## Conclusion

The proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendment is for approximately 40 acres of property located on the east side of Burnt Store Road approximately $1 / 4$ of a mile south of Charlee Road in Lee County, Florida. The proposed Map Amendment on the subject property will the land use designation from Open Lands and Wetlands to Central Urban.

The results of the long-range link Level of Service analysis indicated that the addition of the trips as a result of the proposed request to the projected 2045 volumes will NOT cause any roadway links to fall below the recommended minimum acceptable Level of Service thresholds as recommended in Policy 37.1.1 of the Lee County Comprehensive Plan. All analyzed roadway segments were shown to operate at an acceptable Level of Service in 2045 both with and without the proposed project.

The results of the short-range link Level of Service analysis indicated that the addition of the project trips to the network will only cause Burnt Store south of the site to fall below the adopted Level of Service standard. Burnt Store Road north of site was shown to operate at acceptable Level of Service in the 2029 both with and without project traffic conditions. As previously mentioned, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is currently preparing PD\&E study to evaluate widening of Burnt Store Road to a four-lane divided roadway from Van Buren Parkway to Lee County/Charlotte County line. This study is anticipated to be completed by late 2024. The Lee County Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan also indicates the widening of Burnt Store Road from Van Buren Parkway to Lee County/Charlotte County line with a construction timeframe between years 2031-2035. The future widening of Burnt Store Road to a four-lane facility will address the roadway capacity deficiencies shown in the short-range Level of Service Analysis conducted as part of this report.

No modifications are necessary to the Short Term Capital Improvement Plan or the Long Range Transportation Plan to support the proposed Amendment. In addition, the proposed amendment will not significantly alter the socio-economic data forecasts that were utilized in the development of the Long Range Transportation Plan. Capacity analysis will be evaluated again at the time the project seeks rezoning and local Development Order approvals.

Attachments

## TABLES 1A \& 2A

2045 LOS ANALYSIS

TABLE 1A
LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS 2045 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS - BURNT STORE ROAD 40 ACRE

| ROADWAY | ROADWAY SEGMENT |  |  | GENERALIZED SERVICE VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2045 E + C NETWORK LANES |  | LOS A | LOS B | Los C | LOS D | LOS E |
|  |  | \# Lanes | Roadway Designation | VOLUME | VOLUME | VOLUME | VOLUME | VOLume |
| Burnt Store Road | N. of Vincent Ave | 4LD | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 270 | 1,970 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
|  | N. of Islamorada Blvd | 4LD | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 270 | 1,970 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
|  | N. of Site | 4LD | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 270 | 1,970 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
|  | N. of Durden Pkwy | 4LD | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 270 | 1,970 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
|  | S. of Durden Pkwy | 4LD | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 270 | 1,970 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| Durden Pkwy | W. of Burnt Store Rd | 2 LU | Collector | 0 | 0 | 310 | 660 | 740 |

* Level of Service Thresholds for Lee County roadways were taken from the Generalized Peak Hour Directional Service Volume tables for Urbanized Areas (dated April 2016)
table 2A
2045 ROADWAY LINK LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS
BURNT STORE ROAD 40 ACRE
TOTAL PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRAFFIC $=\quad 609$ VPH $\quad \mathbb{N}=\quad 313 \quad$ OUT $=\quad 296$

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2045 \\ \text { FSUTMS } \end{gathered}$ | COUNTY PCS / | AADT BACKGROUND | K-100 | 100TH HIGHEST HOUR PK DIR | D | PM PK HR PEAK | PEAK <br> TRAFFIC | 2045 DIRECTION OLUMES \& LOS | PROJECT TRAFFIC | PK DIR PM PROJ | 2045 BACK PEA TRAFFIC | PLUS PROJ TION S \& LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROADWAY | ROADWAY SEGMENT | AADT | FDOT SITE\# | TRAFFIC | FACTOR | 2-WAY VOLUME | FACTOR | DIRECTION | VOLUME | LOS | DIST. | TRAFFIC | VOLUME | LOS |
| Burnt Store Road | N. of Vincent Ave | 21,807 | 12 | 21,807 | 0.095 | 2,072 | 0.56 | SOUTH | 1,160 | C | 15\% | 47 | 1,207 | C |
|  | N. of Islamorada Blvd | 18,827 | 12 | 18,827 | 0.095 | 1,789 | 0.56 | SOUTH | 1,002 | c | 20\% | 63 | 1,065 | C |
|  | N. of Site | 23,870 | 12 | 23,870 | 0.095 | 2,268 | 0.56 | SOUTH | 1,270 | C | 35\% | 110 | 1,380 | C |
|  | N. of Durden Pkwy | 21,327 | 12 | 21,327 | 0.095 | 2,026 | 0.56 | SOUTH | 1,135 | c | 65\% | 203 | 1,338 | C |
|  | S. of Durden Pkwy | 23,958 | 12 | 23,958 | 0.095 | 2,276 | 0.56 | SOUTH | 1,275 | C | 60\% | 188 | 1,463 | C |
| Durden Pkwy | W. of Burnt Store Rd | 4,343 | 12 | 4,343 | 0.095 | 413 | 0.56 | WEST | 231 | C | 5\% | 16 | 247 | C |

*The K-100 and D factors were obtained from the Lee County Traffic Count Report.

* Due to lack of traffic data for Durden Pkwy, the K and D Factors were assumed from County's PCS No. 12

TABLES 3A \& 4A 5-YEAR LOS ANALYSIS

TABLE 3A
LEVEL OF SERVICE THRESHOLDS
BURNT STORE ROAD - 40 ACRE

| ROADWAY | ROADWAY SEGMENT | \#LANES | ROADWAY DESIGNATION | GENERALIZED SERVICE VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LOS A | LOS B | LOS C | LOS D | LOSE |
|  |  |  |  | VOLUME | VOLUME | VOLUME | VOLUME | VOLUME |
| Burnt Store Road | N. of Vincent Ave | 2 LU | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 160 | 880 | 940 | 940 |
|  | N. of Islamorada Blvd | 2LU | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 160 | 880 | 940 | 940 |
|  | N. of Site | 2LU | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 160 | 880 | 940 | 940 |
|  | N. of Durden Pkwy | 2LU | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 160 | 880 | 940 | 940 |
|  | S. of Durden Pkwy | 2LU | Controlled Access Facility | 0 | 160 | 880 | 940 | 940 |

* Level of Service Thresholds for Lee County arterials/collectors taken from the Generalized Peak Hour Directional Service Volume tables for Urbanized Areas (dated April 2016)
* Durden Parkway was not included in this analysis due to lack of traffic data for this roadway.


## TABLE 4A

## LEE COUNTY TRAFFIC COUNTS AND CALCULATIONS

BURNT STORE ROAD - 40 ACRE
TOTAL PROJECT TRAFFIC AM $=\quad 305$

| VPH | $\operatorname{IN}=$ | 141 | OUT $=$ | 164 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VPH | $\operatorname{IN}=$ | 313 | OUT $=$ | 296 |



1 AGR for roadways was calculated based the historical traffic data obtained from the Lee County Traffic Count Report
Current peak hour peak season peak direction traffic volumes for all County roadways were oblained from the 2020 Lee County Public Facilities Level of Service and Concurrency Report

- Durden Parkway was not included in this analysis due to lack of traffic data for this roadway


## LEE COUNTY GENERALIZED SERVICE VOLUME TABLE

Lee County
Generalized Peak Hour Directional Service Volumes Urbanized Areas
April 2016
c:linput5

| Uninterrupted Flow Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane | Divided | A | B | C | D | E |
| 1 | Undivided | 130 | 420 | 850 | 1,210 | 1,640 |
| 2 | Divided | 1,060 | 1,810 | 2,560 | 3,240 | 3,590 |
| 3 | Divided | 1,600 | 2,720 | 3,840 | 4,860 | 5,380 |

Arterials
Class I ( 40 mph or higher posted speed limit)
Level of Service

| Lane | Divided | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Undivided | $*$ | 140 | 800 | 860 | 860 |
| 2 | Divided | $*$ | 250 | 1,840 | 1,960 | 1,960 |
| 3 | Divided | $*$ | 400 | 2,840 | 2,940 | 2,940 |
| 4 | Divided | $*$ | 540 | 3,830 | 3,940 | 3,940 |

Class II ( 35 mph or slower posted speed limit)
Level of Service

| Lane | Divided | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Undivided | $*$ | $*$ | 330 | 710 | 780 |
| 2 | Divided | $*$ | $*$ | 710 | 1,590 | 1,660 |
| 3 | Divided | $*$ | $*$ | 1,150 | 2,450 | 2,500 |
| 4 | Divided | $*$ | $*$ | 1,580 | 3,310 | 3,340 |

Controlled Access Facilities
Level of Service

| Lane | Divided | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Undivided | $*$ | 160 | 880 | 940 | 940 |
| 2 | Divided | $*$ | 270 | 1,970 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| 3 | Divided | $*$ | 430 | 3,050 | 3,180 | 3,180 |

Collectors
Level of Service

| Lane | Divided | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Undivided | $*$ | $*$ | 310 | 660 | 740 |
| 1 | Divided | $*$ | $*$ | 330 | 700 | 780 |
| 2 | Undivided | $*$ | $*$ | 730 | 1,440 | 1,520 |
| 2 | Divided | $*$ | $*$ | 770 | 1,510 | 1,600 |

Note: the service volumes for l-75 (freeway), bicycle mode, pedestrian mode, and bus mode should be from FDOT's most current version of LOS Handbook.

## TRAFFIC DATA FROM LEE COUNTY TRAFFIC COUNT REPORT

| Updated 5/3/2023 |  | Daily Traffic Volume (AADT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STREET | LOCATION | Station \# | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| BONITA BEACH RD | W OF SPANISH WELLS | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24700 | 31800 | 32200 |
| BONITA BEACH RD | E OF OLD 41 RD | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BONITA BEACH RD | E OF RACE TRACK RD | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29300 | 39700 | 39700 |
| BONITA BEACH RD | W OF I-75 | 42 | 28800 | 35100 | 35300 |  | 36400 | 38900 | 40500 | 37900 | 43500 | 44200 |
| BONITA BEACH RD | E OFI-75 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22400 |
| BROADWAY (ESTERO) | W OF US 41 | 463 | 5200 |  | 5700 |  | 6200 |  | 6300 |  | 5700 |  |
| BUCKINGHAM RD | S OF PALM BEACH BLVD | 11 | 8800 | 9000 | 9300 | 9800 | 9800 | 10400 | 11400 | 11100 | 13100 | 13800 |
| BUCKINGHAM RD | S OF CEMETERY RD | 227 |  | 10600 |  | 9800 |  | 9600 |  | 8600 |  | 7800 |
| BUCKINGHAM RD | E OF ALVIN AVE | 232 |  | 7000 |  | 8600 |  | 9200 |  | 10700 |  | 10600 |
| BURNT STORE RD | N OF PINE ISLAND RD | 233 | 12600 | 12600 | 13600 | 14800 | 15300 | 15100 | 19100 | 16800 |  |  |
| BURNT STORE RD | S OF CHARLOTTE CO. LINE | 12 | 5200 | 6300 | 7000 | 7700 | 8000 | 8300 | 8800 | 8600 | 10000 | 11500 |
| BUSINESS 41 (SR 739) | N OF EDISON BRIDGE | 41 | 25100 | 27200 | 28000 |  |  |  | 35600 | 33500 | 38200 | 41500 |
| CAPE CORAL PKWY | E OF 11TH CT | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20300 | 25300 | 25900 |
| CAPE CORAL PKWY | E OF SKYLINE BLVD | 13 | 26400 | 27700 | 28800 | 29700 | 28200 | 29600 | 30400 | 27700 | 31100 | 31600 |
| CAPE CORAL PKWY | E OF 6TH ST | 2112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44600 |
| CAPE CORAL PKWY | W OF PALM TREE | 56 | 44800 | 44100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAPE CORAL BRIDGE | W OF BRIDGE | 234 | 45600 | 51600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAPE CORAL BRIDGE | AT TOLL PLAZA | 122 |  |  | 44000 | 42600 | 42000 | 43100 | 47800 | 43400 | 49700 | 49400 |
| CEMETERY RD | E OF BUCKINGHAM RD | 486 |  | 5700 |  | 5800 |  | 5500 |  | 6700 |  | 7100 |
| CHAMBERLIN PKWY | S OF DANIELS PKWY | 33 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHIQUITA BLVD | N OF SW 27TH ST | 58 | 17100 | 17700 | 16800 | 16700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PCS 12 - Burnt Store Rd south of Charlotte County Line
2022 AADT $=11,500 \mathrm{VPD}$

| Hour | NB | SB | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $0.28 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ |
| 1 | $0.17 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ | $0.55 \%$ |
| 2 | $0.14 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ |
| 3 | $0.18 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | $0.34 \%$ |
| 4 | $0.31 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $0.46 \%$ |
| 5 | $0.73 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $0.99 \%$ |
| 6 | $1.63 \%$ | $0.62 \%$ | $2.24 \%$ |
| 7 | $2.93 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | $4.28 \%$ |
| 8 | $3.84 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ | $5.76 \%$ |
| 9 | $3.73 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | $6.06 \%$ |
| 10 | $3.70 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ | $6.35 \%$ |
| 11 | $3.79 \%$ | $3.02 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ |
| 12 | $3.65 \%$ | $3.37 \%$ | $7.02 \%$ |
| 13 | $3.57 \%$ | $3.59 \%$ | $7.16 \%$ |
| 14 | $3.46 \%$ | $3.73 \%$ | $7.19 \%$ |
| 15 | $3.35 \%$ | $3.93 \%$ | $7.29 \%$ |
| 16 | $3.28 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ | $7.55 \%$ |
| 17 | $3.04 \%$ | $4.38 \%$ | $7.44 \%$ |
| 18 | $2.70 \%$ | $4.01 \%$ | $6.72 \%$ |
| 19 | $2.07 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ | $4.98 \%$ |
| 20 | $1.51 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ | $3.63 \%$ |
| 21 | $1.11 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ | $2.68 \%$ |
| 22 | $0.79 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ |
| 23 | $0.47 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ |


| Month of Year | Fraction |
| :---: | :---: |
| January | 0.97 |
| February | 1.08 |
| March | 1.1 |
| April | 1.04 |
| May | 0.91 |
| June | 0.88 |
| July | 0.9 |
| August | 0.67 |
| September | 0.86 |
| October | 1.18 |
| November | 1.1 |
| December | 1.12 |


| Directional <br> Factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 0.72 | NB |
| PM | 0.56 | SB |




## LEE COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITIES LEVEL OF SERVICE AND CONCURRENCY REPORT

Table 21 b): Link-Level Service Volumes and LOS Table
Table 21 b) 1 of 7

| LEE COUNTY ROAD LINK VOLUMES (County- and StateMaintained Roadways) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| intilo. | HAME | ROADWAY URK |  | F. Oas | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROAD } \\ & \text { TYPE } \end{aligned}$ | PERFORMANCE STANDARD |  | 20211001 H HGIESTHOUR |  |  | FIURE FORECAST <br> (2026) |  | Notes |
|  |  | FROM | 10 |  |  | 105 | DPFIECTONAL CAPACTI | 105 | vor | V/C | LOS VOL | V/C |  |
| 0100 | A\&WEULB KD | GLADIOLUS DR | MCGEGCRELVD | Maj. Coi | 2 N | E | 850 | C | 34 | 0.40 | C 322 | 0.42 |  |
| 00200 | alabamard | SR 8? | MLIWAUKEE BLLD | MAAT | 211 | E | 990 | $c$ | 265 | 0.27 | C 279 | 0.28 |  |
| 00300 | alabamard | MIWAUKEE BuV | hOMESTEADRO | M Art | 2 N | E | 990 | 6 | 349 | 0.35 | C 367 | 0.37 |  |
| 00400 | ALEXANDER BELILIVD | SR 82 | MLIWAUKEE BLVD | M. Art | 210 | E | 990 | D | 561 | 057 | D 590 | 0.60 |  |
| cosoo | AIEXANDER BELI BLID | MLWAUKEE QuD | IFIAND HEIGHTS | MAATt | 211 | £ | 990 | D | 561 | 057 | D 654 | 0.66 | Shadow Lakes |
| CO590 | AUCO RD | us 41 | DUSTYRD | P.ATt | 410 | E | 1,980 | $B$ | 1,171 | 059 | B 1,230 | 0.62 |  |
| 00600 | AUCORD | DUSTY RD | LEE RD | P. Art | 610 | E | 2,960 | B | 1,171 | 0.40 | 8 1,532 | 0.52 | Alico Business Park |
| 00700 | AllCORD | LEFRD | THREE OASS PKWY | P. Art | 6 D | E | 2,960 | 8 | 1,171 | 0.40 | 81,419 | 0.48 | Three Oals Regional Center |
| 00800 | AUCORD | THREE OAKS PKW\% | 1.75 | P.ATt | 6 D | E | 2,960 | 6 | 2,428 | 0.82 | B 2,552 | 0.86 | EEPCOStucy |
| 00900 | AUCORD | 1.75 | BENHILL GRIFINBLID | P. Aft | 6 L | E | 2,960 | $B$ | 1,278 | 0.43 | B 1,425 | 0.48 | EEPCOSUdy |
| 01000 | AUCORD | BENHLL GRIFTN BLVD | GREENMEADOW DR | Maj Col | 2 N | E | 1,100 | $c$ | 395 | 036 | E 808 | 0.73 | $4 \ln$ Const 2018, EPPCOStudy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 01050 | AUCO RD | GREENMEADOW DR | CORKSCREW RD | Maj. Col | 211 | E | 1,100 | B | 131 | 0.12 | B 224 | 0.20 | EEPCOStucy |
| 01200 | BASCOCK 3 D | US 41 | 2OCKEFELERCIR | Min Cod | 2 $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | E | 860 | c | 55 | 0.06 | C 15? | 0.19 | old count |
| 01400 | Burart ro | POVDELAARO | PINEISLAND RD (US76) | Maj. Col | 2 L | E | 860 | c | 103 | 0.12 | c 115 | 0.14 | add count prciation(2003) |
| 01500 | Basspo | SUKMERLSNR | GLADIOUSDR | Maj. Col | 4121 | E | 1,790 | $c$ | 554 | 0.32 | C 322 | 0.46 |  |
| 01600 | BAISHORERD SR 78) | BuS 41 | NEW POST RD/HART RD | State | $41 D$ | 0 | 2100 | c | 1975 | 094 | D 2,076 | 0.99 |  |
| 01700 | BAISHORERD (SR 78) | HAAT RD | SLATERRD | Stre | 4 D | 0 | 2100 | C | 1821 | 0.87 | 12152 | 102 |  |
| 01800 | BAYSHORERD (SR 78) | SLATERRD | 173 | State | 4 L | 0 | 2100 | c | 1,20 | 0.58 | C 1,41 | 0.69 |  |
| 01500 | BAYSHORERD (SR78) | 175 | NALIER R $^{\text {d }}$ | Stete | 2 N | D | 924 | 6 | 741 | 0.80 | 941 | 102 |  |
| 02000 | BAYSHORERD (SR 78) | NQUIERD | SR31 | State | 2 N | D | 924 | c | 741 | 0.80 | 941 | 102 |  |
| 02100 | BEN HILL GRIFFINPRIVY | CORKSCREWRD | FGQUENTRANCE | P.Att | 41 D | E | 2,000 | 6 | 1,361 | 0.68 | B 1,763 | 0.88 |  |
| 02200 | BEN HIL GRIFFINPRYY | fgcuboulivaros | COLIEGE CLUB DR | P.Ant | 4 LD | E | 2,000 | 8 | 1,361 | 0.68 | B 1,430 | 0.72 |  |
| 02250 | BEN HILL GRIFFIN PXWY | COLLEGE CLUB DR | AUCORD | P.Alt | 610 | E | 3,000 | 4 | 1,123 | 0.37 | A 1,215 | 0.41 |  |
| 26950 | 8ENHIL GRIFINPREY | ALCORD | TERMINAL ACCESS RD | Contoledx | 4 LD |  | 1,980 | A | 980 | 0.49 | A 1,030 | 052 |  |
| 03300 | BETH STACEY SLVD | 33R05T | HOMESTEAD RD | Maj. Col | 2 N | - | 860 | C | 370 | 0.40 | C 565 | 0.66 |  |
| 02400 | BONTA BEACHRD | HICXORY BLVD | VANDERBLITOR | P.At | 4 LD | E | 1,900 | $c$ | 736 | 0.39 | C 774 | 0.41 | Constrained in aty Plan* |
| 02500 | BONTTA BEACHRD | VANDERBULTDR | US 41 | P. At | 4 LD | E | 1,900 | $c$ | 1,433 | 0.75 | C 1,506 | 0.79 | Constrained in City Ptan |
| 02600 | BONTA BEACHRD | US41 | O1D 41 | P.ATt | 4 LD | E | 1,860 | 6 | 1,427 | 0.71 | C 1.500 | 0.81 | Constrained, old count projection(2010) |
| 02700 | BONTA BEACHRD | ODP 41 | IMPEEALST | P.At | 61 | E | 2800 | $c$ | 1,908 | 0.68 | C 2,005 | 0.72 | Constroined in City Plan 2010 ) |
| 02880 | BONTA BEACHRD | IMPEVALST | WOFFT5 | P.AT | 61 | E | 2800 | c | 2,091 | 0.75 | C. 2,197 | 0.78 | Constrainedin City Plan |
| 02900 | BONTA BEACHRD | EOFF75 | BONTA GRAND DR | MArt | 4.5 | E | 2020 | 8 | 626 | 031 | B 658 | 0.33 | Constrinedin City Ptan |
| 0250 | BONTA BEACHRD | BONTA GRANDEDR | Logan Bouleard | MAt | 410 | E | 2,020 | $B$ | 626 | 0.31 | B 658 | 0.33 | Constrained lin Cty Plan |
| 03100 | BOHTA GRAMDE DR | BONTA BEACHRD | ETERRYST | Maj. Col | 2 N | E | 850 | 0 | EM2 | 0.80 | E 788 | 0.91 | odd count projection[2009] |
| 03200 | Borscout Ro | SUMMERUNRD | US 41 | P.AT | 61 N | E | 2520 | E | 1,847 | 0.73 | E 1,411 | 0.71 |  |
| 03300 | 62NMLEY RD | SUMMERUN RD | US 41 | Maj Col | 2 N | E | 860 | c | 287 | 0.33 | C 302 | 0.35 |  |
| 03400 | 6RIARCLIFFRD | US 41 | TRIPIE CROWN CT | Maj. Coi | 2 21 |  | 860 | c | 158 | 0.18 | C 160 | 0.19 |  |
| 03500 | broadway RD (ALYA) | SRSO | North Rver ad | Maj. Col | 2 N | E | 860 | C | 220 | 0.33 | C 294 | 0.34 | ddecoint projetion(2009) |
| 03700 | BUCKINGHAMRD | SRS2 | GUNMERY RD | P. At | 2 N | E | 990 | 0 | 491 | 050 | D 516 | 0.52 |  |
| 03730 | BUCKINGHAMRD | GURNERY RD | ORANGE RVERRLID | P.Aft | 2 N |  | 990 | $C$ | 395 | 0.40 | C 415 | 0.42 |  |
| 03800 | BUCKINGHAMRD | ORANGE RNER BLVD | SR80 | P.Art | 2 N | E | 990 | D | 644 | 0.65 | 1,057 | 1.07 | Budinghlam 3458 Portico |
| 03900 | QURNT STORERD | SR78 | VANBUREN PKWY | Controledx | 4LD | , | 2950 | $B$ | 828 | 028 | B 870 | 023 |  |
| 04000 | BURNT STORE RD | VANBUREN PREY | COUNTYUKE | Contoledxs | 2 N | E | 1,140 | $c$ | 528 | 0.46 | C 626 | 0.55 |  |
| 04200 | BUS 41 (NTAMLAMITR! | !CTYUMTS (N ED EDISON8RG) | PONOELARD | State | 6D | 0 | 3,171 | $c$ | 1715 | 054 | C 2,082 | 0.66 |  |
| 04350 | BUS 41 (NTAMAMITR! | !PONOELLARD | 5878 | State | 6D | 0 | 3,171 | C | 1,715 | 054 | C 2,082 | 0.65 |  |
| 04400 | BUS 41 [KTAMEMMIT: | !SR78 | UTILETON RD | State | 410 | 0 | 2100 | c | 994 | 0.47 | C 1,245 | 0.59 |  |
| 04500 | BUS 41 (NTAMLAMITR! | ! UmLeronrd | US 41 | state | 410 | D | 2100 | $C$ | 596 | 028 | C 796 | 038 |  |
| 04500 | CAPE CORAL BRIGE | DEPPRADOELV | MCGREGOR BLV | P.At | 41B | E | 4,000 | 0 | 3,997 | 0.77 | D 3,255 | 0.81 |  |
| 04700 | CAPTIVA DR | SuNDPASS | SOUTHSEAS | Maj. Col | 2 H | , | 860 | 6 | 267 | 0.31 | ( 302 | 0.35 | Constrained, old countl2010 |
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## LEE COUNTY MPO 2045 COST FEASIBLE HIGHWAY PLAN



## 4.0 implementation

### 4.1 Identifying Needs

The Needs Assessment identified projects to support the ultimate vision of mobility to meet the future transportation demands for the Lee County MPO planning area without regard for cost and available funding. An extensive process was conducted to identify projects that are needed in the future. This included a comprehensive review of the projects identified in the 2040 LRTP; review of the LeeTran Transit Development Plan for consistency; review of partner jurisdiction Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plans; working with Lee County MPO and member jurisdiction staff; working with stakeholders, including the MPO Board; and working with the public.

Determining the transportation projects and strategies to include in the 2045 Cost Feasible LRTP was based on evaluation of the prioritized needs and availability of transportation revenues. This section provides a listing of the major projects identified during the Needs Assessment phase of the LRTP.

### 4.1.1. Existing and Committed Transportation Conditions

Prior to developing a list of transportation improvement needs, projects committed to be completed over the next five years were reviewed. Table 4-1 illustrates the transportation projects currently underway and funded for construction through 2025.

Table 4-1: Existing Priority Projects

| Project Location | Project Description | Construction <br> Timeframe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I-75 at Daniels Parkway | Interchange Improvement | $2021-2025$ |
| I-75 at Colonial Blvd | Interchange Improvement | $2021-2025$ |
| SR 31 from SR 78 to Cook Brown Road (Charlotte <br> County) | Widen to 4 lanes | $2021-2025$ |
| SR 865 from Estero Blvd to Summerlin Rd | Roadway Reconstruction | $2021-2025$ |
| Big Carlos Bridge | Bridge Replacement | $2021-2025$ |
| Advanced Traffic Management System | Traffic Signal Upgrades | $2026-2030$ |
| Metro Parkway from Daniels Pkwy to Winkler Ave | Widen to 6 lanes | $2026-2030$ |
| US 41 at SR 78 | Intersection Improvement | $2026-2030$ |
| Burnt Store Rd from Van Buren Pkwy to Charlotte <br> County | Widen to 4 lanes | $2031-2035$ |
| OId US 41 from Collier County to Bonita Beach Rd | Widen to 4 lanes | $2031-2035$ |
| SR 31 from SR 80 to SR 78 | Widen to 6 lanes | $2031-2035$ |
| SR 78 from I-75 to SR 31 | Widen to 4 lanes | $2031-2035$ |
| US 41 at Six Mile Cypress Parkway | Intersection Improvement | $2031-2035$ |
| US 41 at Bonita Beach Road | Intersection Improvement | $2031-2035$ |

## 2045 E+C NETWORK VOLUMES
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## INTERNAL CAPTURE WORKSHEETS

Internal Capture Calculation Summary Sheet WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR

| Land Use C - Residentlal Uses |  |  |  | Exit to External |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Internal | External | 111 |
| Enter | 35 | 1 | 34 |  |
| Exit | 112 | 1 | 111 |  |
| Total | 147 | 2 | 145 | $\longrightarrow$ |
| \% | 100\% | 1\% | 99\% | 34 |

Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development
Land Use A Land Use B
Enter
Exit
Total

Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.

| 140 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 86 | 0 |
| 226 | 0 |
| 228 |  |


| Land Use C | Tota |
| :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 174 |
| 111 | 197 |
| 145 | 371 |

Internal Capture Calculation Summary Sheet WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR


# FDOT BURNT STORE ROAD PD\&E STUDY INFORMATION 

Burnt Store Road Project Development and Environment (PD\&E) 436928-1

| Project Details |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Work Type | Widening |
| Phase | PD\&E |
| Limits | Van Buren <br> Parkway to <br> Charlotte <br> County Line |
| Length | 5.7 miles |
| City | Cape Coral |
| County | Lee |
| Study Cost | $\$ 2,250,000$ |



Contact Information
PD\&E Project Manager
Steven A Andrews
863-519-2270

ITE PASS-BY RATES

| Vehicle Pass-By Rates by Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Code | 814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Variety Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday PM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Pass-By Rate | 34\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pass-By Characteristics for Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State or Province | Survey Year | \# Interviews | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pass-By } \\ & \text { Trip (\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Non-Pass-By Trips |  |  | Adj Street Peak Hour Volume | Source |
| GFA (000) |  |  |  |  | Primary (\%) | Diverted (\%) | Total (\%) |  |  |
| 8 | Florida | 2010 | 145 | 30 | - | - | 70 | 610 | 9 |
| 10 | Florida | 2010 | 127 | 34 | - | - | 66 | 1284 | 9 |
| 10 | Florida | 2010 | 247 | 40 | - | - | 60 | 3165 | 9 |
| 10 | Florida | 2010 | 154 | 44 | - | - | 56 | 1573 | 9 |
| 17 | Florida | 2010 | 50 | 22 | - | - | 78 | 1380 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vehicle Pass-By Rates by Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Code | 820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Shopping Center (> 150k) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday PM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 8 Sites with GLA between 150 and 300k |  |  |  | 16 Sites with GLA between 300 and 900k |  |  |  |  |
| Average Pass-By Rate | 29\% for Sites with GLA between 150 and 300k |  |  |  | 19\% for Sites with GLA between 300 and 900k |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pass-By Characteristics for Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State or Province | Survey Year | \# Interviews | Pass-By <br> Trip (\%) | Non-Pass-By Trips |  |  | Adj Street Peak Hour Volume | Source |
| GLA (000) |  |  |  |  | Primary (\%) | Diverted (\%) | Total (\%) |  |  |
| 213 | Florida | 1990 | 312 | 28 | 31 | 41 | 72 | - | 33 |
| 225 | Illinois | 1994 | 264 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 65 | 1970 | 24 |
| 227.9 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 34 | 35 | 31 | 66 | - | 34 |
| 235 | Kentucky | 1993 | 211 | 35 | 29 | 36 | 65 | 2593 | 2 |
| 255 | lowa | 1994 | 222 | 23 | 38 | 39 | 77 | 3706 | 24 |
| 256 | Connecticut | 1994 | 208 | 27 | 51 | 22 | 73 | 3422 | 24 |
| 293 | Illinois | 1994 | 282 | 24 | 70 | 6 | 76 | 4606 | 13 |
| 294 | Pennsylvania | 1994 | 213 | 24 | 48 | 18 | 76 | 4055 | 24 |
| 350 | Massachusetts | 1994 | 224 | 18 | 45 | 37 | 82 | 2112 | 24 |
| 361 | Virginia | 1994 | 315 | 17 | 54 | 29 | 83 | 2034 | 24 |
| 375 | North Carolina | 1994 | 214 | 29 | 48 | 23 | 71 | 2053 | 24 |
| 413 | Texas | 1994 | 228 | 28 | 51 | 21 | 72 | 589 | 24 |
| 418 | Maryland | 1994 | 281 | 20 | 50 | 30 | 80 | 5610 | 24 |
| 450 | California | 1994 | 321 | 23 | 49 | 28 | 77 | 2787 | 24 |
| 476 | Washington | 1994 | 234 | 25 | 53 | 22 | 75 | 3427 | 24 |
| 488 | Texas | 1994 | 257 | 12 | 75 | 13 | 88 | 1094 | 13 |
| 560 | Virginia | 1994 | 437 | 19 | 49 | 32 | 81 | 3051 | 24 |
| 581 | Colorado | 1994 | 296 | 18 | 53 | 29 | 82 | 2939 | 24 |
| 598 | Colorado | 1994 | 205 | 17 | 55 | 28 | 83 | 3840 | 24 |
| 633 | Texas | 1994 | 257 | 10 | 64 | 26 | 90 | - | 24 |
| 667 | Illinois | 1994 | 200 | 16 | 53 | 31 | 84 | 2770 | 24 |
| 738 | New Jersey | 1994 | 283 | 13 | 75 | 12 | 87 | 8059 | 24 |
| 800 | California | 1994 | 205 | 21 | 51 | 28 | 79 | 7474 | 24 |
| 808 | California | 1994 | 240 | 13 | 73 | 14 | 87 | 4035 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TRIP GENERATION EQUATIONS

## Tractor Supply Store <br> (810)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 7
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 44
Directional Distribution: 47\% entering, 53\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.40 | $0.75-1.83$ | 0.45 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Variety Store (814)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 29
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 9
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63.66 | $20.51-133.68$ | 25.23 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Variety Store (814)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

## On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, <br> One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 29
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 9
Directional Distribution: 55\% entering, 45\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.04 | $0.50-11.87$ | 1.91 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Variety Store <br> (814)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 29
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 9
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.70 | $1.22-13.95$ | 3.08 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) Not Close to Rail Transit (220) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 22
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 229
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.74 | $2.46-12.50$ | 1.79 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) Not Close to Rail Transit (220) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 49
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 249
Directional Distribution: 24\% entering, 76\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.40 | $0.13-0.73$ | 0.12 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) Not Close to Rail Transit (220) 

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 59
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 241
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.51 | $0.08-1.04$ | 0.15 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Shopping Center (>150k) <br> (820) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 108
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 538
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.01 | $17.27-81.53$ | 12.79 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Shopping Center (>150k) <br> (820) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 44
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 546
Directional Distribution: $62 \%$ entering, $38 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.84 | $0.30-3.11$ | 0.42 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Shopping Center (>150k) <br> (820)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 126
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 581
Directional Distribution: 48\% entering, 52\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.40 | $1.57-7.58$ | 1.26 |

## Data Plot and Equation
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