Miller, Janet

From:

Rozdolski, Mikki

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2017 10:25 AM

To:

Miller, Janet

Subject:

Fwd: CPA2015-00009 hearing

FYI - Captiva will not go at the April LPA.

Mikki Rozdolski

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ken Gooderham < kengooderham@comcast.net>

Date: April 5, 2017 at 10:21:16 AM EDT

To: "'Rozdolski, Mikki'" < MRozdolski@leegov.com>

Cc: 'Max Forgey' < max@zoningtechnologies.com >, "'David A. Mintz'" < dmintz@weissmanmintz.com >

Subject: CPA2015-00009 hearing

Reply-To: < kengooderham@comcast.net>

Mikki:

On behalf of the Captiva Community Panel, I am contacting you on two related issues.

First, in light of the significant changes to Goal 13 as proposed by staff, we are requesting to defer a hearing on the Captiva Plan Update (CPA2015-00009) at the Local Planning Agency now scheduled for April 24. This will give the panel and community time to review the new language and reach consensus on a response. Once the panel has the opportunity to review and discuss the staff's draft at its April meeting, we will have a better idea whether this item can be rescheduled for the May 22 or June 26 LPA meeting.

Second, we want to schedule a meeting with you to review the county's structural changes to the Lee Plan and Land Development Code as discussed at the March 21 commission workshop. In particular, some of the changes proposed to Goal 13 may be driven by the desire to move regulatory language out of the plan to the LDC. However, not knowing if language is being relocated (vs. being removed completely from county regulations) makes it difficult for the panel to respond appropriately on significant community issues such as building heights, variance criteria and density maintenance – all three issues which have been included in the Captiva Plan language almost from its inception in 2002, but which were removed from staff's Goal 13 draft.

We feel a working meeting between appropriate county staff (at least you, Sharon and Michael Jacobs, let's say) and panel members and consultants might clarify how regulatory concerns can best be addressed, and enable any outstanding issues to be resolved prior to any public hearings. It would be our goal to reach consensus if possible with county staff prior to any hearing before the LPA. We will work to develop a list of issues and potential solutions during the April 11 meeting, in order to make any joint meeting as productive as possible.

Please let us know how soon such a meeting can be scheduled, as well as whom you else want to include. Of course, let us know if you have any questions.

Ken Gooderham