## REGULAR MEETING OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY

Monday, December 17, 2007 Community Development/Public Works Building Conference Room 1B, First Floor 1500 Monroe Street, Fort Myers, FL The meeting will commence at 8:30 a.m.

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. Call to Order; Certification of Affidavit of Publication
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Public Forum
- 4. Approval of Minutes: November 19, 2007
- 5. CPA2007-01 Caloosahatchee Community Plan

Amend the Future Land Use Element, Goal 21, to add a policy that provides that no land use map amendments to the remaining Rural lands category will be permitted unless a finding of overriding public necessity is made by three members of the Board of County Commissioners.

#### 6. CPA2005-49 Update Goal 10 Mining

Amend the Lee Plan to address the further impacts of mining and steps needed for adequate mitigation or prevention of adverse impacts. Further, recommendations are made to prevent future land use conflicts between mining and other uses in rural areas. Goal 10, Natural Resource Extraction, is proposed to be expanded to include measures for rock mining, and for mitigation of rock mining impacts with adjacent land uses.

- 7. Other Business
- 8. Adjournment

This meeting is open to the public and all interested parties are encouraged to attend. Interested parties may appear and be heard with respect to all proposed actions. If a person decides to appeal any decision made by the board, agency or commission with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he or she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. Further information may be obtained by contacting the Lee County Division of Planning at 533-8585. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, reasonable accommodations will be made upon request. If you are in need of a reasonable accommodation, please contact Janet Miller at 533-8583.

# CPA2007-01 CALOOSAHATCHEE SHORES BoCC SPONSORED AMENDMENT TO THE

#### LEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

#### THE LEE PLAN

LPA Public Hearing Document for the December 17, 2007 Public Hearing

Lee County Planning Division 1500 Monroe Street P.O. Box 398 Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398 (239) 533-8585

**December 10, 2007** 

## LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING STAFF REPORT FOR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CPA2007-00001

1	Text Amendment Map Amendment	
1	This Document Contains the Following Reviews:	
1	Staff Review	
	Local Planning Agency Review and Recommendation	
	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Transmittal	
Staff Response to the DCA Objections, Recommendations and Comments (ORC) Report		
	Board of County Commissioners Hearing for Adoption	

STAFF REPORT PREPARATION DATE: November 20, 2007

#### PART I - BACKGROUND AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION

#### A. SUMMARY OF APPLICATION

#### 1. SPONSOR/APPLICANT:

#### A. SPONSOR:

LEE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REPRESENTED BY LEE COUNTY DIVISION OF PLANNING

#### **B. APPLICANT**

THE EAST LEE COUNTY COUNCIL REPRESENTED BY KRIS CELLA McGUIRE

#### 2. REQUEST:

Amend the Future Land Use Element of the Lee Plan, Goal 21, to add a policy that provides that no land use map amendments to the remaining rural lands category will be permitted unless a finding of overriding public necessity is made by three members of the Board of County Commissioners.

<u>POLICY 21.1.4</u>: One important aspect of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan goal is to retain its' rural character and rural land use where it currently exists. Therefore no land use map

amendments to the remaining rural lands category will be permitted after {scrivener will insert effective date of policy}, unless a finding of overriding public necessity is made by three members of the Board of County Commissioners.

#### B. STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:

1. **RECOMMENDATION:** Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

#### 2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:

- The Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan was submitted to Lee County in September, 2002.
- The Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan resulted in a Lee Plan amendment adopted in October, 2003.
- The Lee Plan amendment specific to Caloosahatchee Shores is now Goal 21 of the Lee Plan.
- Goal 21 was amended on May 16, 2007 when a new Policy 21.1.4 was adopted.
- The East Lee County Council submitted an application to make a second amendment to Goal 21 in April, 2007.
- This amendment would add a new Policy 21.1.5 to Goal 21 of the Lee Plan.

#### C. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan was undertaken by the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Planning Panel working as a sub group of the ELCC. The planning area encompasses that portion of the Fort Myers Shores planning community located east of I-75. The community plan was financed, in part, with \$25,000 of community planning funds from Lee County.

The Caloosahatchee Shores community plan was submitted to Lee County in September, 2002. The plan contained a Goal, Objectives, and Policies. The Goal for Caloosahatchee Shores was adopted into the Lee Plan in October, 2003 and is now Goal 21.

The East Lee County Council has continued to work on improvements to Goal 21, and submitted an amendment to the Goal in September, 2005 that was adopted as Policy 21.1.4 on May 16, 2007. This second proposed amendment to Goal 21 would add a new Policy 21.1.5.

#### A. STAFF DISCUSSION

Goal 21 of the Lee Plan is intended to express the communities desire to protect the existing character of the community and to maintain the existing rural character of the Caloosahatchee shores area. Goal 21 reads as follows:

December 10, 2007 PAGE 3 OF 9 **GOAL 21: CALOOSAHATCHEE SHORES:** To protect the existing character, natural resources and quality of life in Caloosahatchee Shores, while promoting new development, redevelopment and maintaining a more rural identity for the neighborhoods east of I-75 by establishing minimum aesthetic requirements, planning the location and intensity of future commercial and residential uses, and providing incentives for redevelopment, mixed use development and pedestrian safe environments. This Goal and subsequent objectives and policies apply to the Caloosahatchee Shores boundaries as depicted on Map 1, page 2 of 5 in the Appendix. (Added by Ordinance No. 03-21)

**STAFF COMMENTS:** This proposed amendment is consistent with Goal 21 of the Lee Plan and may help further the intent of the Caloosahatchee Shores community. This proposed new Policy contains language very similar to Objective 17.1 pertaining to the Buckingham community and Objective 20.1 pertaining to the Bayshore community. Objective 17.1 of the Lee Plan reads:

**OBJECTIVE 17.1: LAND USE.** The primary land use designation for the Buckingham area is "Rural Community Preserve." Public Facilities have also been designated as appropriate. After the adoption of this amendment, no land in Buckingham will be changed to a land use category more intense than Rural Community Preserve (including Public Facilities) unless a finding of overriding public necessity is determined by three members of the Board of County Commissioners. (Amended by Ordinance No. 00-22)

Objective 20.1 of the Lee Plan reads:

**OBJECTIVE 20.1:** LAND USE. The existing land use designations of the Lee Plan (as of September 30, 2001) are appropriate to achieving the goal of the Bayshore Plan. No land use map amendments to a more intensive category will be permitted after March 11, 2003, unless a finding of overriding public necessity is made by three members of the Board of County Commissioners. (Added by Ordinance No. 03-02)

STAFF COMMENTS: The County Attorney's office issued two separate memorandum on this plan amendment. One is dated October 24, 2007 and the other is dated November 2, 2007. The October 24 memorandum concludes that the proposed amendment to the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan may create potential liability for Lee County pursuant to the Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act. The November 2 memorandum states that the proposed limitation on further amendments to the Future Land Use Map for lands currently designated as Rural, will result in liability under the Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act. Those memorandum are attached to this report.

The County Attorney's Office recommended that planning staff provide an inventory of land designated Rural in the Caloosahatchee Shores planning area. There are approximately 3,189 acres of Rural land in the Caloosahatchee Shores planning area. The County Attorney's office also suggested that an estimate of the possible relief that may be sought by these property owners. Short of having appraisals for all of the Rural designated property with each possible land use category change that could be sought, assigning a dollar amount to the amount of exposure the County may or will be exposing itself to is impossible.

STAFF REPORT FOR CPA2007-01

December 10, 2007 PAGE 4 OF 9 Planning staff questions that there are valid investment backed expectations on the Rural properties in Caloosahatchee Shores, or anywhere else for that matter. If you purchase a piece of property that is designated as Rural, it would be reasonable to expect that is what you have. Planning staff does not believe that any investment backed expectations beyond the uses allowed in the Rural category is reasonable foreseeable and speculative. In addition, this amendment does not preclude a property owner from requesting a change to their Future Land Use Map designation. It does heighten the scrutiny of that request. Additionally, very similar language is in place in two other communities in Lee County, namely Buckingham and Bayshore.

Planning staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners transmit this proposed amendment.

### PART III - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION

DATE OF PUBLIC HEARING: December 17, 2007

A.	LOCAL	PLANNING	AGENCY REVIEW	

- B. LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY RECOMMENDATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY
- 1. RECOMMENDATION:
- 2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:
- C. VOTE:

NOEL ANDRESS	25-27-1-
DEREK BURR	
LES COCHRAN	
RONALD INGE	
CARLETON RYFFEL	
RAE ANN WESSEL	
LELAND TAYLOR	

## PART IV - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HEARING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

	DATE OF TRANSMITTAL HEARING:		
A.	BOARD REVIEW:		
В.	BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:		
	1. BOARD ACTION:		
	2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:		
C.	VOTE:		
	A. BRIAN BIGELOW		
	TAMMARA HALL		
	ROBERT P. JANES		
	RAY JUDAH		
	FRANKLIN B. MANN		

## PART V - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMENTS (ORC) REPORT

	DATE OF ORC REPORT:
A.	DCA OBJECTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMENTS

**B. STAFF RESPONSE** 

## PART VI - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT

	DATE OF ADOPTION HEARING:
A.	BOARD REVIEW:
В.	BOARD ACTION AND FINDINGS OF FACT SUMMARY:
	1. BOARD ACTION:
	2. BASIS AND RECOMMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT:
C.	VOTE:
	A. BRIAN BIGELOW
	TAMMARA HALL
	ROBERT P. JANES
	RAY JUDAH
	FRANKLIN B. MANN

## MEMORANDUM FROM THE OFFICE OF COUNTY ATTORNEY



COMMINITY DEVELOPMENT

		DATE: November 2, 200 Print DEVLLOFMEN
To:	Jim Mudd	FROM: Camalair Collens
	Planning Division	Donna Marie Collins Assistant County Attorney

RE: Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan

CPA2007-00001 LU-07-10-2090.I.5.

Thank you for forwarding the application filed by the East Lee County Council to amend the Calooshatchee Shores Community Plan. The Office of the County Attorney has reviewed the proposed text amendment. Please be advised that the proposed limitation on further amendments to the Future Land Use Map for lands currently designated as Rural, will result in liability under the Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act. For this reason, it is our recommendation that the Planning Division prepare an inventory of the properties that will be affected by the proposed limitation so that the Board will be informed of the magnitude of property affected by the proposed limitation. This information will also be necessary to evaluate the scope of the County's exposure to liability under the Act. The inventory should include those properties currently designated as Rural on the Future Land Use Map located within the boundaries of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community.

A detailed examination of the implications of the proposed plan amendment are set forth in the attached memorandum prepared by Robert Spickerman of this Office. If I may be of further assistance, do not hesitate to contact me.

DMC/amp Attachment

cc: Matt Noble, Planning Division w/attachment

## MEMORANDUM FROM THE OFFICE OF COUNTY ATTORNEY

DATE: October 24, 2007

To: Donna Marie Collins

FROM:

**Assistant County Attorney** 

Robert Spickerman

**Assistant County Attorney** 

RE: Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan - Proposed Text Amendment

LU-07-10-2090.I.5. CPA 2007-00001

#### Issue:

Whether the proposed amendment to the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan raises any potential liability for Lee County pursuant to the Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act.

#### **Brief Answer:**

The proposed text amendment for the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan may create liability for Lee County pursuant to the Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act. The sources for potential liability are the proposed amendment's use of the term "rural character" and the prohibition against intensifying the future land use of properties currently existing within the rural lands future land use category.

#### <u>Analysis:</u>

On January 22, 2007, at the regularly scheduled meeting of the East Lee County Council, the Council unanimously voted to amend the text of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan with the following language:

One important aspect of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan goal is to retain it's rural character and rural land use where it currently exists. Therefore, no land use map amendments to the remaining rural lands category will be permitted after (scrivener will insert affective date of policy), unless a finding of overriding public necessity is made by three members of the Board of County Commissioners.

Donna Marie Collins October 24, 2007 Page 2

Re: Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan - Proposed Text Amendment

The main issue is whether under the Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act (Act), Lee County may be liable to property owners detrimentally affected by the proposed plan amendment. The Act is codified in the Florida Statutes as Fla. Stat. §70.001. The Act states, in part, that when a specific action of a governmental entity has inordinately burdened an existing use of real property or vested right to a specific use of real property, the property owner of that real property is entitled to relief. The Act is premised on a belief that the proper balance between protection of public good and private property interests can best be achieve on a case by case basis. (Article on Private Property Rights, Local Government Law Section Newsletter). As such, the terms used by the Act are unclear and broadly defined.

The terms "inordinate burden" or "inordinately burdened" are defined by § 70.001(3)(e) as an action of one or more governmental entities restricting or limiting the use of real property such that the property owner suffers a permanent loss of a reasonable investment backed expectation for an existing use of the landowner's real property or a vested right to a specific use of the landowner's real property.

Section 70.001(3)(b) defines existing use as the actual, present use of real property or a use that is "reasonably foreseeable though non-speculative." In order to be reasonably foreseeable though non-speculative, the use must be suitable for the real property, must be compatible with the adjacent lands, and must create a greater fair market value in the real property that is greater than the fair market value of the actual, present use of the real property. Fla. Stat. §70.001(3)(b).

The limits and impacts of the Act are still being developed by the courts. As such, a liberal interpretation of the Act should be used when evaluating potential liability. The Act's definition of existing use as including a use that is "reasonably foreseeable though non speculative" is the most problematic for the proposed amendment.

The first issue raised by the proposed amendment arises from the use of the term "rural character". The amendment identifies a goal of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan as being to retain the rural character of the Community. The use of the term "rural character" is excessively vague. If the term is being used merely as identifying a goal and the only means to achieve the goal is the prohibition against intensifying the future land use of the rural lands future land use categories, then the use of the term "rural character" is acceptable. If however, other means in addition to the aforementioned prohibition are contemplated for the preservation of the Community's rural character, then the use of the term is not acceptable. Either way, a more detailed explanation of the use of the term "rural character" is necessary for further liability analysis.

The second issue raised by the proposed amendment deals with the prohibition against land use map amendments to properties that are subject to a future rural land use category within the boundaries of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community. The broad definition of "existing use" to include a use that is reasonably foreseeable though non-speculative, provides a property owner

Donna Marie Collins October 24, 2007 Page 3

Re: Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan - Proposed Text Amendment

with a possible avenue for recovery against Lee County pursuant to the Act. As stated before, "reasonably foreseeable though non-speculative" means that the use must be suitable for the real property, must be compatible with the adjacent land, and must create a greater fair market value in the real property that is greater than the fair market value of the actual, present use of the real property. Fla. Stat. §70.001(3)(b). In Palm Beach Polo, Inc. V. The Village of Wellington, 918 So.2d 988(Fla. 4DCA 2006), a developer purchased a large tract of property at a bankruptcy auction. At the time of the bankruptcy sale, the property was subject to a development plan requiring the preservation and restoration of a forest on the property. See id at 991. The Village of Wellington subsequently identified the subject forest property as conservation in its comprehensive plan. See id at 992. The developer protested the conservation designation and made a claim pursuant to the Bert J. Harris Act. See id. The court concluded that since the developer purchased the property subsequent to the required preservation and restoration of the forest property, the developer failed to establish any reasonable investment-backed expectations with respect to development of the forest property. See id at 993.

Other than rural related future land uses, the Caloosahatchee Shores Community also includes suburban, outlying suburban, central urban, urban community, and public facilities future land uses. The Caloosahatchee Shores Community also contains many major roadways including, Palm Beach Blvd., Buckingham Road, Orange River Blvd. and Tice Street. Caloosahatchee Shores Community is bordered on the West by I-75. Some of the properties within the rural lands future land use categories border land within a more intense future land use category. With increased development, there will be increased demand for intensifying the use of properties, especially near the transportation corridors previously identified within the Caloosahatchee Shores Community. Current owner's of property within the rural land use categories of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community will be able to provide a stronger argument regarding reasonable investment-backed expectations than that made by the developer in the Wellington case discussed above. An owner of property located within a rural future land use category may not find it unreasonable to foresee a use of their property that is more intense than the rural lands future land use category will allow. The proposed amendment would prevent that property owner from amending the future land use map as contemplated by policy 2.4.1 of the Lee Plan.

#### Conclusion:


The proposed amendment to the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan may create potential liability for Lee County pursuant to the Act. As the Act is premised on the belief that the proper balance between protection of the public good and private property interests can best be achieved on a case by case basis, critical terms used in the Act are broadly defined. The definition for the terms "inordinately burdened" and "existing use" are the most problematic.

Donna Marie Collins October 24, 2007 Page 4

Re: Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan - Proposed Text Amendment

The proposed amendment's use of the term "rural character" must be further defined in order to properly evaluate any impact the Act may have on the amendment. The prohibition against amending the future land use map to change a future land use category currently identified as rural, may create future liability for Lee County pursuant to the Act.

RDS/amp







#### Lee County Board of County Commissioners Department of Community Development COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Division of Planning Post Office Box 398 Fort Myers, FL 33902-0398 Telephone: (239) 479-8585

FAX: (239) 479-8519

#### **APPLICATION FOR A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT**

	(To be completed at time of intake)
DATE REC'D	REC'D BY:
APPLICATION FEE	
THE FOLLOWING VER Zoning	
Designation on FLUM	
	(To be completed by Planning Staff)
Plan Amendment Cycle:	Normal Small Scale DRI Emergency
Request No:	
Submit 6 copies of the including maps, to the required for Local Plann Department of Community, the undersigned owner and the attached amend provided are complete ar	complete application and amendment support documentation, Lee County Division of Planning. Additional copies may be ing Agency, Board of County Commissioners hearings and the ty Affairs' packages.  For or authorized representative, hereby submit this application ment support documentation. The information and documents and accurate to the best of my knowledge.  SNATURE OF OWNER OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
ee County Comprehensive Plan A pplication Form (06/06)	mendment Page 1 of 9



2007-00001

APPLICANT/AGENT/OWNER INFORMATION
East Lee County Council
APPLICANT  (10 Cella Walnur PDSSOC, 1631 Hendry St.  ADDRESS
Fort Muers FI. 33901
CITY 239-337-1071 STATE 239-337-1076
TELEPHONE NUMBER  FAX NUMBER
Mis Cella ELCC Board of Directors
AGENT*
ADDRESS
CITY STATE ZI
TELEPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER
OWNER(s) OF RECORD, Shares Community Plan Cla
ADDRESS East lee County Council.
sme as above
CITY STATE ZII
TELEPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER
Name, address and qualification of additional planners, architects, engineers environmental consultants, and other professionals providing information contained

in this application.

1.

<sup>\*</sup> This will be the person contacted for all business relative to the application.

11.	KI	EQUESTED CHANGE (Please see Item 1 for Fee Schedule)	
	Α.	TYPE: (Check appropriate type)	
•		Text Amendment  [Maps 1 thru 21)  List Number(s) of Map(s) to be amended	
	В.	SUMMARY OF REQUEST (Brief explanation):	
		Amend the Calousa hatchee Community Plan text see a Hacked.	
IJ.	I. PROPERTY SIZE AND LOCATION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY (for amendments affecting development potential of property)  A. Property Location:		
	,	1. Site Address: See a Hacked map	
		2. STRAP(s):	
	В.	Property Information	
	Total Acreage of Property:		
	Total Acreage included in Request:		
		Area of each Existing Future Land Use Category:	
		Total Uplands:	
		Total Wetlands:	
		Current Zoning:	
		Current Future Land Use Designation:	
		Existing Land Use:	

April 2, 2007 Lee County Department of Community Development Services 1500 Monroe Street Fort Myers, Florida 33901

Subject:

Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan – Text Amendment Requested

On January 22, 2007, at the regularly scheduled meeting of the East Lee County Council, the Council unanimously voted to amend the test of the referenced community plan with the following language:

"One important aspect of the Caloosahatchee Shores Community Plan goal is to retain its' rural character and rural land use where it currently exists. Therefore no land use map amendments to the remaining rural lands category will be permitted after {scrivener will insert effective date of policy}, unless a finding of overriding public necessity is made by three members of the Board of County Commissioners."

Kris Cella McGuire Board of Directors East Lee County Council


C.	State if the subject property is located in one of the following areas and if so how does the proposed change effect the area:
	Lehigh Acres Commercial Overlay:
	Airport Noise Zone 2 or 3:
	Acquisition Area:
	Joint Planning Agreement Area (adjoining other jurisdictional lands):
	Community Redevelopment Area:
D.	Proposed change for the Subject Property:  No Chemge for tempting Pural Landuse
E.	Potential development of the subject property:
	1. Calculation of maximum allowable development under existing FLUM:
	Residential Units/Density
	Commercial intensity
	Industrial intensity
	2. Calculation of maximum allowable development under proposed FLUM:
	Residential Units/Density
	Commercial intensity
	Industrial intensity
IV. AN	IENDMENT SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION
of t the app pre and acc	a minimum, the application shall include the following support data and analysis, ese items are based on comprehensive plan amendment submittal requirements the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs, and policies contained in Lee County Comprehensive Plan. Support documentation provided by the plicant will be used by staff as a basis for evaluating this request. To assist in the paration of amendment packets, the applicant is encouraged to provide all data I analysis electronically. (Please contact the Division of Planning for currently epted formats)
	General Information and Maps  NOTE: For <u>each</u> map submitted, the applicant will be required to provide a reduced map (8.5" x 11") for inclusion in public hearing packets.

- 3. Requests involving lands in critical areas for future water supply must be evaluated based on policy 2.4.2.
- 4. Requests moving lands from Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource must fully address Policy 2.4.3 of the Lee Plan Future Land Use Element.
- G. Justify the proposed amendment based upon sound planning principles. Be sure to support all conclusions made in this justification with adequate data and analysis.

Item 1: Fee Schedule

Map Amendment Flat Fee	\$2,000.00 each
Map Amendment > 20 Acres	\$2,000.00 and \$20.00 per 10 acres
Small Scale Amendment (10 acres or less)	\$1,500.00 each
Text Amendment Flat Fee	\$2,500.00 each

Text Amendment Flat Fee	\$2,500.00 each
AFFIDAVIT	
1, Wis Cella Mclanice certify that I	am the owner or authorized representative of the
property described herein, and that all answers to the questions in this application and any sketches,	
lata, or other supplementary matter attached to and made a part of this application, are honest and true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also authorize the staff of Lee County Community Development	
o the best of my knowledge and belief. I also author	aura for the purpose of investigating and evaluating
	ours for the purpose of investigating and evaluating
he request made through this application.	
15 all Medin	4/2/07
Signature of owner or owner-authorized agent	Date
Kris Cella McGuira	2
yped or printed name	
STATE OF FLORIDA )	
COUNTY OF LEE )	
The foregoing instrument was cortified and subscribs	ed before me this 2nd day of 4pril 2007.
by Kris Cella McGuirc , who is personally known to me or who has produced	
by Kristella McGurc,	who is personally known to me o <del>r who has produced</del>



Lane Becker Molnar Signature of notary public

Printed name of notary public

Page 9 of 9

