THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Next item is CPA2007 triple zero 57, Southwest Florida International Airport, for discussion purposes only.

MR. HORNER: For the record, my name is Bill Horner. I'm with Lee County Port Authority planning department. Ellen Lindblad is here to assist me if I can't answer some questions.

Just a brief overview.

we are proposing to amend our project and phasing schedule for the Southwest Florida International Airport to add under our nonaviation category a use called -- well, service commercial and service overall district and to add a single use, animal kennels and clinics, to that; and then the second part of our request is to clear up quite basically some mistakes that I and our consultants made in 2003 and 2004 when we had a Lee Plan amendment to add 100 acres of nonaviation uses at the airport.

First, just a brief overview. This is the regional airport. This is the new terminal. Daniels Parkway, I-75 on the edge, terminal access road coming in. Later on I'll discuss the two sites for the animal kennels and clinics being proposed is on the hotel site. It's a 40-acre site just where the inbound and outbound lanes to the terminals split. Forty acres --

a hotel is not planned to take up near that size, so there will be plenty of space for this animal kennel. Then there's another location somewhere in the parcels that have been approved as part of the 100 acres up here along Dougherty Parkway.

Moving along, the designation on the ALP is airport, it will remain airport. This change impacts the 100 acres I discussed earlier of nonaviation use. FAA encourages nonaviation uses at airports for those areas that are going to be surplus aviation; and FAA encourages that because it supplies revenue to airports which in many cases is alternative revenue when there's a downturn in airplane revenue and general -- periodic downturns that go on in air travel.

This particular use will not be, because I'm sure it has a fairly high correlation with airport users dropping their pets off; but our phasing schedule was labeled Table 5. I have given you the location maps for the kennels, which is taken directly from the application and also Table 5. That map that I have handed out is also what is referred to as Map 3-F of the future land use map series.

For the animal kennel, as I have alluded to before, there's been both public and developer interest in adding such uses. It was an oversight that was not

considered in the long list of uses that we got early on. We provide a service to the public that's coming to the airport, rushing, who have pets. It would be a convenient location for them to drop their pets off and a convenient location for them to pick their pets up once they return from their trip.

One of the specific proposals was for a fairly deluxe location that would have an outdoor fenced pool for dogs and might well have doctor on staff permanently to take care. I suspect he would probably pay for it, but it was considered fairly deluxe. This is not unusual, such a clinic or animal kennel. There is one located on the Jacksonville International Airport site.

We spoke with the staff and they indicated that both of these sites might be appropriate and might well be considered, the hotel site and the acreage on the north side might be appropriate for this; but it would require a review and a change; and that's what we're here for.

In addition, the second purpose for the amendment is to change our Table 5, the phasing schedule.

If you note on the bottom, it was originally done in 2003 for our late 2004 approval for our previous Lee Plan amendment. At that time the terminal square

footage that was given to me was 761,000 plus. As it was built following -- okay, 9-11 occurred before this period; but FAA finally developed regulations requiring scanning of checked baggage and so forth. We had to have -- add considerable space on the air side of the terminal to account for this additional baggage process and scanning, so it brought the total square footage up to 798,000.

we also had neglected to mention our airport maintenance and auto maintenance shops at 23,000. We had left out, somewhat embarrassing to say, the airport training and conference center and an adjacent gun range. Probably some of you have been at meetings at that conference center.

MR. INGE: I thought I was, but it didn't exist until now.

MR. HORNER: But in fact it was there.

There's a parallel taxiway that accompanied the --excuse me -- parallel taxiway there that accompanied the midfield project, and that's equal in length to the runway. And we clarified that the 165,000 square yards that are indicated for existing is actually the ramp at the old terminal, which has now been torn down and grassed. The as-built ramp for the terminal was just under 333,000 square yards.

During this period we were in design in 2003, we actually constructed in 2004 and 2005 a fourth fuel tank for the jets in our tank farm. We also added the airline catering facility, which since there are fewer and fewer meals being prepared for travelers nowadays, it's sort of a static operation. And then, of course, down at the bottom we have added our commercial service category; and the only use under that is animal kennels and clinics.

And I'm prepared to answer any questions.

MR. INGE: Mr. Chairman.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MR. INGE: Bill, I guess thank you very much for going over that table.

what it seems like is if you look at Table 5, the overall majority of the highlighted items just reflect what is on the ground.

MR. HORNUNG: Absolutely.

MR. INGE: The real change is the addition of the kennel.

MR. HORVATH: Absolutely true. And they are all aviation related in one way or another; and when this was prepared in 2003, 2004, it was felt by me and my consultant -- my consultant and I that this would be more generalized. It has since evolved to having to be

quite specific on what our existing and planned uses are.

MR. INGE: Thank you.

Are you also looking at -- I know recently it was in the press about the agreement that the Port Authority has reached with the Madden company or group, whatever the particular name is; and there is big plans for that to be a big driver of economic development and diversification of our economy in the airport area because of the acreages we have there.

Do we have sufficient uses and square footage? I know that's not the subject of your presentation today, but we just need to think about that we have sufficient square footage and uses provided for under that master approval or whatever we have for the airport to allow what the Madden company anticipates occurring and what you guys anticipate occurring. I'm worried that we're going to bump up against the limits real quick out there, and the process takes some time to go through. I know you have to deal with the FAA and you've got to go through the Lee County process as well.

Just a word of encouragement -- I know you are probably already doing this, but put on those long-view glasses. We've got to make sure that that happens as envisioned because that is a great opportunity for Lee

County as a whole to diversify our economy, especially with some of the things I have heard the Madden group is considering. Please make sure that you take that into consideration.

MR. HORVATH: We certainly are. A lease has been signed with the Madden company and there are negotiations back and forth on are they going to back down a little bit for the time being on the square footage they are asking for to comply with the square footage we already have approved or are we going to have to make some slight changes? It's all being discussed.

MR. INGE: Thank you.

MR. O'CONNOR: And, Ron, I fully expect that when you see this again, you will probably see some numbers changed in that last row of the table because we have been looking at that.

Fortunately, the issue we had with the Department of Community Affairs when we originally adopted this into the plan dealt with the number of acres; and I think we're talking about a hundred acres of approved nonaviation use and a hundred acres of use can carry a million square feet easily; and so I think we've got room to move these numbers around in order to make sure that we can accommodate the Madden venture, plus

anything else that may spring up from that in the very 1 2 near future and we are looking at that. Thank you very much. I know that I'm 3 MR. INGE: 4 glad you're on it. 5 MS. WESSEL: I just wanted to make sure. So existing is 2008, the column? 6 MR. HORNUNG: Well, that's going to be a subject 7 of a future amendment. This sort of emergency to get 8 9 the animal kennel in the plan took precedence; but, yes, we're going to in a future Lee Plan amendment sort 10 of reorganize the table to merge 2003, 2005 into the 11 uses that are there and --12 MS. WESSEL: But the way you're showing it here 13 14 with the strike out and highlights, that's really 15 existing as of today? 16 MR. HORNUNG: Absolutely. MS. WESSEL: And so you don't anticipate the 17 kennels if approved to be there before 2010, or they 18 would be constructed in 2010? 19 MR. HORVATH: They'll be in place by 2010, yes. 20 Does it still include the spa 21 MS. WESSEL: 22 services? 23 MR. HORNUNG: One proposal did previously. I'm not sure what his current proposal is, but it did 24 25 take --

MS. WESSEL: Would that involve new staff? That would not be animal services?

MR. HORVATH: No. This will be a private organization, a tenant that will be operating. They'll have their own staff. We accounted for that in our traffic analysis that was submitted here.

But most of that traffic also for the kennel, I should add, is going to be already captured by going to and from the terminal. There will be employee trips and delivery trips of supplies; but most of the people using the kennel we're envisioning are going to be users flying into or out of the airport terminal.

MS. WESSEL: So that wouldn't be a restriction?

MR. HORVATH: No. If people want to drive in from San Carlos Park and leave their dog or cat or whatever at the terminal and then drive back to San Carlos Park, they would be welcome. There would be even more revenue for the Port Authority.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: That's part of the point I was going to ask. You don't really need a cost benefit analysis because you're just building the space? We're getting the authorization and building the space and we're going to lease it to these individuals. There will be no county employees involved in this?

MR. HORNUNG: No, other than me doing the plan

12 13

14 15

17

16

19

18

21

20

22

23

24

25

But we are -- we will lease them the site. actually will be responsible for construction and it will be a long-term lease. The airport in general doesn't -- FAA doesn't allow us to sell the property because it's either useful as an open space, it's useful for revenue for nonaviation stuff or it has an aviation-related use, so it has to be quite special for us to sell the property at the airport, like a road right-of-way for the county or something that was essential.

> THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Other questions? Thank you.

Paul, I have a question and it's I guess more of procedure.

This really clarifies and makes sense out of what is before us and maybe the first 14 pages here, but in our procedures for discussion purposes do we need to kill this many trees to have an open discussion?

MR. O'CONNOR: What we shared with you was the application that the Port Authority had given to us just so that you have all the information; and you will be receiving a staff report and then the final numbers for the table the next time you see this and then we'll ask you to act on it. We're not good at saving trees.

MR. ANDRESS: You're not looking for any --

MR. O'CONNOR: I'm not looking for any action today from your board.

MS. COLLINS: We encourage you to save the packet of materials that you received today because you won't be getting an additional one when it comes back for your consideration.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: In the future, Paul, once this commercial service designation is created, whatever things come under that, do they still have to come through the process for approval; or is that just given?

MR. O'CONNOR: There were some statutory changes made several years ago now that the Port Authority took advantage of. Normally an airport is a development of regional impact and the statutory change said that if through a comprehensive plan amendment process you get your airport master plan included in your comprehensive plan, you no longer have to be a development of regional impact; and so a few years ago we went through that process. We have got that established now within the comprehensive plan and for the most part this is cleaning up what we did at that time. But like I did mention, we do have these nonaviation-related uses, there's now a contract has been signed to use some of that property; and we will be looking at those numbers,

making sure that they'll accommodate the nonaviation use for the research and development that is expected to boost and help our economy. THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Thank you very much. (Proceedings concluded.)

1 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF LEE 2 3 I, John F. Martina, Jr., Notary Public and 4 Contract Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of the 20th 5 Judicial Circuit of the State of Florida, do hereby certify 6 that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the 7 8 foregoing proceedings and that the typewritten transcript, consisting of pages numbered 1 through 93, inclusive, is a 9 10 true record. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 14th day of May, 2008. 12 13 14 15 John F. Martina, Jr., Notary Public, State of Florida at 16 Large. 17 Notary Public State of Florida 18 John F Martina Jr My Commission DD388574 Expires 03/03/2009 19 20 21 22 23 24 25